Exhibit 19

Deposition Errata - Remy Hennet, PhD

In Re: Camp Lejeune Water Litigation. United States District Court Eastern District of North Carolina. Case No. 7:23-cv-897. March 20, 2025.

Page 22, line 21: “same” should read “name”.

Page 28, lines 24-25: “… from water that the parameters of …” should read: “… from water. It was for the parameters that …”.

Page 40, line 14: “Crystal” should read “Christel”.

Page 54, line 6: “have” should read “am”.

Page 61, line 1: “will be” should read “had been”.

Page 62, line 4: “door” should be removed.

Page 64, line 12: “views” should read “values”

Page 137, line 21: “It’s” should read “I”, and “hope” should read “rope”.

Page 141, line 24: “is” should read “are”.

Page 143, line 19: “pump” should read “pipe”.

Page 145, line 10: “I” should read “it”.

Page 157, line 3: “(indecipherable)” should read “vial”.

Page 158, line 21: “wasn’t” should read “didn’t”.

Page 162, lines 8-9: “it demands (indecipherable)” should read “of demands for water supply”.

Page 166, line 11: “old” should read “raw”.

Page 167, line 25: “word” should read “cord”.

Page 177, line 12: “I” should read “they”.

Page 179, line 10: “I have not soon seen…I” should read “they have not shown…”.

Page 181, line 20: “I” should read “they”.

Page 181, lines 8 and 9: “I” should read “they”.

Page 181, line 1:0 “I” should read “they”.

Page 189, line 15: “1,500” should read “about 2,000…”.

Page 195, line 22: “contacted” should read “contaminated”.

Page 201, line 12: “I don’t think they …” should read “I do think there …”.

Page 206, line 18: “…what I did is I…” should read “…what they did is they…”.

Page 207, line 22: “we” should read “were”.

Page 220, line 13: “noted” should read “also”.

Page 221, line 17: “probably” should read “properly”.

Page 221, line 19: “abandoned” should read “shut down”.

Page 231, line 22: “through” should read “to”.

Page 240, line 11: “verified” should read “verify”.

Page 240, lines 13-14: “…document that’s close to that that and I can do,…” should read “…document, or as close to that as I can do,…”.

Page 245, line 6: “(indecipherable)” should read “operable”.

Page 248, line 5: “We were” should read “With a”.

Page 254, line 25: “I” should read “it”.

Page 263, line 2: “under” should read “over”.

Page 265, line 17: “faster” should read “a bathtub”.

Page 269, line 7: “congruent” should read “consistent”.

Prepared by:



Remy J.-C. Hennet, PhD