## Exhibit 32

	Page 116
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION
4	
5	IN RE: : CAMP LEJEUNE WATER :
6	LITIGATION :
7	: No. 7:23-CV-897 This Document Relates to: :
,	ALL CASES :
8	TIEL CHEED
9	
	VOLUME II
10	
11	
	CONTINUED REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
12	
	ARI KELMAN, PH.D.
13	
1 4	
14	Tuesday, April 8, 2025
15	idesday, April 0, 2025
	12:02 p.m.
16	_
17	
18	
19	REPORTED BY: CAROL A. KIRK, RPR/RMR/CSR
20 21	GOLKOW, a Veritext Division
<b>4 4</b>	1650 Market Street, Suite 5150
22	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
23	877.370.3377
24	deps@golkow.com

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Page 2 of 95

Page 117 1 R E M O T E APPEARANCES 2 3 On behalf of the Plaintiffs: 4 WALLACE AND GRAHAM, P.A. JOHN HUGHES, ESQUIRE 5 jhughes@wallacegraham.com MARK DOBY, ESQUIRE 6 mdoby@wallacegraham.com NOELLE MUSOLINO, ESQUIRE 7 nmusolino@wallacegraham.com 525 North Main Street Salisbury, North Carolina 8 28144 704-633-5244 9 10 On behalf of the Defendant: 11 12 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ENVIRONMENTAL TORTS LITIGATION 13 BY: CINDY M. HURT, ESQUIRE Cindy.M.Hurt@usdoj.com 14 HANLEY GIBBONS, ESQUIRE Hanley.W.Gibbons@usdoj.com 15 1100 L. Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 202-307-5788 16 17 18 ALSO PRESENT: 19 Jerry Ensminger Jessica Ans, DOJ 20 Brian McGee, Videographer 21 2.2 2.3 24

	Page 118
1	INDEX TO EXAMINATION
2	WITNESS
3	ARI KELMAN, PH.D.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONT'D) BY MR. HUGHES 120
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 119
1	
2	
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on
6	the record.
7	My name is Brian McGee. I am a
8	videographer for Golkow, a Veritext
9	division.
L O	Today's date is April 8, 2025, and
L 1	the time is 12:02 p.m.
L 2	This remote video deposition is
L 3	being held in the matter of Camp Lejeune
L 4	Water Litigation v. United States of
L 5	America for the United States District
L 6	Court for the Eastern District of North
L 7	Carolina. The deponent is Ari Kelman,
L 8	Ph.D.
L 9	All parties to this deposition are
2 0	appearing remotely and have agreed to
21	the witness being sworn in remotely.
22	Due to the nature of remote
23	reporting, please pause briefly before
2 4	speaking to ensure all parties are heard

Page 120 1 completely. Will counsel please identify 2 3 themselves for the video record. MR. HUGHES: Sure. This is 5 John Hughes, Wallace and Graham for the plaintiffs. 6 7 And also I think on remote also 8 may be Noelle Musolino from Wallace and 9 Graham who will not be talking, but 10 she's a lawyer here that's listening in. 11 MS. HURT: For the United States is Cindy Hurt. Also for the United 12 13 States is Hanley Gibbons and Jessica 14 Ans. 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court 16 reporter is Carol Kirk, and will now 17 swear in the witness. 18 19 ARI KELMAN, Ph.D. 20 being by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter 2.1 certified, deposes and says as follows: 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONT'D) 2.3 BY MR. HUGHES: 24 Q. Dr. Kelman, can you hear me okay?

					Page	121
Α.	I	can,	yes.			

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

MR. HUGHES: Can I ask the court reporter and videographer to bring back up your expert report. It should be Exhibit 2 from the prior deposition, the prior day of deposition, that we did back on April 1st.

If we can go to numbered page 1 of the report. It says, "Introduction and Expert Opinions" at the top.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: What page are you on? I'm sorry.

MR. HUGHES: Keep going. It will say numbered page 1 at the bottom.

There will be a 1 at the bottom center of the page.

That's the one. Thank you.

BY MR. HUGHES:

- Q. Dr. Kelman, have you ever done an expert report in the past for any case regarding the topic of the use of oral histories in a court case?
- A. I have not.

- Q. Okay. Have you ever done an expert report for a legal matter in the past regarding the topic of the differences between an oral history and an interview?
  - A. I have not.

Okay.

O.

page 1 of your report, the first opinion, which pertains to oral histories, interviews, depositions. Have you ever done an opinion along those lines, what we see in opinion number 1, in any other legal matter?

If you look at numbered

MS. HURT: Objection to form.

- A. Could you repeat the question?

  And, actually, is it also possible to perhaps make this a little bit larger?
  - Q. Sure.
  - A. Thank you.
- Q. So if you look at opinion number 1, in the first couple lines, it references "Oral histories, interviews, depositions, other information conveyed verbally."
  - Do you see those lines?
- A. I do, yes.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever done an expert

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

Page 8 of 95

report in any other court matter where you opined on those subjects, the subjects of oral histories, interviews, depositions, other information conveyed verbally?

MS. HURT: Objection to form.

- A. I have not.
- Q. Okay. And when I'm -- and I'm not asking -- let me ask some more questions to make it more clear.

I'm not asking whether you've done a prior report that cited a deposition or something like that. I'm asking if you've done a prior report that had an opinion on whether another expert's use of things like oral histories or interviews or depositions was acceptable or not.

Do you understand my question?

- A. I do understand your question.
- Q. Okay. And I'm just trying to make it clear that you haven't done a report like that in another case, right?
  - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Okay. And then if we look at number 2, which talks about collection of verbal communications, standards of professional

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

historians to question the sources on things like bias.

Have you ever issued an opinion in another case regarding another expert's use of verbal communications and questioning the sources for bias?

- A. No, I have not.
- Q. Okay. And then number 3, you talk about citation practices. And, for example, you note towards the bottom the page, you say that he has images that did not have accurate citations or any citations.

Have you ever done a report in another case on that subject regarding another expert's use of photos or citations?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Has a court ever found you to be qualified as an expert in the area of the use of interviews and oral histories by historian experts in court?

MS. HURT: Objection to form.

- A. I'm sorry. Again, would you mind repeating the question.
  - Q. Sure.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

Page 10 of 95

So you've been an expert in some other cases like Ideker, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

- Q. Okay. And what I'm asking is whether a court has ever, to your knowledge, found you to be a qualified expert in the topic area of the use of interviews and oral histories by historians in court?
  - A. No. I don't -- sorry. No.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever used oral histories in any expert report submitted in any court case in the past?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- 14 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- We had the first day of your deposition back on April 1st.
- Have you reviewed any documents relevant to this matter since April 1st?
- 19 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. What have you looked at?
- A. I've looked at my report and
- 22 Dr. Longley's report.
- Q. Okay. When you say "Dr. Longley's report," are you aware that he has three reports?

Page 11 of 95

- A. I am, yes. Sorry. I should -- to be clear, I looked at his original report, and then I looked at his response to the report that I wrote.
  - Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any documents besides those reports?
    - A. I have not, no.
  - Q. Are you aware whether Dr. Longley has done any expert reports in other legal matters such as immigration matters?
    - A. I'm not aware of that.
  - Q. Okay. I take it you've not reviewed any reports that Dr. Longley has done as an expert in any immigration matters, right?
    - A. No, I have not.
  - Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any expert reports by Dr. Longley in any other cases to see if there were any errors in his reports?
  - MS. HURT: Objection to form.
- A. No, I have not.
- Q. Do you know whether Dr. Longley has
  ever been excluded as an expert in any other prior
  lawsuit?
- A. No, I do not.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 12 of 95

1	Q. Okay. Do you know if Dr. Longley's
2	opinions as an expert have ever been excluded in
3	whole or in part in any prior lawsuit?
4	A. No, I do not.
5	MR. HUGHES: Okay. If we can go
6	to page 3 of the report in front of you
7	on the screen.
8	Okay. That's good.
9	Let's see. All right.
L 0	Actually, if we go ahead to
L1	page 5. Go to page 5.
L 2	BY MR. HUGHES:
L 3	Q. Okay. Do you see where in the
L 4	middle of the page, it references to a quote from
L 5	Bloch on cross-examination as a "necessity of
L 6	well-conducted historical research"?
L 7	Do you see that?
L 8	A. I do.
L 9	Q. Okay. Do you agree that
20	cross-examination can be useful and sometimes
21	necessary for well-conducted historical research?
22	MS. HURT: Objection to form.
23	A. I agree that as Bloch is defining
24	cross-examination, I think it's important yes,

I think that historians need to be mindful of the biases of their sources, of the positionality of the people who generated the sources of the goals of the people who created those sources.

And as a consequence of that, they need to -- I don't know how I'd put it other than to say they need to analyze them very, very carefully.

And so what Bloch is doing here is he's using an analogy. He's using the phrase "cross-examination." What he really means is that you need to push on your sources. You need to interrogate them. Again, not the way that necessarily we mean that verb in the context of law enforcement, but in the context of historical research, yes. I do agree.

Q. Okay. If you look at page -- if we can go ahead to page 8 of your report, middle of the page.

Okay. Do you see bottom of that big paragraph in the middle, it talks about -- it says, "In my experience, deponents are questioned by attorneys."

Do you see that?

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Page 14 of 95

- Α. I do see that.
- What experience are you referring to 2 0. 3 when you talk about "in my experience"?
  - I've been deposed in the past. Α.
  - Ο. Okay. And would that be in connection with one or more of the cases that are listed in your disclosures, like Ideker?
    - Α. Yes.
    - Okay. Page 9 of your report. Q. MR. HUGHES: Thank you.
- BY MR. HUGHES: 11

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Ο. The bottom half. One thing you say is that he used the phrase "oral histories" when it appears what he should have labeled the sources were interviews, right?
  - Α. Yes.
- Ο. Okay. Do you agree that for purposes not of what you call an oral history, but an interview, a historian may take notes, but the historian may stop short of recording the interview in order to use it?
  - MS. HURT: Objection to form.
- 23 In my experience, it's --Α. Yes. historians will sometimes use what I would 24

Page 15 of 95

describe as interviews, oral communication that
does not meet the same standard as an oral history
in their work.

And in those instances, they do not have an obligation to record that, to transcribe it, or to archive it.

MR. HUGHES: If we look at page Let's see. Page 10. Yeah.

## BY MR. HUGHES:

11.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. At the top of page 10, you see four lines down where it says in part, "Notes may suffice when conducting interviews," right?

  You agree with that, right, that statement?
- A. One moment. I just want to read it carefully, if that's okay.
  - Q. Yes, sir. Take your time.
  - A. I do agree with the statement, yeah.
- Q. Okay. If we go to page 11, top of the page. At the top of the page you reference Mr. Ensminger and that Dr. Longley produced notes dated January 2024, but his report was dated December -- I think what you mean there is January -- do you see the reference to January 7,

Page 16 of 95

	Page 131	
1	2024?	
2	A. I do.	
3	Q. Okay. Is that an error.	
4	A. I don't believe so, but I don't	
5	have	
6	Q. Okay. Should the date say 2025	
7	A. Oh.	
8	Q instead of 2024?	
9	A. Apologies. I think you're correct.	
10	I think that that should I think it was dated	
11	January 7, 2025.	
12	Q. Okay. And so is that an error in	
13	your report?	
14	A. It does look like it, yes.	
15	Q. Okay. Does that error, in your	
16	opinion, disqualify you as a historian expert in	
17	this case?	
18	A. No.	
19	Q. Okay. Let me show you okay.	
20	MR. HUGHES: Can the court	
21	reporter can y'all put it's	
22	number	
23	A. Can I	
24	Q. Oh, yeah, go ahead. Yes, sir, go	

1 ahead.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. Yeah. The reason that I would say that it doesn't disqualify me is that the reader, as you've done in this case and fairly easily sussed out what's happened with the error here. And so there's not an effort to mislead. It's simply a matter of a new year; in this case, one that was quite fresh. But I do -- but it is an error, yes.
- Q. Okay. But it wasn't an intentional effort to use the date 2024 to try to mislead somebody in order to promote a position, correct?
- A. Well, if you look at the sentence, it would --
- Q. Contextually from the sentence, it raises the issue that if it's a month after December 2024, it must be 2025, right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And so the error can be solved and recognized pretty easily by looking at the context?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you, are you aware of whether the plaintiffs provided supplemental

Page 18 of 95

information to the Department of Justice with regard to some of Dr. Longley's reliance materials?

MS. HURT: Objection to form.

- A. I'm sorry. Could you clarify?
- Q. Yeah. Let me try it this way.
- A. Thanks.
- Q. So we've produced some letters to the Department of Justice with tables that provide additional detail on some of the things that Dr. Longley cited.

And what they show, to paraphrase, is that the first time he spoke to Ensminger, which is referenced in his December report, he simply typed what Ensminger said into the report. He didn't keep notes.

The second time he talked to Ensminger, he took notes, and that's dated January 7, 2025, and those notes were produced.

The third time that he spoke with Ensminger of significance, he did a Zoom session of Ensminger and Partain.

So were you aware until I told you now that for purposes of his December report, he

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

simply talked to Ensminger on the phone. He did not say that he kept notes from that call.

- A. I think I was aware of that, but it's an odd practice. But I think that I was aware of that.
- Q. Okay. The next paragraph down in this same page, you talk about a failure to critically evaluate his sources.

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- Q. Okay. Now, one question I have is, the one thing that you say -- and I don't want to put words in your mouth, but you say a historian should evaluate sources for bias, right?
  - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Okay. Is it your position that a historian when they write a book or an article that cites to a source or a witness, they need to explicitly say words to the effect of "I have evaluated this witness or source for bias and decided that I can handle it"?

In other words, what Dr. Longley
I believe says is, "Yeah, he evaluated for bias,
but he didn't have to write that in his report."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

Page 20 of 95

Is it your position that you have to write -- the historian has to actually physically write out to confirm that they evaluated a source for bias?

- A. No. They --
- 6 MS. HURT: Objection.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
  - MS. HURT: That's okay.
- A. No, they don't have to write it out.

  But they have to make it clear that they've done

  so by -- in some way making it clear to their

  reader what their analytical apparatus is.
  - So I'm going to refer back to something that you just said a moment ago, Mr. Hughes.
    - Q. Yes, sir.
  - A. I think what you just said is that on December 7th, Dr. Longley just typed what Mr. Ensminger said to him directly into his report. I believe that's the words that you said.
- So that's -- that's precisely this

  sort of issue that I was raising here, that

  I don't see how somebody who is simply

  transcribing what someone says to them into their

1

2

3

5

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 21 of 95

Page 136 1 report is critically evaluating the source. I'm not sure if that makes sense to 2 3 you. I understand what you're saying. 0. 5 Α. Oh, okay. Great. 6 Q. I do. Let's keep going. 7 If you look at page 13 of your 8 report, at the bottom of 13, you see the reference 9 to two films, and that there's no time codes 10 listed on the films. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Very bottom of the page. 13 Α. I do see that, yes. Thank you. 14 Have you asked the DOJ whether they 0. 15 have access to those films? 16 I have not asked them that. Α. 17 Ο. Okay. Do you know if they do? I don't know. 18 Α. 19 Okay. Have you tried to look at Ο. either film to see whether it supports what it was 20 2.1 cited for? 22 Α. No, because my point here was about 2.3 citation practices rather than the substance.

Okay. Do you know whether

24

Page 22 of 95

Q.

Page 137 1 Dr. Brigham, Jay Brigham and his staff, fact checked the citations in Dr. Longley's first 2 3 report? Α. I don't know. 5 MR. HUGHES: Okay. All right. Let's look at Dr. Longley's report. So 6 7 if y'all can pull up Exhibit 5 from 8 before, which is Dr. Longley's first 9 report. 10 And we're done with that, the 11 first one. 12 BY MR. HUGHES: 13 Ο. Okay. And, Doctor, do you recognize 14 that as Dr. Longley's -- the first page or two of Dr. Longley's December 2024 report? 15 16 What's on my screen looks like the Α. 17 table of contents, yes. 18 MR. HUGHES: Can we go to page 10, 19 very bottom of the page. BY MR. HUGHES: 20 2.1 Okay. At page 10, the very bottom 0. 22 of the page, do you see a citation to "Oral History via Zoom with Alan Howard, 30 23

24

Page 23 of 95

August 2024"?

1	A. I do.
2	THE WITNESS: And I'm going to ask
3	whoever is handling the exhibits again
4	if they could maybe make this a bit
5	bigger. I do see it, but it's harder
6	thank you so much. That's fantastic.
7	Q. Yeah, it was super tiny.
8	A. That's great.
9	Yes, I do see that, Mr. Hughes.
10	Q. Okay. In your mind, would that pass
11	muster if it said "interview" instead of "oral
12	history"?
13	MS. HURT: Objection to form.
14	A. I'm going to take a moment to look
15	at what's I'm looking at the material that's
16	being cited. I just need one moment.
17	So do you mind repeating the
18	question?
19	Q. Yeah. One thing your report says is
20	the oral history should have been called
21	interviews instead. And so I'm asking if we took
22	this footnote that says oral history with Alan
23	Howard and if it was recharacterized as an
24	interview with Alan Howard, would that be

Page 24 of 95

1 | acceptable in your opinion as a citation?

- A. As a matter of citation practice, it would.
  - Q. Okay. And then if we look at page 19, page 19, footnote 46.

Okay. That says, "Oral historian statement, Ensminger." And assume we don't have notes for that.

If that was relabeled as an interview statement, interview with Ensminger, would that be acceptable from your point of view, or would it also need to result in a recording or written notes?

MS. HURT: Objection to form.

A. If it was cited as an interview, it wouldn't need to have a recording or written notes. It would need to have the date that the interview took place.

And, again, I think I mentioned when we last met that part of the point, you I think highlighted something from my work where I had cited to an interview rather than an oral history, and that was really helpful, I thought, because what it pointed out was the way in which I was

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

Page 25 of 95

trying to signal to a reader that I hadn't met certain bars, and also that they might want to treat that material with more skepticism.

And so, again, as a matter of citation practice, if this had the date and if it was called an interview, I do think that would suffice, but I might be more skeptical about the material than I would be as it's currently labeled as an oral history or oral historian statement.

- Q. Thank you.
- A. Yeah, of course.

MR. HUGHES: We can go all the way

down to page 32, page 32, footnote 85.

And can you blow it up a little bit.

There you go.

BY MR. HUGHES:

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- Q. Okay. So do we see at footnote 85 another reference to the oral history with Alan Howard, right?
  - A. I do see that, yes.

THE WITNESS: And thank you for blowing it up.

- O. Yes, sir.
- 24 And I'll note it says, "Oral history

Page 26 of 95

1	via Zoom by the Author with Alan Howard,
2	30 August 2024." And I had previously shown you
3	footnote 21 that talked about oral history with
4	Alan Howard, 30 August 2024. So that appears to
5	be another citation to the same thing.
6	Would your position, once again, be
7	that that should say "interview" instead of "oral
8	history"?
9	MS. HURT: Objection to form.
L 0	A. The answer to that is yes. And in
L1	this case, the date is included, which is helpful.
L 2	MR. HUGHES: Okay. If we go to
L 3	all right.
L 4	If you skip ahead all the way to
L 5	about ten pages before the end of this
L 6	document. It's going to start
L 7	renumbering again. I'm looking for
L 8	page 8 of the reliance list.
L 9	So if someone could sort of skip
20	all the way ahead to about ten pages up
21	from the end of the document. I'm going
22	to give you a workout.
23	Let's see. That is not it.
24	Okay. Can you I guess keep oh,

	Page 142
1	you almost got there. Go down. There's
2	the reliance no. Publications.
3	Keep going down. Let's see if
4	there's a reliance list in here. If you
5	can just keep going down.
6	Keep going.
7	There we go. Okay. So all
8	right. Stop there for a second.
9	BY MR. HUGHES:
10	Q. So do you see where it says
11	"Reliance List"?
12	A. I do.
13	MR. HUGHES: Okay. If we can go
14	down to page 8 of the reliance list,
15	which is entry 127. Keep going.
16	Page 8, entry 127.
17	MS. HURT: I would like to note on
18	the record that the reliance list was
19	not submitted with Dr. Longley's report.
20	Is this
21	MR. HUGHES: That's true.
22	Go ahead. Sorry.
23	Yes, ma'am. Go ahead.
24	MS. HURT: That was it. That was

Page 28 of 95

Page 143 1 all I wanted to say. MR. HUGHES: Okay. I will note on 2 3 the record my understanding was that the operative schedule and protocol said 5 that the reliance list was due seven days after the report. 6 7 And so I know that on this first 8 report, we served the reliance list, you 9 know, within seven days after the 10 report. 11 And his second and third report, I think the reliance list is at the end 12 13 of the report. But let's keep going. 14 15 BY MR. HUGHES: 16 Q. All right. If you look at 17 number 127, do you see another reference to the 18 Alan Howard oral history at number 127? 19 MS. HURT: I'm going to object 20 because I know that there was -- I don't 2.1 want to belabor this.

MR. HUGHES: Okay.

MS. HURT: But I do know that

22

2.3

24

Page 29 of 95

there were some issues with us not

receiving all the reliance materials within the seven days. But I just -the reason I want to make it clear for the record that this wasn't submitted is because at the beginning you had the witness swear that this was the report, and I just want to make it clear that this is different from the submitted report, because it's been added -- the reliance materials at the end.

That's the only distinction I'm trying to make.

MR. HUGHES: That's true. So -right. So to be clear, this document
is, I guess, a composite. It has his
report, and then it has the reliance
list, which was sent a week later. I've
put them together. And then there were
a couple letters in January I think
where we supplemented the supplemental
information.

BY MR. HUGHES:

Q. Okay. And so, once again, looking at entry 127 from a citation perspective, your

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

Page 30 of 95

L	position	would	be	that	should	say	"interview,'
2	right?						

- A. Assuming that this is referring to the information that was conveyed orally that we were discussing earlier, yes, I would say that this should be labeled as an interview rather than as oral history.
  - Q. Thank you.

And that is the assumption I'm asking you to make.

And then if you look at the next page, page 9, reliance item 148 where it says "Oral history, Retired Master Sergeant Jerry Ensminger," and I'll ask you to assume that reliance list item is supposed to refer to the same thing we saw in the footnotes to Ensminger.

My understanding is your position is this should say "interview" and it needs to have a date on it; is that right?

MS. HURT: Objection to form.

A. Yeah. And, actually, what I would say here is that this is a -- it's a helpful example of what the problem is, that I don't know what he's referring to here because there is no

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Page 31 of 95

1 date.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

And leaving aside whether it should be labeled an oral history or an interview -- though I do agree with you, that it should be labeled an interview -- without knowing the date, it's very hard to pin down what this information is referring to.

- Q. I understand.
- A. Okay.
- Q. And if we look at the last page of this whole thing at the top, can you see in the last page the total number of reliance list items comes to -- oh, we're almost there. There you go -- 198 items.

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- Q. Okay. And would you agree -- based on looking at this report and looking at the reliance list, would you agree with me the vast majority of the items he puts in these footnotes and puts in his reliance list have nothing to do with oral histories?

MS. HURT: Objection to form.

A. I think I mentioned to you last time

Page 32 of 95

- that I hadn't counted, but that I take you at your
  word, that the majority of these are written
  rather than oral sources.
  - Q. Okay. Have you ever reviewed any of the books or articles cited in Dr. Longley's CV?
- A. Books or articles produced by
- Q. No. So are you aware that
  Dr. Longley is an academic?
- 10 A. I am.

Dr. Longley?

5

7

14

15

16

17

20

- Q. Okay. Are you aware that he is at Chapman University?
- 13 A. I am.
  - Q. Okay. And would it surprise you to learn that he has a public web page that indicates a biographical summary, including publications?
  - A. I wouldn't be surprised by that.
- Q. Okay. And would you imagine that someone like Dr. Longley would have a CV?
  - A. I would be surprised if he didn't.
- Q. Okay. Have you seen his CV in this case?
- 23 A. I have.
- Q. Okay. Does the CV -- do you recall,

Page 33 of 95

- does it contain names of various articles and books that he's published?
  - A. It does.
  - Q. Okay. Have you gone and read any of those articles or books to see if they contained errors?
  - A. No.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any of those articles or books for purposes of this case?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Tell me what you've reviewed for purposes of this case in terms of his articles or books.
  - A. I looked at parts of his book, The Morenci Marines.
    - Q. Okay. When you looked at parts of his book on The Morenci Marines, did you see anything that you would call an error, like a citation error or a mislabeling of an oral history or anything like that?
      - A. Not that I noticed.
- Q. Okay. Do you know whether

  Dr. Longley's methodology as a historian produces

  any particular error rate?

Page 34 of 95

1	MS. HURT: Objection to form.
2	A. I do not know that.
3	Q. Okay. And do you have an opinion as
4	to how high the error rate if the error rate
5	gets high enough for a historian, at what point do
6	they become a historian that has an unreliable
7	methodology? Do you have any opinion on that?
8	MS. HURT: Objection to form.
9	A. Errors come in different shapes and
10	sizes is what I would say. So an individual
11	historian could make a single error that could
12	potentially render them unreliable, or they could
13	make many errors and still be considered reliable.
14	So, again, there are errors of
15	omission. There are errors of commission.
16	They're a little bit like sins, right?
17	Q. True enough.
18	A. And in this case so what I would
19	say is I don't know I would not have an opinion
20	about a particular error rate, no. I have
21	opinions about the kind of errors that people make
22	at which point I think that they might be
23	considered unreliable.
24	Q. Okay. Are you aware that

- 1 Dr. Brigham was deposed on March 27th in the case?
  - A. No, I wasn't aware of the date.
  - Q. Okay. Have you reviewed the transcript of Dr. Brigham's deposition?
  - A. No.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Have you reviewed the transcript of Dr. Longley's deposition?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Are you aware that Dr. Longley was deposed on April 3rd?
- 11 A. I don't think I knew the precise date.
  - Q. Okay. Do you -- are you aware as to whether Dr. Longley's three reports were timely under the scheduling order in this case? Do you know one way or the other?
- MS. HURT: Objection to form and foundation.
- A. I don't know about the timeliness of his reports.
  - Q. Okay. Are you aware of whether, in fact, his three reports were all issued before Dr. Brigham was deposed, before Dr. Longley was deposed, and before you were deposed?

Page 36 of 95

1	MS. HURT: Objection to form.
2	A. I believe that they were.
3	Q. Okay. Are you aware that
4	Dr. Longley provided an errata sheet for his first
5	report at his deposition on April 3rd?
6	A. I was not aware of that.
7	Q. Okay. Are you aware that under the
8	federal rules, a party has a duty to supplement a
9	report or correct a report when they learn that in
10	some material respect, the disclosure is
11	incomplete or incorrect?
12	MS. HURT: Objection to form and
13	foundation.
14	A. I was not aware of that.
15	Q. Okay. Do you believe that if an
16	expert during the process of a case learns they
17	had a mistake and corrects it, do you believe that
18	should disqualify the expert?
19	MS. HURT: Objection to form and
20	foundation.
21	A. It would depend on the kind of
22	mistake that they had made, I would say.
23	Q. Okay. All right. You wrote a book
24	called A River and Its City, correct?

Page 37 of 95

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And that book was published in 2006?
- 3 A. I thought it was published --
- 4 | I thought it was published in 2003.
- Q. It could be. I'm reading from theUniversity of California Press.
- 7 Was it published, to your
- 8 recollection, in the early 2000s?
- 9 A. It was. I think you're probably
- 10 looking at the paperback edition. There was a new
- 11 paperback edition.
- 12 Q. You know what? You must be right,
- because there's some book reviews from 2004.
- 14 Have you read any book reviews of
- 15 | your book, A River and Its City?
- A. I have never read a single review of
- 17 my work.
- Q. Okay. Do you know who Gay Gomez is?
- 19 A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. You don't know of a Gay Gomez
- 21 | who is an assistant professor at McNeese State
- 22 University?
- A. I've never heard of that person.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that there's a

Page 38 of 95

jour	rnal	called	Louisiana	History,	the	Journal	of
the	Lou	isiana	Historical	Associat	ion?		

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

Q. Okay. It sounds like you weren't aware, but were you aware before now that Professor Gomez published a book review of your book, A River and Its City, in the Louisiana History, Journal of the Louisiana Historical Association in its issue which was Summer 2004 Volume 45, Number 3, Page 359?

Were you aware of that before now?

- A. I was not.
- Q. Okay. And in Professor Gomez's review, she says that there was "an error needs correction," and she cited the following inaccuracy. She said that your book said there were three steamboat accidents that were described as occurring in a little over -- a little more than a month. But, in fact, the accidents spanned from November 1849 to December 1850.

Are you aware of that?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall whether your book, A River and Its City, referenced various

Page 39 of 95

steamboat	accidents?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. It did.
- Q. Okay. And does the time range of November 1849 to December 1850 sound accurate in terms of when those accidents were?
- A. You're going to have to forgive me. It was a long time ago, and I cited many more steamboat accidents than just that. So the particulars of this case -- sorry. When I say "this case," of the instance that you're describing, I just don't know.
- Q. Okay. And so you don't recall whether you characterized those three accidents as occurring in a little more than a month?
- A. No. But if I'm understanding you correctly, it sounds like what -- sorry. Is it Professor Gomez? Is that right?
  - O. Yeah.
- A. It sounds like what they found is -again, if I'm understanding you correctly, it
  sounds like if what they found is that I wrote
  that something happened between November and
  December, and I got the dates wrong, and,
  actually, instead of it being in a little over a

Page 40 of 95

- 1 | month, it was a little over a year and a month.
  - Q. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Is that correct? That instead of -it was from November of 1849 to December of 1850,
  not December of 1849?
- 6 Am I understanding you?
  - Q. Yes, sir.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. Here's what she said, and I've given people the cite. I'm not going to make it an exhibit, but I've give the cite so people know what it is.

She said, "Finally, an error needs

- correction: Three steamboat accidents dated

  November 15, 1849, December 14, 1850, and

  December 17, 1850 are described as occurring 'in

  just a little more than month.'" That's what she
  said.
- Does that ring any bell to you in terms of that part of your book?
- A. No. But I take her at her word at that time.
- Q. Okay. And if that's correct, would you believe that disqualifies you from being an

Page 41 of 95

historian expert on methodological grounds or reliability grounds?

MS. HURT: Objection to form and foundation.

A. No. At the risk of defending myself, what I would say is that that error sounds a little bit like the one that you discovered in my expert report.

It's the sort of thing that a critical reader looking at what I've written could suss informations out relatively easily where I had gone awry.

So there's no effort to mislead the reader. This is a simple error, and the sort of thing that does every now and again regrettably crop up in my work.

O. I understand.

The same review said "I also saw it but did not find a detailed list of maps and other illustrations that appear in the work. While captions and a seemingly incomplete map section in the work cited provides some information, including dates and repositories, perhaps the next edition of the book could include a listing that

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

Page 42 of 95

1 also reveals the full title and photographer of

- 2 each map and the original source of the sketches,
- i.e., Harper's Weekly."
- My question to you is, do you
- 5 recall, is it true that there is not a detailed
- list of maps and other illustrations for that 6
- 7 book --

- Α. Do you mind reading the --
- -- with regard --9 0.
- 10 Α. Oh, sorry.
- 11 Yeah, go ahead -- with regard to 0.
- 12 A River and Its City?
- 13 Yeah, I don't -- I don't have this Α.
- material in front of me, so I'm afraid -- do you 14
- 15 mind reading what the author wrote again, the
- 16 reviewer wrote again?
- 17 0. Yep.
- 18 It sounds like I have to find Α.
- 19 Dr. Gomez one of these days.
- I can -- well, I'll send the cite 20
- 2.1 list to DOJ counsel after this. I didn't want to
- 22 put in new exhibits, but these are some things
- 2.3 I found.
- What she said was "I also saw but 24

1	did not find a detailed list of maps and other
2	illustrations that appear in the work." That's
3	the first sentence.

And so my question to you is, is that true? Was there -- was there a lack of a detailed list of maps and other illustrations from your perspective?

- A. I think she went on to say in the passage that you read earlier that I had noted the repositories where these were found, the dates that they were found in my captions. So these were captions in the book. I really do think that I cited them appropriately.
  - Q. Okay. Fair enough.

Do you know who Gregory Bush is?

- A. I've never heard of that person.
- 17 I'm sorry.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Q. Okay. So Gregory Bush was the associate professor of history and director of the Institute for Public History at the university of Miami. He retired in 2018. He wrote a review in the Journal of Heritage Stewardship, CRM.

Are you aware of that journal?

A. I've never heard of it.

Page 44 of 95

Q. Okay. In his review, which was in the Volume 1, Number 1, Fall 2003 issue, which is available online, he says, "Although the breadth of Kelman's sources is impressive, there is an inadequate feel for how residents or seamen reacted to the waterfront."

Would you agree that your book on Louisiana could be criticized for having an inadequate feel for how residents or seamen reacted to the waterfront?

- A. No. I think he's wrong.
- Q. Okay. When I was Googling you,
  I found an article called In the shadow of
- disaster: Rebuilding in harm's way in The Nation from January of 2006.
- Does that ring a bell for you?
- 17 A. It does.
- Q. Okay. I didn't see it in your CV.
- However, there were other articles in The Nation that were in your CV.
- Do you know why this one was not in your CV?
- A. Did you say that that one was from 24 2006?

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

Page 45 of 95

1		T <b>+</b>	
_	Ų.	Ιt	TB.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. I believe that what I said is that
  I had a comprehensive list of my publications over
  the preceding ten years, and prior to that,
  I had -- I had just a selection of them.
- Q. Okay. Fair enough. Fair enough.

  But you do recall doing an article
  of that name?
  - A. Yeah, I do.
- Q. Okay. My point is, in the article you say things -- like, you refer to a geographer named Peirce Lewis, but there's no citations.
- Is it correct that you've published articles from time to time that reference communications with individuals or sources, yet have no citations to how they were recorded or saved?
- A. The Nation is not a scholarly publication. It's a mass market magazine. They don't have footnotes or endnotes, I don't believe.
  - Q. Okay. Is that a yes?

    MS. HURT: Objection to form.
  - Q. Let me try again.

    Have you published articles in which

Page 46 of 95

- you have used information from communications with individuals, yet without any citations about how they were recorded or saved?
  - A. I have when I publish in mass market magazines that don't have footnotes or endnotes included information that I do not cite.
  - Q. Okay. And do you believe in that context, it's appropriate not to give footnotes, endnotes, or citations?
  - A. Yes. If there is no scholarly back matter or apparatus for including citations,

    I think it is appropriate not to include them.
- Q. All right. Do you know who
  John H. Moore is?
- 15 A. I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. So there is a John H. Moore,
  Ph.D., professor of anthropology, University of
  Florida.
- Does that ring a bell? It may not.
  I'm just asking.
- A. No, it doesn't.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of a journal called the UCLA American Indian Culture and Research Journal?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Α. Never heard of it.
- Okay. Well, they did --2 0.

Professor Moore did a review of a misplaced 3 massacre, the Sand Creek book. It is found in 4 that journal at Volume 38, Number 4, from 2014.

And in that review, he says, "A 6 7 major weakness of this book is Kelman's lack of 8 ethnological knowledge, especially a technical 9 vocabulary." Then he says, "For example, he throws the word 'chief' around rather carelessly." 10

11 You've never read that before,

12 right?

13

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

1

5

- Α. No, I haven't.
- 14 Okay. Would you agree that a 0. 15 weakness in the book is your lack of ethnological knowledge, especially of technical vocabulary? 16
  - Α. No, I would not agree.
  - Okay. You wrote an article called Ο. Ripples of Memory from Sand Creek that was published in 2023.

Do you remember that?

- Α. Honestly, no.
- Okay. Ο.
- I'm sure I did, but I don't remember 24 Α.

Page 48 of 95

1 | the specifics.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Well, it's -- okay. Let me tell you a little bit about it. So it's in your CV, and it's -- so it's in the CV under Ripples of Memory from Sand Creek, Parks Stewardship Forum:

  Interdisciplinary Journal of Place-Based

  Conservation."
  - A. Oh, yep, yep. I got it now.
  - Q. I found it under your name.
  - A. Yeah, I got it now.
- Q. And so on this one, my point is this one has endnotes. And one of them, for example, says, "Quotes from Alexa Roberts to Ari Kelman, Conversation, October 15, 2022, Notes in Author's Possession."
- Would that be an example of an interview and how you cite it and it's not an oral history?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I'll give you one more.

So there's another footnote in there
that says -- or endnote. It says, "Gail Ridgley
to Ari Kelman, Conversation, April 19, 2023, Notes
in Author's Possession."

Page 49 of 95

So, again, that would be an example of a citation to something that's less than an oral history in terms of being recorded or archived, correct?

MS. HURT: Objection to form.

- A. The answer is yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you know who Amy Breakwell is?
- A. I don't think so.
  - Q. So Amy Breakwell is a professor in the history department at South Puget Sound Community College, who wrote a review of the Sand Creek book in a journal called Civil War History.

Are you aware of a journal called Civil War History?

- A. I don't think so, no.
- Q. Okay. Well, the citation is Civil
  War History, Volume 60, Number 3, 2014, Page 353.
  And one thing she says is, "It struck this
  reviewer -- it struck this reviewer as regrettable
  that Kelman did not seek permission to access the
  oral histories collected by Native Americans
  during the cite search process, as he was,

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

Page 50 of 95

1 | therefore, unable to quote from them."

Is that true? Was there some issue about getting oral histories collected by Native Americans that you can recall for purposes of the Sand Creek book?

- A. That is untrue. She is wrong.
- Q. Okay. Then tell me what you say about that.
- A. I collected well over 100 oral histories for the book. I'm not sure how many of them were with native people, but it's got to be -- well, I just -- roughly half of them or more were with native people.

In each of those instances, I did seek their permission. I just -- I don't know what she's referring to. I'm sorry.

Q. It's okay.

And what I'm getting at is what she says is -- she talks about -- she says, "It struck this reviewer as regrettable that Kelman did not seek permission to access the oral histories collected by Native Americans." I read that, Professor Kelman, to mean maybe there were other oral histories that Native Americans themselves

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

Page 51 of 95

1 1	11	collected.
	nad	COLLECTED
	Haa	

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. I do -- I do know what she means.
- Q. Please explain.
- A. There were oral histories collected by tribal communities during the site location process for the Sand Creek massacre national historic site. Those oral histories were collected by the Northern Cheyenne, the Northern Arapaho and the Cheyenne and Arapaho tribes of Oklahoma.

I don't know precisely how many oral histories were collected, but it was fewer than 20. Those oral histories in their entirety were made available through the site location study, and, therefore, individuals did not need permission to access them because they were part of a federal repository.

- O. Okay.
- A. And I did consult them.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Can I have the Ideker case pulled up. It's an order. It's number 27 in the exhibits that I circulated before the last time.

Page 52 of 95

Page 167 1 Can you go to page 41. One second. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you for 3 increasing the size. MR. HUGHES: Okay. Can you go 5 forward to page 51. I'm sorry. It's not 41. It's 51. 6 7 Okay. Let's see. Yeah. BY MR. HUGHES: 8 9 0. So this is an order the Court entered in the Ideker case. And you can see 10 11 halfway down the page where it says, "The government presented as its first witness 12 13 Dr. Ari Kelman, an environmental historian." 14 Do you see that? 15 Α. I do. 16 0. Okay. And the next sentence says, 17 "Dr. Kelman testified that properties next to the 18 Missouri River have always been subject to 19 extensive flooding, " right? 20 It does say that. Α. 2.1 Okay. Have you seen this order 0. 22 before? 2.3 Α. I don't recall. 24 Q. Okay. If you look at the bottom

Page 53 of 95

1 sentence on the screen, it says, "Dr. Kelman's opinions were based solely on the review of 2 documents concerning historical flood events identified in public records; he did not consult 5 the plaintiffs regarding their experience of flooding on the representative tracks before or 6 after the MRRP." 7 8 Do you see that language? 9 Α. I do. 10 Is that true? Did you review Ο. Okay. any information from the plaintiffs in that case, 11 to your recollection? 12 13 Α. Hold on. 14 No, I don't think that's entirely 15 I did look at depositions of the plaintiffs. 16 17 MR. HUGHES: Okay. Let me go down a little further and see if it flushes 18 19 it out. 20 If you can go down to the

paragraph that says "However."

Let's find it. One sec.

2.1

22

23

24

Page 54 of 95

Keep going down a little bit.

Okay. Yeah, go to page 64,

1 page 63, 64. Sorry. 2 Okav. Yeah. 3 BY MR. HUGHES: All right. So if you look at the Ο. 5 top of page 64, it says, "However, as stated above, the Court gives Dr. Kelman's testimony 6 little weight. Dr. Kelman conducted no interviews 8 with the representative plaintiffs regarding their 9 expectations or what information they had available when forming their expectations despite 10 11 acknowledging that such information is relevant to 12 its opinions, " and cites to a transcript. 13 Do you see that? 14 Α. I do. 15 And then it says, "On Ο. cross-examination, Dr. Kelman admitted that the 16 17 representative plaintiffs' personal observations 18 for the period of time they lived on the river and 19 how that was managed are relevant and important considerations." 20 2.1 Do you see that? 22 Α. I do.

Court match your recollection of the case?

2.3

24

0.

Okay. Does that summary by the

- A. It matches my recollection of what's being described here.
  - Q. Can you elaborate on your answer.
  - A. Well, the case is broader than just this. It appears to me that -- I'm going to read this again.

"As stated above" -- yeah, I did not do any interviews with the representative plaintiffs. That is accurate. I had their depositions, which I consulted to have a sense of their expectations.

I did believe that their expectations were relevant, and I believe that their personal observations for the period of time they lived on the river was also relevant.

- Q. Okay. Do you know if you did a written report in that case?
- A. I did.
- Q. Do you have it?
- A. Not at hand, no.
- Q. Is it something you could dig up easily, or no?
- A. I expect I could find it given a few minutes.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Well, if you can find it and -after the deposition is over, I'll ask DOJ if they
  could see if they can get from you and give to us
  a copy of your report from that case, and then
  I'll take it up with them. So don't worry about
  it yourself right now.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. Now, Jay Brigham, you've worked with Jay Brigham before, right?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Do you know whether Professor Brigham has been -- has had his opinions excluded in part in a prior case? Do you know one way or the other?
    - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Do you know of a case called -- I'm going to spell it. It's L-a-c new word C-o-u-r-t-e, new word, O-r-e-i-l-l-e-s, Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin versus Evers.
- 21 Have you ever heard of that case 22 before?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Okay. And -- all right.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

In that case, the Court entered an order on April 9, 2021 granting in part a motion in limine, Daubert motion, to exclude the testimony of Dr. Brigham.

You're not aware of that topic,

correct?

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- No, I'm not aware of it. Α.
- Ο. Okay. Have you ever written an article about life on a military base? MS. HURT: Objection to form.
- I've written about life on military Α. bases, but I've not written an article that has exclusively been about that topic.
- Okay. Have you written any articles or, for that matter, books or parts of books about life on military bases in the last 100 years? MS. HURT: Objection to form.
- Α. I wrote a piece at one point that was in part about life on Fort Carson --
  - Tell me more about that. Ο.
  - -- in the last 100 years. Α.
- Q. Okay.
- 2.3 It was about what's called a staff Α. ride, which as I understand it, is an effort to 24

understand a particular military action that took place in the past. And it was about a staff ride that came out of Fort Carson, and I wrote in part about life on the base.

- Q. Did you go to the base?
- A. I did.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

- Q. Okay. And did you interview any sources or take any oral histories in connection with that project?
  - A. I interviewed people.
- Q. Okay. And did that result in a publication?
- A. You know, I don't remember. I think it did, but I -- I'm not positive.
- MR. HUGHES: Okay. Fair enough.

16 All right. Let's take -- it's

4:05 my time. Let's take a five-minute

break, and then I will wrap up. And it

19 will take me about -- you know, ten

minutes or less to wrap up.

21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is

22 1:05 p.m.

We are off the record.

(Recess taken.)

Page 59 of 95

1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
2	1:11 p.m., and we are on the record.
3	BY MR. HUGHES:
4	Q. Do you recall what you were paid
5	roughly for your work as an expert in the past
6	cases where you were an expert, Dr. Kelman?
7	A. I don't. I'm sorry.
8	Q. Did you have a staff, other people,
9	helping you and being paid for it and working as
10	an expert in past cases?
11	A. I have always worked as a
12	subcontractor of Morgan, Angel or Morgan, Angel,
13	Brigham. And in some instances, I have had access
14	to other Morgan, Angel, Brigham employees to help
15	with to help serve as research assistants.
16	Q. Okay. Your report in this case
17	appears limited to discussing Dr. Longley's report
18	dated December 7, 2024.
19	With regard to Dr. Longley's other
20	two reports, the one from January 2025 and the one
21	from March of 2025, have you formulated any expert
22	opinions with regard to those two reports?
23	MS. HURT: Objection to form.
24	A. Not at this time, no.

Page 60 of 95

Page 175 1 Q. Okay. So looking at 2 Dr. Longley's --3 MR. HUGHES: Let's pull it up just for a second. It's number 6. It's 5 Dr. Longley's rebuttal report. It's dated January 13, 2025. 6 7 BY MR. HUGHES: 8 Ο. Okay. With regard to this report, 9 am I correct that you have no opinions as an expert regarding Dr. Longley's opinions as a 10 11 rebuttal expert as expressed in this January 2025 report; is that correct? 12 13 MS. HURT: Objection to form. 14 Α. Sorry. I'm going to take a moment 15 if that's all right. 16 Yes, sir. Ο. 17 Α. No, I have not offered any expert 18 opinions on this report. 19 Okay. So sitting here today, you do Ο. not contend that Dr. Longley should be excluded as 20 2.1 a rebuttal expert based on his January 2025 22 report, correct? 23 MS. HURT: Objection to form and

24

Page 61 of 95

foundation.

1 Α. I'm afraid I don't know what that 2 means. 3 That's fine. Q. Okay. Can we pull up the Exhibit 7, 4 5 Longley's report from March of 2025. Have you seen this report before, 6 7 Dr. Longley's report, from March of 2025? 8 Α. Yes. 9 0. Okay. I have not seen where you have put into writing any opinions regarding this 10 11 report from March of 2025. 12 Am I correct that you have not put 13 any opinions in writing as to this report? 14 That is correct. Α. 15 MS. HURT: Objection to form. 16 MR. HUGHES: Can you pull up just 17 for a sec Dr. Longley's CV, which is -it's number 13 from last time. 18 19 Thank you. 20 BY MR. HUGHES: 2.1 All right. You're looking at Ο. 22 Dr. Longley's CV that was produced. 2.3 Based on what you see in his CV, do 24 you have an opinion that Dr. Longley is not

Page 62 of 95

Page 177 1 qualified by education, experience, or training to be a historian expert in this case? 2 3 MS. HURT: Objection to form. Based on his education, training, Α. 5 I don't have an opinion about that. MR. HUGHES: Okay. All right. 6 Can we look at -- and I don't think we 7 need to make that an exhibit for this 8 9 deposition, because everyone already has 10 it. 11 Can you look at number 14, pull up number 14 for a second. 12 13 BY MR. HUGHES: 14 Have you seen this before, Ο. 15 Dr. Kelman? It's an oral history release form and 16 a draft that's been updated. 17 Have you seen it before? 18 Α. No. 19 Okay. Then I'm not going to worry Ο. about it. 20 Dr. Kelman, would you consider 2.1 22 yourself an expert on military history of the U.S. 2.3 after 1941?

24

Α.

No.

Q. Okay. With regard to Dr. Brigham, let me -- I'm going to summarize something and ask you if you've heard of it before.

Dr. Brigham gave testimony in a case called the Raytheon case involving Herington H-e-r-i-n-q-t-o-n Army Air Force Base.

In that case, he did an expert report that was filed at Docket Number 284-1 in which he referred to inspections of bombers that occurred every 6,000 miles and every 1,000 miles.

When he was deposed, he was asked about it, and he said -- and this was in his deposition excerpts filed at Document 284-2. He was asked whether his statements on 1,000-mile inspections and 6,000-mile inspections were in error. And his answer was, "I may be in error there."

And he went on to explain that 1,000-mile inspections appeared to be related to automobiles, not to bombers.

Here's my question: Were you aware before now that in a prior case, Dr. Brigham had said in a deposition that he may have been in error in his written expert report?

2.1

Page 64 of 95

1	MS. HURT: Objection to form.
2	A. No, I was not aware of that.
3	MR. HUGHES: Okay. Those are all
4	the questions I have.
5	MS. HURT: I'd like to note on the
6	record that our prior agreement that no
7	new exhibits would be entered into
8	today's deposition, while that was not
9	the case and that didn't happen,
10	Mr. Hughes discussed several documents
11	without providing the documents to the
12	witness.
13	And so I think that kind of
14	violates the spirit of our agreement, if
15	not the word. So I just want to put
16	that on the record.
17	MR. HUGHES: Well, I guess I'll
18	put on the record that we were planning
19	to depose him last time on some of the
20	book reviews, and I did go pull other
21	book reviews, and so I understand what
22	you're saying.
23	However, I think it's fair game to
2 4	ask him about errors that are in public

Page 66 of 95

```
Page 181
 1
             I send that?
                    MS. HURT: You can send it to me,
 2
 3
             to the e-mail address that I sent you.
                    (Signature reserved.)
 5
                Thereupon, at 1:20 p.m., on Tuesday,
 6
     April 8, 2025, the deposition was concluded.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

## CERTIFICATION

Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that

ARI KELMAN, Ph.D. was duly remotely sworn by me to

testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but

stenographically by me at the time, place, and on the

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a

relative nor an employee nor attorney nor counsel of

neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or

counsel, and that I am not financially interested in

any of the parties to this action, and that I am

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a

prior to the commencement of the examination,

verbatim transcript of the testimony as taken

date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my

I, Carol A. Kirk, Registered Merit Reporter and

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

the truth.

ability.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

2.1

22

Carol A. Kirk, RMR, CSR Notary Public

the action.

Dated: April 16, 2025

Carol a Kirk

2.4

Page 183 1 DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET 2 3 Case Caption: Camp Lejeune Water Litigation 4 5 DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY 6 7 8 I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my deposition 9 taken in the captioned matter or the same has been 10 read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save 11 12 and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as 13 indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, 14 with the understanding that I offer these changes as 15 if still under oath. 16 17 ARI KELMAN, Ph.D. 18 19 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO 2.0 before me this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D. 20\_\_\_\_ 21 22 2.3 Notary Public 2.4

Page 69 of 95

		Page 184
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	
2	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
3		
4	Reason for change:	
5	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
6		
7	Reason for change:	
8	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
9		
10	Reason for change:	
11	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
12		
13	Reason for change:	
14	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
15		
16	Reason for change:	
17	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
18		
19	Reason for change:	
20	Page NoLine NoChange to:	
21		
22	Reason for change:	
23		
	SIGNATUREDATE	:
24	Ari Kelman, Ph.D.	

[1 - 8] Page 1

1	<b>1850</b> 153:20	<b>2022</b> 163:14	4
1		<b>2022</b> 103.14 <b>2023</b> 162:20	4
<b>1</b> 121:9,15,16	154:4 155:4,15		<b>4</b> 162:5
122:7,10,18	155:16	163:23	<b>41</b> 167:1,6
159:2,2	<b>19</b> 139:5,5	<b>2024</b> 130:22	<b>45</b> 153:10
<b>1,000</b> 178:10,14	163:23	131:1,8 132:11	<b>46</b> 139:5
178:19	<b>19103</b> 116:22	132:17 137:15	<b>4:05</b> 173:17
<b>10</b> 130:8,10	<b>1941</b> 177:23	137:24 141:2,4	5
137:18,21	<b>198</b> 146:14	174:18	
<b>100</b> 165:9	<b>1:05</b> 173:22	<b>2025</b> 116:14	5 127:11,11
172:16,21	<b>1:11</b> 174:2	119:10 131:6	137:7
<b>11</b> 130:8,19	<b>1:20</b> 180:7	131:11 132:17	<b>51</b> 167:5,6
<b>1100</b> 117:15	181:6	133:19 174:20	<b>5150</b> 116:21
<b>120</b> 118:4	<b>1st</b> 121:8	174:21 175:6	<b>525</b> 117:7
<b>127</b> 142:15,16	125:16,18	175:11,21	6
143:17,18	2	176:5,7,11	<b>6</b> 175:4
144:24	<b>2</b> 121:6 123:23	181:7 182:23	<b>6,000</b> 178:10,15
<b>12:02</b> 116:15	<b>20</b> 166:13	<b>21</b> 141:3	<b>60</b> 164:19
119:11	183:21	<b>27</b> 166:23	<b>63</b> 169:1
<b>13</b> 136:7,8	<b>20005</b> 117:15	<b>27th</b> 150:1	<b>64</b> 168:24
175:6 176:18	2000s 177.13 2000s 152:8	<b>28144</b> 117:8	169:1,5
<b>13149</b> 182:21	<b>2000</b> 3 152:4	<b>284-1</b> 178:8	7
<b>14</b> 155:15	159:2	<b>284-2</b> 178:13	-
177:11,12	<b>2004</b> 152:13	3	7 130:24
<b>148</b> 145:12	153:9	<b>3</b> 124:8 127:6	131:11 133:19
<b>15</b> 155:15	<b>2006</b> 152:2	153:10 164:19	174:18 176:4
163:14	159:15,24	<b>30</b> 137:23	704-633-5244
<b>16</b> 182:23	<b>2014</b> 162:5	141:2,4	117:8
<b>1650</b> 116:21	164:19	<b>32</b> 140:13,13	<b>7:23</b> 116:6
<b>17</b> 155:16	<b>2018</b> 158:21	<b>353</b> 164:19	7th 135:18
<b>1849</b> 153:20	202-307-5788	<b>359</b> 153:10	8
154:4 155:4,5	117:16	<b>38</b> 162:5	<b>8</b> 116:14
154.4 155.4,5		3rd 150:10	119:10 128:18
133.13	<b>2021</b> 172:2		141:18 142:14
		151:5	142:16 181:7
			- 2-11

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

[85 - asked] Page 2

<b>85</b> 140:13,17	145:21 154:24	american	appropriately
877.370.3377	<b>added</b> 144:9	161:23	158:13
116:23	additional	americans	<b>april</b> 116:14
<b>897</b> 116:6	133:10	164:23 165:4	119:10 121:8
9	address 181:3	165:22,24	125:16,18
<b>9</b> 129:9 145:12	admitted	<b>amy</b> 164:7,10	150:10 151:5
	169:16	analogy 128:10	163:23 172:2
172:2	<b>afraid</b> 157:14	analytical	181:7 182:23
a	176:1	135:12	arapaho 166:9
<b>a.d.</b> 183:21	<b>ago</b> 135:14	analyze 128:7	166:9
ability 182:13	154:7	<b>angel</b> 174:12	archive 130:6
<b>above</b> 169:6	<b>agree</b> 127:19	174:12,14	archived 164:4
170:7	127:23 128:16	<b>ans</b> 117:19	<b>area</b> 124:18
academic 147:9	129:17 130:13	120:14	125:6
acceptable	130:18 146:4	<b>answer</b> 141:10	<b>ari</b> 116:12
123:15 139:1	146:17,19	164:6 170:3	118:3 119:17
139:11	159:7 162:14	178:16	120:19 163:13
access 136:15	162:17	anthropology	163:23 167:13
164:22 165:21	<b>agreed</b> 119:20	161:17	182:6 183:17
166:16 174:13	agreement	apologies 131:9	184:24
accidents	179:6,14	apparatus	<b>army</b> 178:6
153:17,19	<b>ahead</b> 127:10	135:12 161:11	article 134:17
154:1,5,8,13	128:18 131:24	<b>appear</b> 156:20	159:13 160:7
155:14	132:1 141:14	158:2	160:10 162:18
accurate	141:20 142:22	appeared	172:9,12
124:11 154:4	142:23 157:11	178:19	<b>articles</b> 147:5,6
168:15 170:9	<b>air</b> 178:6	appearing	148:1,5,9,12
183:11	<b>alan</b> 137:23	119:20	159:19 160:14
acknowledging	138:22,24	<b>appears</b> 129:14	160:24 172:14
169:11	140:19 141:1,4	141:4 170:5	<b>aside</b> 146:2
action 173:1	143:18	174:17	<b>asked</b> 136:14
182:16,19	<b>alexa</b> 163:13	appropriate	136:16 178:11
actually 122:14	america 119:15	161:8,12	178:14 180:2
127:10 135:2			

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

<b>asking</b> 123:8,10	134:3,5 147:8	170:12,13	<b>books</b> 147:5,6
123:12 125:4	147:11 149:24	<b>bell</b> 155:19	148:2,5,9,13
138:21 145:10	150:2,9,13,21	159:16 161:19	172:15,15
161:20	151:3,6,7,14	<b>best</b> 182:12	<b>bottom</b> 121:15
assistant	152:24 153:5,5	<b>bias</b> 124:2,6	121:16 124:10
152:21	153:11,21	134:14,20,23	128:20 129:12
assistants	158:23 161:22	135:4	136:8,12
174:15	164:15 172:5,7	biases 128:2	137:19,21
associate	178:21 179:2	<b>big</b> 128:20	167:24
158:19	<b>awry</b> 156:12	<b>bigger</b> 138:5	breadth 159:3
association	b	biographical	<b>break</b> 173:18
153:2,9	<b>back</b> 121:4,8	147:16	breakwell
<b>assume</b> 139:7	125:16 135:13	<b>bit</b> 122:15	164:7,10
145:14	161:10	138:4 140:14	<b>brian</b> 117:20
assuming 145:3	<b>band</b> 171:18	149:16 156:7	119:7
assumption	bars 140:2	163:3 168:22	<b>briefly</b> 119:23
145:9	base 172:9	<b>bloch</b> 127:15	<b>brigham</b> 137:1
attorney	173:4,5 178:6	127:23 128:9	137:1 150:1,23
182:15,17	<b>based</b> 146:17	<b>blow</b> 140:14	171:8,9,12
attorneys	163:6 168:2	<b>blowing</b> 140:22	172:4 174:13
128:23	175:21 176:23	<b>bombers</b> 178:9	174:14 178:1,4
<b>august</b> 137:24	177:4	178:20	178:22
141:2,4	bases 172:12	<b>book</b> 134:17	brigham's
author 141:1	172:16	148:14,17	150:4
157:15	beginning	151:23 152:2	<b>bring</b> 121:4
author's	144:5	152:13,14,15	broader 170:4
163:14,24	<b>behalf</b> 117:3,11	153:6,7,16,24	<b>bush</b> 158:15,18
automobiles	belabor 143:21	155:20 156:24	c
178:20	<b>believe</b> 131:4	157:7 158:12	<b>c</b> 117:1 119:3
available 159:3	134:23 135:20	159:7 162:4,7	171:17,18
166:14 169:10	151:2,15,17	162:15 164:13	california
aware 125:24	155:24 160:2	165:5,10	152:6
126:8,11	160:20 161:7	179:20,21	
132:23 133:23	100.20 101.7		

<b>call</b> 129:18	149:18 150:1	checked 137:2	<b>city</b> 151:24
134:2 148:18	150:15 151:16	cheyenne 166:8	152:15 153:7
<b>called</b> 138:20	154:9,10	166:9	153:24 157:12
140:6 151:24	166:22 167:10	<b>chief</b> 162:10	<b>civil</b> 164:13,16
153:1 159:13	168:11 169:24	chippewa	164:18
161:23 162:18	170:4,17 171:4	171:19	clarify 133:5
164:13,15	171:13,16,21	<b>cindy</b> 117:13	<b>clear</b> 123:9,19
171:17 172:23	172:1 174:16	120:12	126:2 135:10
178:5	177:2 178:4,5	cindy.m.hurt	135:11 144:3,7
<b>camp</b> 116:5	178:7,22 179:9	117:13	144:14
119:13 183:4	183:4	circulated	<b>codes</b> 136:9
caption 183:4	<b>cases</b> 116:7	166:24	collected
captioned	125:2 126:17	citation 124:9	164:23 165:3,9
183:10	129:6 174:6,10	136:23 137:22	165:22 166:1,4
captions	<b>center</b> 121:16	139:1,2 140:5	166:8,12
156:21 158:11	certain 140:2	141:5 144:24	collection
158:12	certification	148:19 164:2	123:23
carefully 128:8	182:1	164:18	college 164:12
130:16	certified	citations	<b>come</b> 149:9
carelessly	120:21 182:4	124:11,12,15	<b>comes</b> 146:13
162:10	<b>certify</b> 182:4,9	137:2 160:12	commencem
<b>carol</b> 116:19	182:14	160:16 161:2,9	182:5
120:16 182:3	<b>change</b> 184:2,4	161:11	commission
182:22	184:5,7,8,10,11	<b>cite</b> 155:10,11	149:15
carolina 116:2	184:13,14,16	157:20 161:6	communication
117:8 119:17	184:17,19,20	163:17 164:24	130:1
<b>carson</b> 172:19	184:22	<b>cited</b> 123:11	communicati
173:3	<b>changes</b> 183:12	133:11 136:21	123:24 124:5
case 121:21,23	183:14	138:16 139:15	160:15 161:1
123:20 124:4	chapman	139:22 147:5	communities
124:14 125:12	147:12	153:15 154:7	166:5
131:17 132:4,7	characterized	156:22 158:13	community
141:11 147:22	154:13	<b>cites</b> 134:18	164:12
148:9,12		169:12	

	I	T	I
completely	contain 148:1	corrects 151:17	currently 140:8
120:1	contained	counsel 120:2	<b>cv</b> 116:6 147:5
composite	148:5	157:21 182:15	147:19,21,24
144:15	<b>contend</b> 175:20	182:18	159:18,20,22
comprehensive	contents	counted 147:1	163:3,4 176:17
160:3	137:17	<b>couple</b> 122:19	176:22,23
concerning	<b>context</b> 128:14	144:19	d
168:3	128:15 132:21	<b>course</b> 140:11	<b>d</b> 119:3
concluded	161:8	<b>court</b> 116:1	<b>date</b> 119:10
181:7	contextually	119:16 120:15	131:6 132:11
conducted	132:15	121:3,22 123:1	139:17 140:5
127:16,21	continued	124:17,20	141:11 145:19
169:7	116:11	125:5,8,12	146:1,5 150:2
conducting	conversation	131:20 167:9	150:12 182:12
130:12	163:14,23	169:6,24 172:1	184:23
<b>confirm</b> 135:3	conveyed	180:8,14,19,24	<b>dated</b> 130:22
connection	122:21 123:4	created 128:4	130:22 131:10
129:6 173:8	145:4	creek 162:4,19	133:18 155:14
consequence	<b>copy</b> 171:4	163:5 164:13	174:18 175:6
128:5	180:15	165:5 166:6	182:23
conservation	correct 131:9	critical 156:10	dates 154:23
163:7	132:12,18,22	critically 134:8	156:23 158:10
consider	134:15 151:9	136:1	<b>daubert</b> 172:3
177:21	151:24 155:3	criticized 159:8	day 121:7
considerations	155:23 160:13	<b>crm</b> 158:22	125:15 183:20
169:20	164:4 172:6	<b>crop</b> 156:16	days 143:6,9
considered	175:9,12,22	<b>cross</b> 118:4	144:2 157:19
149:13,23	176:12,14	120:22 127:15	dc 117:15
<b>consult</b> 166:19	correction	127:20,24	december
168:4	153:15 155:14	128:11 169:16	130:23 132:17
consulted	corrections	<b>csr</b> 116:19	130.23 132.17
170:10	183:12	182:22	135:18 137:15
<b>cont'd</b> 118:4	correctly	<b>culture</b> 161:23	153:18 157:15
120:22	154:16,20		133.20 134.4

154:23 155:4,5	184:1	disqualifies	137:1,2,6,8,14
155:15,16	depositions	155:24	137:15 142:19
174:18	122:9,20 123:3	disqualify	147:5,7,9,19
<b>decided</b> 134:21	123:15 168:15	131:16 132:3	148:23 150:1,4
declaration	170:10	151:18	150:7,9,14,23
183:6	deps 116:24	distinction	150:23 151:4
declare 183:8	describe 130:1	144:11	157:19 167:13
defendant	described	<b>district</b> 116:1,2	167:17 168:1
117:11	153:17 155:16	119:15,16	169:6,7,16
defending	170:2	division 116:3	172:4 174:6,17
156:5	describing	116:21 119:9	174:19 175:2,5
defining 127:23	154:11	<b>doby</b> 117:5	175:10,20
department	<b>despite</b> 169:10	<b>docket</b> 178:8	176:7,17,22,24
117:12 133:1,9	<b>detail</b> 133:10	<b>doctor</b> 137:13	177:15,21
164:11	detailed 156:19	document	178:1,4,22
<b>depend</b> 151:21	157:5 158:1,6	116:7 141:16	180:1,21
deponent	differences	141:21 144:14	<b>draft</b> 177:16
119:17	122:3	178:13	180:18
deponents	different 144:8	documents	<b>due</b> 119:22
128:22	149:9	125:17 126:6	143:5
<b>depose</b> 179:19	<b>dig</b> 170:21	168:3 179:10	<b>duly</b> 120:20
deposed 129:4	directly 135:19	179:11 180:1	182:6
150:1,10,23,24	director 158:19	<b>doing</b> 128:9	<b>duty</b> 151:8
150:24 178:11	disaster 159:14	160:7	e
<b>deposes</b> 120:21	disclosure	<b>doj</b> 117:19	e 117:1,1,1,1
deposition	151:10	136:14 157:21	119:3,3 171:18
116:11 119:12	disclosures	171:2 180:12	171:18,18
119:19 121:6,7	129:7	<b>dr</b> 120:24	178:6 181:3
123:11 125:16	discovered	121:20 125:22	earlier 145:5
150:4,7 151:5	156:7	125:23 126:8	158:9
171:2 177:9	discussed	126:13,17,21	early 152:8
178:13,23	179:10	127:1 130:21	easily 132:4,20
179:8 181:7	discussing	133:2,11	156:11 170:22
183:1,9,13	145:5 174:17	134:22 135:18	

[eastern - fairly] Page 7

eastern 116:2	entirety 166:13	evers 171:20	<b>expert</b> 121:5,11
119:16	entry 142:15,16	examination	121:21 122:1
<b>edition</b> 152:10	144:24	118:1,4 120:22	122:24 124:18
152:11 156:24	environmental	127:15,20,24	125:1,6,11
education	117:12 167:13	128:11 169:16	126:9,13,16,22
177:1,4	errata 151:4	182:5	127:2 131:16
<b>effect</b> 134:19	183:1,13 184:1	example 124:9	151:16,18
effort 132:6,11	error 131:3,12	145:23 162:9	156:1,8 174:5
156:13 172:24	131:15 132:5,9	163:12,16	174:6,10,21
either 136:20	132:19 148:18	164:1	175:10,11,17
elaborate 170:3	148:19,24	except 183:12	175:21 177:2
employee	149:4,4,11,20	except 183.12 excerpts	177:22 178:7
182:15,17	153:14 155:13	178:13	178:24 180:1
employees	156:6,14	exclude 172:3	expert's 123:14
174:14	178:16,16,24	excluded 172.3	124:4,15
endnote 163:22	errors 126:18	126:22 127:2	experts 124:20
endnotes 103.22	148:6 149:9,13	171:12 175:20	explain 166:3
160:20 161:5,9	149:14,15,21	exclusively	178:18
163:12	179:24	172:13	explicitly
enforcement	especially	exhibit 121:6	134:19
128:15	162:8,16	137:7 155:11	expressed
ensminger	esquire 117:4,5	176:4 177:8	175:11
117:19 130:21	117:6,13,14	exhibits 138:3	extensive
133:13,15,18	ethnological	157:22 166:23	167:19
133:21,22	162:8,15	179:7	f
134:1 135:19	evaluate 134:8	<b>expect</b> 170:23	_
139:7,10	134:14	expectations	fact 137:1
145:14,16	evaluated	169:9,10	150:22 153:19
<b>ensure</b> 119:24	134:20,23	170:11,13	<b>failure</b> 134:7
<b>entered</b> 167:10	135:3	experience	fair 125:14
172:1 179:7	evaluating	128:22 129:2,3	158:14 160:6,6 173:15 179:23
<b>entire</b> 183:9	136:1	129:23 168:5	
entirely 168:14	events 168:3	177:1	<b>fairly</b> 132:4
_			

[fall - gomez] Page 8

			T
<b>fall</b> 159:2	<b>footnote</b> 138:22	forward 167:5	163:20 171:3
fantastic 138:6	139:5 140:13	<b>found</b> 124:17	<b>given</b> 155:9
federal 151:8	140:17 141:3	125:5 154:19	170:23
166:17	163:21	154:21 157:23	<b>gives</b> 169:6
<b>feel</b> 159:5,9	footnotes	158:10,11	<b>go</b> 121:9 127:5
<b>fewer</b> 166:12	145:16 146:20	159:13 162:4	127:10,11
<b>filed</b> 178:8,13	160:20 161:5,8	163:9	128:18 130:19
<b>film</b> 136:20	<b>force</b> 178:6	foundation	131:24,24
<b>films</b> 136:9,10	foregoing	150:18 151:13	137:18 140:12
136:15	182:9	151:20 156:4	140:15 141:12
<b>finally</b> 155:13	forgive 154:6	175:24	142:1,7,13,22
financially	<b>form</b> 122:12	<b>four</b> 130:10	142:23 146:14
182:18	123:5 124:21	<b>fresh</b> 132:8	157:11 167:1,4
<b>find</b> 156:19	126:19 127:22	<b>front</b> 127:6	168:17,20,24
157:18 158:1	129:22 133:4	157:14	173:5 179:20
168:23 170:23	138:13 139:14	<b>full</b> 157:1	<b>goals</b> 128:3
171:1	141:9 145:20	<b>further</b> 168:18	<b>going</b> 121:14
<b>fine</b> 176:3	146:23 149:1,8	180:4 182:9,14	135:13 136:6
<b>first</b> 120:20	150:17 151:1	g	138:2,14
122:7,19	151:12,19		141:16,21
125:15 133:13	156:3 160:22	g 119:3 178:6 gail 163:22	142:3,5,6,15
137:2,8,11,14	164:5 172:10		143:14,19
143:7 151:4	172:17 174:23	game 179:23 gay 152:18,20	154:6 155:10
158:3 167:12	175:13,23		168:22 170:5
<b>five</b> 173:17	176:15 177:3	generated 128:3	171:17 175:14
<b>flood</b> 168:3	177:15 179:1		177:19 178:2
<b>flooding</b> 167:19	<b>forming</b> 169:10	geographer 160:11	180:15
168:6	formulated		<b>golkow</b> 116:21
<b>florida</b> 161:18	174:21	<b>getting</b> 165:3 165:18	119:8
<b>flushes</b> 168:18	<b>fort</b> 172:19	gibbons 117:14	golkow.com
following	173:3	120:13	116:24
153:15	<b>forth</b> 182:12		<b>gomez</b> 152:18
<b>follows</b> 120:21	<b>forum</b> 163:5	give 141:22	152:20 153:6
		155:11 161:8	154:17 157:19

[gomez's - hurt] Page 9

gomogia 152.12	hond 1/6,6	historians	honostly
gomez's 153:13	hard 146:6	historians	honestly 162:22
good 127:8	harder 138:5	124:1 125:8	
googling	harm's 159:14	128:1 129:24	howard 137:23
159:12	harper's 157:3	historic 166:7	138:23,24
government	hear 120:24	historical	140:19 141:1,4
167:12	heard 119:24	127:16,21	143:18
<b>graham</b> 117:4	152:23 158:16	128:15 153:2,8	hughes 117:4
120:5,9	158:24 162:1	168:3	118:4 120:4,5
granting 172:2	171:21 178:3	histories	120:23 121:3
<b>great</b> 136:5	<b>held</b> 119:13	121:22 122:8	121:14,19
138:8	<b>help</b> 174:14,15	122:20 123:2	127:5,12
<b>gregory</b> 158:15	<b>helpful</b> 139:23	123:14 124:19	129:10,11
158:18	141:11 145:22	125:7,11	130:7,9 131:20
grounds 156:1	<b>helping</b> 174:9	129:13 146:22	135:15 137:5
156:2	hereinafter	164:23 165:3	137:12,18,20
guess 141:24	120:20	165:10,21,24	138:9 140:12
144:15 179:17	hereinbefore	166:4,7,12,13	140:16 141:12
h	182:12	173:8	142:9,13,21
<b>h</b> 161:14,16	<b>hereof</b> 183:13	history 122:4	143:2,15,22
178:6	herington	129:18 130:2	144:13,22
half 129:12	178:5	137:23 138:12	166:21 167:4,8
165:12	heritage 158:22	138:20,22	168:17 169:3
halfway 167:11	<b>high</b> 149:4,5	139:22 140:9	173:15 174:3
hand 170:20	highlighted	140:18,24	175:3,7 176:16
handle 134:21	139:21	141:3,8 143:18	176:20 177:6
handling 138:3	historian	145:7,13 146:3	177:13 179:3
hanley 117:14	124:19 129:19	148:19 153:1,8	179:10,17
120:13	129:20 131:16	158:19,20	180:8,10
	134:13,17	163:18 164:3	<b>hurt</b> 117:13
hanley.w.gib 117:14	135:2 139:6	164:11,14,16	120:11,12
	140:9 148:23	164:19 177:15	122:12 123:5
happen 179:9	149:5,6,11	177:22	124:21 126:19
happened	156:1 167:13	<b>hold</b> 168:13	127:22 129:22
132:5 154:22	177:2		133:4 135:6,8
152.3 134.22	177:2		133:4 135:6,8

Page 10 [hurt - john]

138:13 139:14	impressive	145:4 146:6	125:7 129:15
141:9 142:17	159:4	156:22 161:1,6	130:1,12
142:24 143:19	inaccuracy	168:11 169:9	138:21 169:7
143:23 145:20	153:16	169:11	170:8
146:23 149:1,8	inadequate	informations	introduction
150:17 151:1	159:5,9	156:11	121:10
151:12,19	<b>include</b> 156:24	inspections	involving 178:5
156:3 160:22	161:12	178:9,15,15,19	issue 132:16
164:5 172:10	included	instance 154:10	135:22 153:9
172:17 174:23	141:11 161:6	instances 130:4	159:2 165:2
175:13,23	including	165:14 174:13	<b>issued</b> 124:3
176:15 177:3	147:16 156:23	institute 158:20	150:22
179:1,5 180:4	161:11	intentional	<b>issues</b> 143:24
180:12,17,23	incomplete	132:10	item 145:12,15
181:2	151:11 156:21	interdisciplin	items 146:12,14
i	incorrect	163:6	146:20
<b>i.e.</b> 157:3	151:11	interested	j
ideker 125:2	increasing	182:18	<b>january</b> 130:22
129:7 166:21	167:3	interrogate	130:24,24
167:10	<b>index</b> 118:1	128:13	131:11 133:19
identified	<b>indian</b> 161:23	interview 122:4	144:19 159:15
168:4	<b>indians</b> 171:19	129:19,21	174:20 175:6
identify 120:2	indicated	138:11,24	175:11,21
<b>ii</b> 116:9	183:13	139:10,10,15	jay 137:1 171:8
illustrations	indicates	139:18,22	171:9
156:20 157:6	147:15	140:6 141:7	<b>jerry</b> 117:19
158:2,6	individual	145:1,6,18	145:14
images 124:11	149:10	146:3,5 163:17	jessica 117:19
imagine 147:18	individuals	173:7	120:13
immigration	160:15 161:2	interviewed	jhughes 117:5
126:10,14	166:15	173:10	<b>john</b> 117:4
important	information	interviews	120:5 161:14
127:24 169:19	122:21 123:3	122:8,20 123:3	161:16
	133:1 144:21	123:15 124:19	

<b>journal</b> 153:1,1	182:22	larger 122:15	<b>listed</b> 129:7
153:8 158:22	knew 150:11	law 128:15	136:10
158:23 161:22	know 126:21	lawsuit 126:23	listening
161:24 162:5	127:1 128:6	127:3	120:10
163:6 164:13	136:17,18,24	lawyer 120:10	<b>listing</b> 156:24
164:15	137:4 143:7,9	learn 147:15	litigation 116:6
<b>justice</b> 117:12	143:20,23	151:9	117:12 119:14
133:1,9	145:23 148:22	learns 151:16	183:4
k	149:2,19	leave 180:3	little 122:15
	150:16,19	leaving 146:2	140:14 149:16
<b>keep</b> 121:14 133:16 136:6	152:12,18,20	legal 122:2,11	153:18,18
141:24 142:3,5	154:11 155:11	126:9	154:14,24
141.24 142.3,3	158:15 159:21	lejeune 116:5	155:1,17 156:7
143:14 168:22	161:13 164:7	119:13 183:4	163:3 168:18
kelman 116:12	165:15 166:2	letters 133:8	168:22 169:7
118:3 119:17	166:11 170:16	144:19	<b>lived</b> 169:18
120:19,24	171:11,13,15	lewis 160:12	170:15
120:19,24	171:16 173:13	<b>life</b> 172:9,11,16	location 166:5
163:23 164:22	173:19 176:1	172:19 173:4	166:14
165:20,23	knowing 146:5	<b>limine</b> 172:3	<b>long</b> 154:7
167:13,17	knowledge	<b>limited</b> 174:17	longley 126:8
169:7,16 174:6	125:5 162:8,16	line 184:2,5,8	126:13,17,21
177:15,21	1	184:11,14,17	130:21 133:11
180:21 182:6	l 117:15 171:17	184:20	134:22 135:18
183:17 184:24	171:18,18	lines 122:10,19	147:7,9,19
kelman's 159:4	labeled 129:14	122:22 130:11	150:9,23 151:4
162:7 168:1	140:8 145:6	<b>list</b> 141:18	175:20 176:24
169:6	146:3,5	142:4,11,14,18	180:1
<b>kept</b> 134:2	lack 158:5	143:5,8,12	longley's
kind 149:21	162:7,15	144:17 145:15	125:22,23
151:21 179:13	lake 171:19	146:12,19,21	127:1 133:2
kirk 116:19	language 168:8	156:19 157:6	137:2,6,8,14,15
120:16 182:3		157:21 158:1,6	142:19 147:5
		160:3	148:23 150:7

magazine	massacre 162:4	methodologi
160:19	166:6	156:1
magazines	<b>master</b> 145:13	methodology
161:5	<b>match</b> 169:24	148:23 149:7
<b>mail</b> 181:3	matches 170:1	<b>miami</b> 158:21
<b>main</b> 117:7	material	<b>middle</b> 127:14
<b>major</b> 162:7	138:15 140:3,8	128:18,21
majority	151:10 157:14	mile 178:14,15
146:20 147:2	materials 133:3	178:19
make 122:15	144:1,10	miles 178:10,10
123:8,18	<b>matter</b> 119:13	military 172:9
135:10 138:4	122:2,11 123:1	172:11,16
144:3,7,12	125:18 132:7	173:1 177:22
145:10 149:11	139:2 140:4	<b>mind</b> 124:22
149:13,21	161:11 172:15	138:10,17
155:10 177:8	183:10	157:8,15
makes 136:2	matters 126:9	mindful 128:1
<b>making</b> 135:11	126:10,14	<b>minute</b> 173:17
managed	mcgee 117:20	<b>minutes</b> 170:24
169:19	119:7	173:20
<b>map</b> 156:21	mcneese 152:21	mislabeling
157:2	<b>mdoby</b> 117:6	148:19
<b>maps</b> 156:19	mean 128:14	mislead 132:6
157:6 158:1,6	130:23 165:23	132:11 156:13
march 150:1	means 128:11	misplaced
174:21 176:5,7	166:2 176:2	162:3
176:11	<b>meet</b> 130:2	missouri
<b>marines</b> 148:15	<b>memory</b> 162:19	167:18
148:17	163:4	mistake 151:17
mark 117:5	mentioned	151:22
<b>market</b> 116:21	139:19 146:24	<b>moment</b> 130:15
160:19 161:4	<b>merit</b> 182:3	135:14 138:14
mass 160:19	met 139:20	138:16 175:14
161:4	140:1	
	magazines 161:5 mail 181:3 main 117:7 major 162:7 majority 146:20 147:2 make 122:15 123:8,18 135:10 138:4 144:3,7,12 145:10 149:11 149:13,21 155:10 177:8 makes 136:2 making 135:11 managed 169:19 map 156:21 157:2 maps 156:19 157:6 158:1,6 march 150:1 174:21 176:5,7 176:11 marines 148:15 148:17 mark 117:5 market 116:21 160:19 161:4 mass 160:19	160:19       166:6         magazines       master       145:13         mail       181:3       match       169:24         main       117:7       matches       170:1         major       162:7       matches       170:1         major       162:7       matches       170:1         majority       138:15 140:3,8       151:10 157:14         make       122:15       144:1,10         make       123:8,18       matter       119:13         135:10 138:4       122:2,11 123:1         144:3,7,12       125:18 132:7       125:18 132:7         145:10 149:11       139:2 140:4       161:11 172:15         183:10       matters       126:9         126:10,14       mcgee       117:20         157:2       mcneese       152:21         maps       156:19       119:7       mcneese       152:21         mcneese       152:21       mcneese       152:21         march       150:1       166:2 176:2       means       128:11         174:21 176:5,7       166:2 176:2       meet       130:2       memory       162:19         148:17       mentioned       139:19 146:24       merit

Page 13 [month - okay]

<b>month</b> 132:16	necessity	<b>noted</b> 158:9	124:21 126:19
153:19 154:14	127:15	<b>notes</b> 129:19	127:22 129:22
155:1,1,17	need 128:1,6,7	130:11,21	133:4 135:6
<b>moore</b> 161:14	128:12,12	133:16,18,19	138:13 139:14
161:16 162:3	134:18 138:16	134:2 139:8,8	141:9 145:20
<b>morenci</b> 148:15	139:12,16,17	139:13,17	146:23 149:1,8
148:17	166:15 177:8	163:14,23	150:17 151:1
morgan 174:12	<b>needs</b> 145:18	<b>noticed</b> 148:21	151:12,19
174:12,14	153:14 155:13	november	156:3 160:22
<b>motion</b> 172:2,3	<b>neither</b> 182:14	153:20 154:4	164:5 172:10
<b>mouth</b> 134:13	182:17	154:22 155:4	172:17 174:23
<b>mrrp</b> 168:7	<b>never</b> 152:16	155:15	175:13,23
musolino 117:6	152:23 158:16	<b>number</b> 122:10	176:15 177:3
120:8	158:24 162:1	122:18 123:23	179:1
<b>muster</b> 138:11	162:11	124:8 131:22	obligation
n	new 132:7	143:17,18	130:5
<b>n</b> 117:1 119:3	152:10 157:22	146:12 153:10	observations
178:6,6	171:17,18	159:2 162:5	169:17 170:14
name 119:7	179:7	164:19 166:23	occurred
160:8 163:9	nmusolino	175:4 176:18	178:10
named 160:12	117:7	177:11,12	occurring
names 148:1	noelle 117:6	178:8	153:18 154:14
nation 159:14	120:8	numbered	155:16
159:19 160:18	<b>normal</b> 180:11	121:9,15 122:6	<b>october</b> 163:14
national 166:6	<b>north</b> 116:2	<b>nw</b> 117:15	<b>odd</b> 134:4
native 164:23	117:7,8 119:16	0	<b>offer</b> 183:14
165:3,11,13,22	northern 166:8	o 117:1 119:3	offered 175:17
165:24	166:8	171:18,18	<b>oh</b> 131:7,24
nature 119:22	<b>notary</b> 182:23	171.16,18	136:5 141:24
necessarily	183:23	oath 183:15	146:13 157:10
128:14	<b>note</b> 124:10	<b>object</b> 143:19	163:8
necessary	140:24 142:17	objection	<b>okay</b> 120:24
127:21	143:2 179:5	122:12 123:5	121:2 122:1,6
121.21		122.12 123.3	122:24 123:7
	1	I.	

[okay - paid] Page 14

102.10.00	150.12 10	<b>:</b>	
123:18,22	159:12,18	opinions	p
124:8,17 125:4	160:6,10,21	121:11 127:2	<b>p</b> 117:1,1 119:3
125:10,14,20	161:7,16,22	149:21 168:2	<b>p.a.</b> 117:4
125:23 126:5	162:2,14,18,23	169:12 171:12	<b>p.m.</b> 116:15
126:12,16	163:2,20 164:7	174:22 175:9	119:11 173:22
127:1,5,8,13,19	164:18 165:7	175:10,18	174:2 180:7
128:17,20	165:17 166:18	176:10,13	181:6
129:5,9,17	166:20 167:4,7	oral 121:22	<b>page</b> 118:2
130:16,19	167:16,21,24	122:3,8,19	121:9,12,15,17
131:3,6,12,15	168:10,17,24	123:2,14	122:7 124:10
131:19,19	169:2,23	124:19 125:7	127:6,11,11,14
132:10,19,23	170:16 171:7	125:10 129:13	128:17,18,19
134:6,11,16	171:11,16,24	129:18 130:1,2	129:9 130:7,8
135:8 136:5,17	172:8,14,22	137:22 138:11	130:10,19,20
136:19,24	173:7,11,15	138:20,22	130:20 134:7
137:5,13,21	174:16 175:1,8	139:6,22 140:9	136:7,12
138:10 139:4,6	175:19 176:3,9	140:9,18,24	137:14,18,19
140:17 141:12	177:6,19 178:1	141:3,7 143:18	137:21,22
141:24 142:7	179:3	145:7,13 146:3	139:5,5 140:13
142:13 143:2	oklahoma	146:22 147:3	140:13 141:18
143:22 144:23	166:10	148:19 163:17	142:14,16
146:9,17 147:4	omission	164:3,23 165:3	145:12,12
147:11,14,18	149:15	165:9,21,24	146:10,12
147:21,24	<b>once</b> 141:6	166:4,7,11,13	147:15 153:10
148:4,8,11,16	144:23	173:8 177:15	164:19 167:1,5
148:22 149:3	<b>online</b> 159:3	orally 145:4	167:11 168:24
149:24 150:3,6	operative 143:4	<b>order</b> 129:21	169:1,5 184:2
150:13,21	<b>opined</b> 123:1	132:12 150:15	184:5,8,11,14
151:3,7,15,23	<b>opinion</b> 122:7,9	166:22 167:9	184:17,20
152:18,20,24	122:10,18	167:21 172:2	pages 141:15
153:4,13,23	123:13 124:3	180:9,11,15	141:20
154:3,12 155:8	131:16 139:1	original 126:2	<b>paid</b> 174:4,9
155:23 158:14	149:3,7,19	157:2	F
158:18 159:1	176:24 177:5		

7 7		1 • 11	1010
paperback	pennsylvania	physically	practices 124:9
152:10,11	116:22	135:2	136:23
paragraph	<b>people</b> 128:3,4	<b>piece</b> 172:18	preceding
128:21 134:6	149:21 155:10	<b>pin</b> 146:6	160:4
168:21	155:11 165:11	<b>place</b> 139:18	precise 150:11
paraphrase	165:13 173:10	163:6 173:2	precisely
133:12	174:8	182:11	135:21 166:11
<b>parks</b> 163:5	<b>period</b> 169:18	plaintiffs 117:3	<b>present</b> 117:18
<b>part</b> 127:3	170:14	120:6 132:24	presented
130:11 139:20	<b>perjury</b> 183:6,8	168:5,11,16	167:12
155:20 166:16	permission	169:8,17 170:9	<b>press</b> 152:6
171:13 172:2	164:22 165:15	planning	<b>pretty</b> 132:20
172:19 173:3	165:21 166:16	179:18	previously
<b>partain</b> 133:22	<b>person</b> 152:23	<b>please</b> 119:23	141:2
particular	158:16	120:2 166:3	<b>prior</b> 121:6,7
148:24 149:20	personal	<b>point</b> 136:22	123:11,12
173:1	169:17 170:14	139:11,20	126:22 127:3
particulars	perspective	149:5,22	160:4 171:13
154:9	144:24 158:7	160:10 163:11	178:22 179:6
<b>parties</b> 119:19	pertains 122:8	172:18	182:5
119:24 182:16	<b>ph.d.</b> 116:12	<b>pointed</b> 139:24	probably 152:9
parts 148:14,16	118:3 119:18	position 132:12	problem
172:15	120:19 161:17	134:16 135:1	145:23
party 151:8	182:6 183:17	141:6 145:1,17	<b>process</b> 151:16
pass 138:10	184:24	positionality	164:24 166:6
passage 158:9	philadelphia	128:2	produced
past 121:21	116:22	positive 173:14	130:21 133:8
122:2 125:12	<b>phone</b> 134:1	possession	133:19 147:6
129:4 173:2	photographer	163:15,24	176:22
174:5,10	157:1	possible 122:14	produces
pause 119:23	<b>photos</b> 124:15	potentially	148:23
<b>peirce</b> 160:12	phrase 128:10	149:12	professional
penalty 183:6,8	129:13	practice 134:4	123:24
	127.12	139:2 140:5	123.2

•			10440.55.5
professor	<b>puget</b> 164:11	<b>quote</b> 127:14	184:10,13,16
152:21 153:6	<b>pull</b> 137:7	165:1	184:19,22
153:13 154:17	175:3 176:4,16	<b>quotes</b> 163:13	rebuilding
158:19 161:17	177:11 179:20	$\mathbf{r}$	159:14
162:3 164:10	<b>pulled</b> 166:22	r 117:1,1 119:3	rebuttal 175:5
165:23 171:11	purposes	171:18,18	175:11,21
project 173:9	129:18 133:24	178:6	recall 125:13
promote	148:9,12 165:4	raises 132:16	147:24 153:23
132:12	<b>push</b> 128:12	raising 135:22	154:12 157:5
properties	<b>put</b> 128:6	range 154:3	160:7 165:4
167:17	131:21 134:13	rate 148:24	167:23 174:4
protocol 143:4	144:18 157:22	149:4,4,20	receiving 144:1
provide 133:9	176:10,12	rather 136:23	recess 173:24
provided	179:15,18	139:22 145:6	recharacterized
132:24 151:4	<b>puts</b> 146:20,21	147:3 162:10	138:23
provides	q	raytheon 178:5	recognize
156:22	qualified	reacted 159:6	137:13
providing	124:18 125:6	159:10	recognized
179:11	177:1	read 130:15	132:20
<b>public</b> 147:15	question	148:4 152:14	recollection
158:20 168:4	122:13 123:16	152:16 158:9	152:8 168:12
179:24 182:23	123:17 124:1	162:11 165:22	169:24 170:1
183:23	124:23 134:11	170:5 180:21	<b>record</b> 119:6
publication	138:18 157:4	183:9,11	120:3 130:5
160:19 173:12	158:4 178:21	reader 132:3	142:18 143:3
publications		135:12 140:1	144:4 173:23
142:2 147:16	questioned 128:22	156:10,14	174:2 179:6,16
160:3		,	179:18 180:7
publish 161:4	questioning 124:5	<b>reading</b> 152:5 157:8,15	recorded
published		ĺ – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	160:16 161:3
148:2 152:2,3	<b>questions</b> 123:8 179:4 180:5	really 128:11 139:23 158:12	164:3
140.2 132.2,3	1 1 7 4 1 AU 1	137.43 138.14	1.
152:4,7 153:6		maggar 122.2	recording
·	quite 132:8	<b>reason</b> 132:2 144:3 184:4,7	recording 129:20 139:12

records 168:4	relative 182:15	<b>report</b> 121:5,10	126:18 150:14
<b>refer</b> 135:13	182:17	121:21 122:2,7	150:20,22
145:15 160:11	relatively	123:1,11,13,19	174:20,22
reference	156:11	124:13 125:11	repositories
130:20,24	release 177:15	125:21,22,24	156:23 158:10
136:8 140:18	relevant 125:18	126:2,3 127:6	repository
143:17 160:14	169:11,19	128:18 129:9	166:17
referenced	170:13,15	130:22 131:13	representative
133:14 153:24	reliability	133:14,15,24	168:6 169:8,17
references	156:2	134:24 135:20	170:8
122:19 127:14	reliable 149:13	136:1,8 137:3	research
referred 178:9	reliance 133:2	137:6,9,15	127:16,21
referring 129:2	141:18 142:2,4	138:19 142:19	128:16 161:24
145:3,24 146:7	142:11,14,18	143:6,8,10,11	174:15
165:16	143:5,8,12	143:13 144:6,9	reserved 181:4
<b>regard</b> 133:2	144:1,10,16	144:16 146:18	residents 159:5
157:9,11	145:12,15	151:5,9,9	159:9
174:19,22	146:12,19,21	156:8 170:17	<b>respect</b> 151:10
175:8 178:1	remember	171:4 174:16	response 126:3
regarding	162:21,24	174:17 175:5,8	result 139:12
121:21 122:2	173:13	175:12,18,22	173:11
124:4,14 168:5	<b>remote</b> 116:11	176:5,6,7,11,13	<b>retired</b> 145:13
169:8 175:10	119:12,22	178:8,24	158:21
176:10	120:7	reported	reveals 157:1
registered	remotely	116:19	<b>review</b> 152:16
182:3	119:20,21	reporter	153:6,14
regrettable	182:6	120:16 121:4	156:18 158:21
164:21 165:20	render 149:12	131:21 180:8	159:1 162:3,6
regrettably	renumbering	180:14,19,24	164:12 168:2
156:15	141:17	182:3,4	168:10
relabeled 139:9	<b>repeat</b> 122:13	reporting	reviewed
<b>related</b> 178:19	repeating	119:23	125:17 126:5
relates 116:7	124:23 138:17	reports 125:24	126:12,16
		126:6,9,13,17	147:4 148:8,11

Page 18 [reviewed - seven]

150:3,6	167:18 169:18	163:13,22,22	146:11,15
reviewer	170:15	164:20 165:19	148:5,17
157:16 164:21	<b>rmr</b> 116:19	165:19 167:11	159:18 167:7
164:21 165:20	182:22	167:16 168:1	167:10,14
reviews 152:13	<b>roberts</b> 163:13	168:21 169:5	168:8,18
152:14 179:20	<b>rough</b> 180:13	169:15	169:13,21
179:21	180:18	schedule 143:4	171:3 176:23
ride 172:24	<b>roughly</b> 165:12	scheduling	seek 164:22
173:2	174:5	150:15	165:15,21
<b>ridgley</b> 163:22	<b>rpr</b> 116:19	scholarly	seemingly
<b>right</b> 123:20	<b>rules</b> 151:8	160:18 161:10	156:21
125:2 126:14	S	screen 127:7	seen 147:21
127:9 129:15	s 117:1 119:3	137:16 168:1	167:21 176:6,9
130:12,13	171:18	<b>seamen</b> 159:5,9	177:14,17
132:17 134:14	salisbury 117:8	<b>search</b> 164:24	selection 160:5
137:5 140:19	sand 162:4,19	sec 168:23	<b>send</b> 157:20
141:13 142:8	163:5 164:13	176:17	181:1,2
143:16 144:14	165:5 166:6	<b>second</b> 133:17	<b>sense</b> 136:2
145:2,19	save 183:11	142:8 143:11	170:10
149:16 151:23	saved 160:17	167:1 175:4	sent 144:17
152:12 154:17	161:3	177:12	181:3
161:13 162:12	saw 145:16	<b>section</b> 156:21	sentence
167:19 169:4	156:18 157:24	see 122:10,22	132:13,15
171:6,9,24	saying 136:4	126:17 127:9	158:3 167:16
173:16 175:15	179:22	127:13,17	168:1
176:21 177:6	says 120:21	128:20,24	sergeant
<b>ring</b> 155:19	121:10 128:22	129:1 130:8,10	145:13
159:16 161:19	130:11 134:23	130:24 134:9	<b>serve</b> 174:15
<b>ripples</b> 162:19	135:24 138:19	135:23 136:8	served 143:8
163:4	138:22 139:6	136:13,20	<b>session</b> 133:21
<b>risk</b> 156:5	140:24 142:10	137:22 138:5,9	<b>set</b> 182:12
<b>river</b> 151:24	145:12 153:14	140:17,20	<b>seven</b> 143:5,9
152:15 153:7	159:3 162:6,9	141:23 142:3	144:2
153:24 157:12	137.3 102.0,9	142:10 143:17	
	Collrow To	I .	I .

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 19 [several - super]

1 450 40		4.50.0	
several 179:10	skeptical 140:7	173:8	stenographic
<b>shadow</b> 159:13	skepticism	<b>south</b> 164:11	182:11
<b>shapes</b> 149:9	140:3	southern 116:3	stewardship
<b>sheet</b> 151:4	sketches 157:2	spanned	158:22 163:5
183:1,13 184:1	<b>skip</b> 141:14,19	153:19	<b>stop</b> 129:20
<b>short</b> 129:20	<b>solely</b> 168:2	speaking	142:8
shorthand	<b>solved</b> 132:20	119:24	<b>street</b> 116:21
182:4	somebody	specifics 163:1	117:7,15
<b>show</b> 131:19	132:12 135:23	<b>spell</b> 171:17	<b>struck</b> 164:20
133:12	<b>sorry</b> 121:13	<b>spirit</b> 179:14	164:21 165:19
<b>shown</b> 141:2	124:22 125:9	<b>spoke</b> 133:13	<b>study</b> 166:14
signal 140:1	126:1 133:5	133:20	subcontractor
signature	135:7 142:22	<b>staff</b> 137:1	174:12
180:20 181:4	154:9,16	172:23 173:2	<b>subject</b> 124:14
182:21 184:23	157:10 158:17	174:8	167:18
significance	165:16 167:5	standard 130:2	subjects 123:2
133:21	169:1 174:7	standards	123:2
similar 180:2	175:14	123:24	submitted
<b>simple</b> 156:14	<b>sort</b> 135:22	<b>start</b> 141:16	125:11 142:19
<b>simply</b> 132:7	141:19 156:9	<b>state</b> 152:21	144:4,8
133:15 134:1	156:14	<b>stated</b> 169:5	subscribed
135:23	<b>sound</b> 154:4	170:7	183:19
<b>single</b> 149:11	164:11	statement	substance
152:16	<b>sounds</b> 153:4	130:14,18	136:23
sins 149:16	154:16,19,21	139:7,10 140:9	<b>suffice</b> 130:12
sir 130:17	156:6 157:18	statements	140:7
131:24 135:16	<b>source</b> 134:18	178:14	<b>suite</b> 116:21
140:23 155:7	134:20 135:3	states 116:1	summarize
175:16	136:1 157:2	117:12 119:14	178:2
site 166:5,7,14	<b>sources</b> 124:1,5	119:15 120:11	summary
<b>sitting</b> 175:19	128:2,3,4,12	120:13	147:16 169:23
<b>size</b> 167:3	129:14 134:8	steamboat	<b>summer</b> 153:9
<b>sizes</b> 149:10	134:14 147:3	153:17 154:1,8	<b>super</b> 138:7
	159:4 160:15	155:14	

[superior - topic] Page 20

gunovies.	120.14 147.1	thonks 122.7	throws 162:10
superior 171:19	138:14 147:1 155:21 171:5	thanks 133:7	time 119:11
		thing 129:12	
supplement	173:8,16,17,19	134:12 138:19	130:17 133:13
151:8	175:14	141:5 145:16	133:17,20
supplemental	taken 173:24	146:11 156:9	136:9 146:24
132:24 144:20	182:10 183:10	156:15 164:20	154:3,7 155:22
supplemented	talk 124:8	things 123:14	160:14,14
144:20	129:3 134:7	124:1 133:10	166:24 169:18
supports	<b>talked</b> 133:17	157:22 160:11	170:14 173:17
136:20	134:1 141:3	180:2	173:21 174:1
supposed	talking 120:9	<b>think</b> 120:7	174:24 176:18
145:15	talks 123:23	127:24 128:1	179:19 180:6
<b>sure</b> 120:4	128:21 165:19	130:23 131:9	182:11
122:16 124:24	technical 162:8	131:10,10	timeliness
136:2 162:24	162:16	134:3,4 135:17	150:19
165:10	<b>tell</b> 148:11	139:19,20	<b>timely</b> 150:14
surprise 147:14	163:2 165:7	140:6 143:12	tiny 138:7
surprised	172:20	144:19 146:24	title 157:1
147:17,20	ten 141:15,20	149:22 150:11	<b>today</b> 175:19
suss 156:11	160:4 173:19	152:9 158:8,12	today's 119:10
sussed 132:5	terms 148:12	159:11 161:12	179:8
swear 120:17	154:5 155:20	161:15 164:9	together
144:6	164:3	164:17 168:14	144:18
<b>sworn</b> 119:21	testified 167:17	173:13 177:7	<b>told</b> 133:23
120:20 182:6	testify 182:7	179:13,23	took 133:18
183:19	testimony	<b>third</b> 133:20	138:21 139:18
t	169:6 172:4	143:11	173:1
t 117:1 171:18	178:4 182:10	<b>thought</b> 139:23	<b>top</b> 121:11
178:6	<b>thank</b> 121:18	152:3,4	130:10,19,20
table 137:17	122:17 129:10	three 125:24	146:11 169:5
tables 137.17	136:13 138:6	150:14,22	<b>topic</b> 121:22
tables 133.9 take 126:12	140:10,21	153:17 154:13	122:3 125:6
	145:8 166:20	155:14	172:5,13
129:19 130:17	167:2 176:19		
Calkay Tachnologies			

Page 21 [torts - way]

	I		I
torts 117:12	174:22	usdoj.com	174:1 180:6
<b>total</b> 146:12	<b>typed</b> 133:15	117:13,14	videotaped
<b>towards</b> 124:10	135:18	use 121:22	116:11
tracks 168:6	u	123:14 124:4	<b>view</b> 139:11
training 177:1	<b>u</b> 171:18	124:15,18	violates 179:14
177:4	<b>u.s.</b> 177:22	125:7 129:21	vocabulary
transcribe	ucla 161:23	129:24 132:11	162:9,16
130:5	unable 165:1	<b>used</b> 125:10	<b>volume</b> 116:9
transcribing	under 150:15	129:13 161:1	153:10 159:2
135:24	151:7 163:4,9	<b>useful</b> 127:20	162:5 164:19
transcript	183:6,8,15	<b>using</b> 128:10	W
150:4,7 169:12	understand	128:10	wallace 117:4
180:15,22	123:16,17	v	120:5,8
182:10 183:9	136:4 146:8	v 119:14	wallacegraha
transcripts	156:17 172:24	various 148:1	117:5,6,7
180:11	173:1 179:21	153:24	want 130:15
<b>treat</b> 140:3		vast 146:19	134:12 140:2
<b>tribal</b> 166:5	understanding 143:3 145:17		
<b>tribes</b> 166:9		verb 128:14	143:21 144:3,7
<b>tried</b> 136:19	154:15,20	verbal 123:23	157:21 179:15
true 142:21	155:6 183:14	124:5	180:9,21
144:13 149:17	united 116:1	verbally 122:21	wanted 143:1
157:5 158:5	117:12 119:14	123:4	war 164:13,16
165:2 168:10	119:15 120:11	verbatim	164:19
183:11	120:12	182:10	washington
<b>truth</b> 182:7,7,8	university	veritext 116:21	117:15
try 132:11	147:12 152:6	119:8	water 116:5
133:6 160:23	152:22 158:20	versus 171:20	119:14 183:4
trying 123:18	161:17	<b>video</b> 119:12	waterfront
140:1 144:12	unreliable	120:3	159:6,10
tuesday 116:14	149:6,12,23	videographer	way 128:13
181:6	untrue 165:6	117:20 119:5,8	133:6 135:11
<b>two</b> 136:9	<b>updated</b> 177:16	120:15 121:4	139:24 140:12
137:14 174:20		121:12 173:21	141:14,20

Page 22 [way - zoom]

150:16 159:14	working 174:9	160:9 163:10
171:13	workout	167:7 168:24
we've 133:8	141:22	169:2 170:7
weakness 162:7	<b>worry</b> 171:5	180:10
162:15	177:19	<b>year</b> 132:7
<b>web</b> 147:15	<b>wrap</b> 173:18,20	155:1
week 144:17	write 134:17,24	<b>years</b> 160:4
weekly 157:3	135:2,3,9	172:16,21
<b>weight</b> 169:7	<b>writing</b> 176:10	<b>yep</b> 157:17
went 158:8	176:13	163:8,8
178:18	<b>written</b> 139:13	Z
wisconsin	139:16 147:2	<b>zoom</b> 133:21
171:19	156:10 170:17	137:23 141:1
witness 118:2	172:8,11,12,14	137.23 141.1
119:21 120:17	178:24	
134:18,20	<b>wrong</b> 154:23	
135:7 138:2	159:11 165:6	
140:21 144:6	<b>wrote</b> 126:4	
167:2,12	151:23 154:21	
179:12	157:15,16	
<b>word</b> 147:2	158:21 162:18	
155:21 162:10	164:12 172:18	
171:18,18	173:3	
179:15	$\mathbf{y}$	
words 134:13	<b>y'all</b> 131:21	
134:19,22	137:7	
135:20	<b>yeah</b> 130:8,18	
work 130:3	131:24 132:2	
139:21 152:17	133:6 134:23	
156:16,20,22	138:7,19	
158:2 174:5	140:11 145:21	
worked 171:8	154:18 155:2	
174:11	157:11,13	
	Collrow To	

## Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

## VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.