

EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
SOUTHERN DIVISION
No. 7:23-CV-897

IN RE:
CAMP LEJEUNE WATER LITIGATION
This Document Relates To:
ALL CASES

VIDEOTAPED & VIDEOCONFERENCED DEPOSITION OF
DR. FRANK J. BOVE

Atlanta, Georgia
Thursday, October 17, 2024

Court Reporter: Michelle M. Boudreaux-Phillips, CCR

October 17, 2024

9:33 a.m.

Videotaped and videoconferenced
deposition of DR. FRANK J. BOVE, held at the
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,
1600 Clifton Road NE, Atlanta, Georgia,
pursuant to Agreement, before Michelle M.
Boudreaux-Phillips, a Certified Court
Reporter in the State of Georgia.

APPEARANCES

On behalf of the Plaintiffs:

ROBIN L. GREENWALD, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212.558.5500
rgreenwald@weitzlux.com

BENJAMIN VANSLYKE, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Fisher Building, 24th Floor
3011 West Grand Boulevard
Detroit, Michigan 48202
313.800.4170
bvanslyke@weitzlux.com

RANDY L. LEE, Esq.
PAT TELAN, Esq.
Bell Legal Group
219 North Ridge Street
Georgetown, South Carolina 29440
843.279.5185
rlee@bellllegalgroup.com
ptelan@bellllegalgroup.com

On behalf of the Defendant:

ADAM BAIN, Esq.
U.S. Department of Justice
Environmental Tort Litigation Section
P.O. Box 340, Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
202.616.4209
adam.bain@usdoj.gov

ELIZABETH K. PLATT, Esq.
U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Division
1100 L Street, NW
LST 3520
Washington, D.C. 20005
202.616.4211
elizabeth.k.platt@usdoj.gov

APPEARANCES (Cont'd)

Also Present: Lena Yueh
Samantha Girschick (via Zoom)
Bill Williams (via Zoom)
Deanna Havai (via Zoom)
Diana Gjonaj (via Zoom)
Mike Dowling (via Zoom)
Suzanne Yurk (via Zoom)

Videographer: Safaa Sammander

INDEX

EXAMINATIONS

By Ms. Greenwald	9
By Mr. Bain	173

- - -

EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Page
Exhibit 1	13
January 10 & 12, 2023 email chain [CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE-0000054934, etc.]	
Exhibit 2	13
Curriculum Vitae of Frank J. Bove, Sc.D [CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE-0000054947, etc.]	
Exhibit 3	39
"Evaluation of mortality among Marines and Navy personnel exposed to contaminated drinking water at USMC Base Camp Lejeune: a retrospective cohort study" [CCLJA_HEALTHEFFECCTS-0000141103, etc.]	
Exhibit 4	40
"Mortality study of civilian employees exposed to contaminated drinking water at USMC Base Camp Lejeune: a retrospective cohort study" [CLJA_VA_RFP_4THSET_0000135084, etc.]	
Exhibit 5	40
"ATSDR Assessment of the Evidence for the Drinking Water Contaminants at Camp Lejeune and Specific Cancers and Other Diseases" [CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000044276, etc.]	
Exhibit 6	40
"Evaluation of mortality among Marines, Navy personnel, and civilian workers exposed to contaminated drinking water at USMC Base Camp Lejeune: a cohort study"	

INDEX (Cont'd)

1		
2		
3	Exhibit	Page
4	Exhibit 7	41
5	"Evaluation of cancer incidence among Marines	
6	and Navy personnel and civilian workers	
7	exposed to contaminated drinking water at USMC	
8	Base Camp Lejeune: a cohort study"	
9	[CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE-0000060101, etc.]	
10	Exhibit 8	41
11	"CDC Epidemiologist Wins 2014 Ozonoff Award	
12	for Studies of Camp Lejeune Families,"	
13	April 17, 2014	
14	Exhibit 9	52
15	"About ACE: Mission/Vision/Values/Member	
16	Snapshot/History"	
17	Exhibit 10	52
18	"American College of Epidemiology Ethics	
19	Guidelines"	
20	Exhibit 11	58
21	"ATSDR Background and Congressional Mandates"	
22	Exhibit 12	58
23	"ATSDR Mission, Vision, and Impact"	
24	Exhibit 13	64
25	12/31/2008 email to Maureen Orr from	
	Frank Bove [CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE-0000010891]	
	Exhibit 14	64
	Frank Bove self-appraisal	
	[CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE-0000010892, etc.]	
	Exhibit 15	66
	11/16/2018 email to Frank Bove from Haverford	
	[CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE-0000073102, etc.]	
	Exhibit 16	69
	"Public health practice for and with	
	communities" (Frank J. Bove, Sc.D)	
	[CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE-0000121758, etc.]	

INDEX (Cont'd)

Exhibit	Page
Exhibit 17	81
"Peer Review Questions and Answers"	
Exhibit 18	173
"Drinking Water Contamination and the Incidence of Leukemia and Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma" (Perry Cohn, et al.)	
Exhibit 19	175
"Evaluation of exposure to contaminated drinking water and specific birth defects and childhood cancers at Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune, North Carolina: a case-control study" (Perri Ruckart, et al.) [CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000000791, etc.]	
Exhibit 20	183
"Evaluation of contaminated drinking water and male breast cancer at Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune: a case control study" (Perri Ruckart, et al.) [CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000000365, etc.]	
Exhibit 21	241
4/2/2007 email chain [CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE-0000021658, etc.]	
Exhibit 22	266
"Current U.S. Military Fluid Replacement Guidelines" (Margaret Kolka, et al.) [CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000308480, etc.]	
Exhibit 23	294
"Morbidity Study of Former Marines, Employees, and Dependents Potentially Exposed to Contaminated Drinking Water at U.S. Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune, April 2018" [CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000201088, etc.]	

1 overview of what you were -- why you did this study and
2 what you were trying to accomplish? And then I'm going
3 to ask you some questions specifically.

4 A Well, first of all, it's not a study. It's
5 an assessment.

6 Q Assessment. I'm so sorry.

7 A It's not a meta-analysis.

8 Q Right. Correct.

9 A It's not -- it's sort of, kind of, a
10 systematic review, but the whole -- but we
11 don't normally -- ATSDR doesn't normally do this.

12 What happened was the VA decided, with a lot
13 of pressure being put on them, to list kidney cancer,
14 acute myeloid leukemia, and angiosarcoma of the liver
15 as the three presumptive diseases that they wanted to
16 do. And that was not acceptable to the CAP or to the
17 three senators in this room that we were in
18 Washington -- in Congress. The three senators were the
19 head of the -- I forget his name. But anyway, there
20 were three senators, the two senators from
21 North Carolina and the senator from Georgia, who I'm
22 blanking on, who's died, who has since passed.

23 And if you looked at -- kidney cancer, for
24 sure, should be on a presumption list, there's no
25 question about that, when dealing with

1 trichloroethylene.

2 Acute myeloid leukemia makes a lot of sense
3 if we're talking about benzene, for sure.

4 Acute -- angiosarcoma of the liver, you would
5 never see at Camp Lejeune. That's an -- you have to
6 have a heavy exposure to vinyl chloride, which you
7 would only get in certain manufacturing. It's a rare
8 disease anyway; and, in fact, I think there was, like,
9 three at this one plant that started the whole -- that
10 was a cluster. So you rarely see it, even in a vinyl
11 chloride plant, but you shouldn't see it at all.

12 So putting that on the -- really, there
13 were -- so there were really only two diseases, really,
14 that made any sense to be on that list that the VA was
15 proposing.

16 And so they were -- the senators pushed them
17 hard. The VA secretary looked to me and Dr. Breysse,
18 who was the head of the agency at that point, and said,
19 "Can you help us?"

20 And we said, "Yes."

21 "Can you give us something in six weeks?"

22 "Yeah, we'll do it."

23 So I dropped everything and -- most of the
24 tables you see in here were done within that six-week
25 period.

1 the issue, IARC commissioned the meta-analysis looking
2 at bladder cancer, in particular with dry-cleaning
3 workers, but also workers who use PCE in the
4 manufacturing process.

5 And their meta-analysis is included in this
6 report, this assessment. I thought it was strong
7 enough to conclude that bladder cancer was sufficient
8 evidence. I think it was a strong meta-analysis done
9 by IARC. And I'm pretty sure they would have said so
10 too, but they didn't -- they haven't -- I don't know if
11 they've revisited PCE since then.

12 But anyway, so I pushed that with the VA. I
13 also was able to produce some mechanistic ideas, how
14 PCE might cause bladder cancer. And that's all in
15 here.

16 So while I was writing the other parts of the
17 assessment, I was researching bladder cancer and PCE
18 and trying to make a case so the VA would change their
19 position, and they did change their position. So
20 that's why bladder cancer is on the presumption list
21 and why it's -- in here, it's called sufficient
22 evidence. I thought there was strong evidence for
23 chronic kidney disease and scleroderma. My
24 understanding was OMB decided there wasn't for
25 scleroderma, without giving any reasons, to me anyway.

1 Chronic kidney disease, I think the VA felt
2 that that would be a huge grab bag of people having all
3 kinds of kidney problems, similar to the problem
4 they're having with neurobehavioral effects, which is
5 also a grab bag and, you know, can include almost
6 anything. So I think that's why they objected to that.
7 I think the evidence is strong there, but we didn't win
8 that one, that battle.

9 Q Okay. So let me unpack some of this, okay?

10 A Sure.

11 Q So when you were talking about that -- we
12 have a couple of "disagreements" here, and I want to
13 make sure -- you were referring to "disagreements," not
14 you and I.

15 A Oh, okay.

16 Q I want to make sure that I know which
17 disagreements you're referring to.

18 When you were talking about bladder,
19 scleroderma, and chronic kidney disease, that was
20 disagreements --

21 A With the VA.

22 Q -- with the VA and the assessment -- or, I'm
23 sorry, the VA believing that those diseases should
24 be --

25 A Remember --