Exhibit 28

	Page 288
1	In Re: Camp Lejeune Water Litigation
_	Case No.: 7:23-CV-00897
2	Date: February 14, 2025
_	Reporter: Vickie Larsen, CCR/RMR
3	
	WITNESS CERTIFICATE
4	
	State of Utah)
5	ss.
	County of Salt Lake)
6	
	I, NORMAN L. JONES, HEREBY DECLARE:
7	That I am the witness referred to in the
	foregoing testimony; that I have read the
8	transcript and know the contents thereof;
	that with these corrections I have noted this
9	transcript truly and accurately reflects my
	testimony.
L 0	PAGE-LINE CHANGE/CORRECTION REASON
L1	See corrections listed in Attachment A
L 2	
L 3	
L 4	
L 5	
L 6 L 7	
L /	
L 0 L 9	No corrections were made.
20	No corrections were made.
21	I, NORMAN L. JONES, hereby declare under the
	penalties of perjury of the laws of the
2 2	United States of America and the laws of the
_	State of Utah that the foregoing is true and
2 3	correct.
2 4	Dated this _21day ofMarch,
	2025.
2 5	Mu J
	NORMAN L. JONES

Page 2 of 6

ATTACHMENT A

Dr. Norm Jones Deposition Transcript Errata

Page	Line #, Correction	Reason
9	16 Q. Is the Traeger company the same	Spelling
19	12 Mississippi, Auburn, and a number of other	Clarity
20	5 participate in a two-day workshop, listen to	Clarity
	6 presentations, and then we would write a	
21	5 water that comes from rainfall that as a and is the	Clarity
	6 portion of that eventually percolates down	
22	22 A. For water resource planning.	Clarity
	23 Groundwater is one of our most	
24	8 It was	Clarity
	9 a very broad project. They looked at machine	
24	19 A. I so I was the princ	Clarity
	20 This grant was through what's called the	
	21 EPSCoR project, E-P-S-C-O-R, the EPSCoR	
	22 program through the National Science	
	23 Foundation.	
25	14 A. They were selected by the—	Clarity
	15 they were asked to serve on behalf of the	
27	2 that they provided, the papers that they	Clarity
	3 were that they had they were either	
	4 preparing to submit or publishing, and two	
	5 days of presentations that they would make	
28	14 A. They they -described the	Clarity
	15 purpose, I believe , that in in for	
	16 the Louisiana model, it was to look at	
29	7 A. They I what from From what	Clarity
	8 I recall, it's been a while, it was to develop a	
	9 very sophisticated model of the aquifers in	
29	14 A and then the dynamics of the	Clarity
	15 aquifer.	
35	21 model. In each case where — for the	Clarity
	22 parameters you've selected, you perturb the	
	17 A. I put some tabs in for some	Clarity
	18 figures that I thought — primarily figures I	
	19 thought might be useful that I think are	
	20 important in the — in the analysis — in the	
	21 review of the work, one of which is	
2.6	22 Figure A18, Chapter	G' 1
36	18 as being or considered to be possible.	Single sentence
27	19 variations of the model.) (C.) 1
37	25 Figure F12, the scatter plot of simulated versus	Missing word
44	11 \$15 billion project, and it would have taken an	Clarity
	12 estimated 27 years to build. It would have been	

45	12. A. It they've have	Clarity
43		Clarity
	13 There's been a settlement in the last few	
50	14 months, so it's — I think it's over.	Clarita
50	4 A. I — if — if there were not	Clarity
	5 any data I know they had concentrations at	
	6 the — at the location where the water was	
7.6	7 being pumped out. That was the whole basis	G .: /G1 ::
56	9 A. This is the resume	Correction/Clarity
	10 that I am currently using when my resume is	
	11 requested.	
58	14 THE WITNESS: In terms of my	Clarity
	15 publications, yeah, I've my I've	
	16 done more research on well, in the	
	17 last ten years, the focus of my	
	18 research has been more shifted	
61	20 decided that we would work together, so we could	Missing word
62	2 post-processing and data analysis. Together	Clarity
	3 we - we reviewed the - the data, reviewed	
	4 the — the prior publications from ATSDR, and	
	5 then we—together we drafted and edited and	
	6 finalized this report.	
62	20 A. That's correct. It's what we	Correction
	21 call a pre- and post-processor for MODFLOW	
	22 and MT3DMS. The input files to MODFLOW	
63	3 You can modify	Clarity
	4 the input files through the GMS interface,	
	5 for example, save the modified files, run	
	6-and then GMS will then launch MODFLOW and/or	
63	13 A. That's correct. We were It	Clarity
	14 was developed , yeah, in the early part of my	
	15 career.	
69	3 modeling that's done that was documented in Chapter A and	Correction/clarity
69	6 I'd be happy to share that it may not	Correction
80	4 A. Related to the case? I - I've	Clarity
00	5 reviewed the several other I've	Clarity
	6 reviewed the expert reports by Morris Maslia,	
90	16 THE WITNESS: I read through	Clarity
90	17 the portions of the — carefully the	Clarity
	18 Tarawa Terrace reports that I felt	
02	1	Clarita
93	23 THE WITNESS: I——like I say,	Clarity
0.5	24 I I don't I haven't read it. I	C1i-t-
95	23 textbook that but I haven't used it as a	Clarity
96	17 A. One of the things that—	Clarity
	18 there's a — Early in the book there's, I	
	19 believe, a chapter on the groundwater	
	20 modeling process, talks about forming	

	21 conceptual models or the different steps	
105	12 And that typically leads to a	Clarity
103	13 typically to a very constructive discussion	Clarity
107	14 simulations using the models to	Clarity
107	15 with respect to determine how the model output	Clarity
108	3 his report, and then we were — most	Clarity
100	4 recently we—we did an analysis	Clarity
111	5 model was described in the Chapters A, C, and	Correction/clarity
111	6 F, and I reviewed it as I— in that context.	Correction/clarity
112	2 yeah, I just I did not find anything	Clarity
112	3 that - that I was I would consider to be an	Clarity
	4 error in their process.	
113		Clarity
113	17 they had two sets of data. They had PCE —	Ciarity
114	18 well, and they had a large set of head and flow 2 water at the water—Tarawa Terrace water	Clamitry
114		Clarity
111	3 treatment plant.	Missina wanda
114	5 it, of the original study was to do a historical	Missing words
114	12 at the PCE — measured PCE vs observed PCE	Clarity, missing
114	16 days and 15 days and 15 days and 16 day	word
114	16 there was were high observed concentrations the	Clarity
116	12 some — some high fluctuations in the	Correction
11.7	13 area - in the error. However, the	C1
115	11 THE WITNESS: The — they—	Clarity
117	12 they the the model simulated	C1 :
117	2 And, therefore, I—there's no	Clarity
117	9 there's nothing in the post-audit that	Clarity
	10 would warrant , I believe, that would	
	11 be strong evidence to say, hey,	
	12 there's something wrong with the	
110	13 original model.	
118	15 any evidence to for that.	Correction
124	8 A. Yes well, let's see. The	Clarity, missing
	9 black oh, yes, the Well TT-26 was not	words
	10 operated from January '62 to February 1976, hence	
100	11 there's a gap, yes.	G1 :
128	15 I'm generally familiar with	Clarity
	16 that discussion that that about the volatilization	
	17 issue that was addressed by Sabatini. That is not	G1 :
128	22 out of the aquifer, that's what — the model	Clarity
135	5 A. Yeah, another factor is the	Clarity, missing
	6 precipitation . How - and snow melt,	word
	7 precipitation, how much water is - is	
	8 traveling through what we call that the vadose	
	9 zone between the ground surface and the water	
	10 table.	
139	3 consider significant. There was if	Clarity

	A way Devive the warm a guly wagus	
	4 you — During the very early years,	
1.40	5 there was maybe a larger gap between	C1:
142	5 specific point location, and — and it	Clarity
1.40	6 has—it's more susceptible to	Minning and
142	11 match where the simulated vs observed	Missing word
142	23 aquifer than when you take a simple single	Correction
143	4 you'd get with the individual error sample.	Correction
144	4 contexts are a little different. We	Clarity
151	2 A. One of which was the the	Correction.
	3 the middle line. The red line is the $.0005$,	Missing digits.
	4 which was what was used in the original ATSDR	
	5 model. And one of those, as I understand,	
	6 was a .0004 value that was suggested or used	
	7 by Faye. And then another one was a .0006	
	8 value, which was suggested by by Dr. Aral.	
156	21 results to get down that far. And it's	Clarity
	22 you're looking at - there is a - an early	
	23 arrival, but it's at a really small	
157	1 So just the fact And then	Clarity
	2 the Tarawa Terrace water treatment plant	
157	12 uncertainty analysis results, which is	Correction
	13 results from the same phenomenon I'm	
162	11 issue papers. And he has <mark>published</mark> a number of these	Clarity
181	14 A. Oh, I'm sure he would. But I	Clarity
	15 don't want that to my personal	
	16 relationship with him to impact my my	
	17 my work and my conclusions on this.	
182	23 TechFlowMP results. We focused mainly on the	Clarity/correction
	24 MODFLOW and MT3DSMS — MS as — as that was within	
	the	
	25 context of the work were asked to do. Was We were	
185	5 A. Yeah. One in particular, you	Correction
	6 may or may not be familiar with is the with	
	7 the Woburn case near Boston, Massachusetts.	
185	15 believe, in the in a the Woburn	Correction
194	10 defense. They had different models	Clarity
	11 and argued for the merits of each, and	
	12 that's the that what was bifurcated as	
	13 described in this - in this issue.	
195	3 now, and so there—that was one of	Clarity
	4 the that was one of the issues in	
	5 the case.	
195	22 where which specific neighborhoods and	Correction
196	8 A. That's I believe so. I	Missing word
	9 collected my calibration data I was also in	_