

Exhibit 62

Deposition Errata – Alexandros Spiliotopoulos, PhD

In Re: Camp Lejeune Water Litigation. United States District Court Eastern District of North Carolina. Case No. 7:23-cv-897. March 18, 2025.

Page 7, line 9: “The only thing I'd...” should read “The only thing I’d ask...”.

Page 9, line 12: “Taraway” should read “Tarawa”.

Page 15, line 6: “...I moved...” should read “...since I moved...”.

Page 19, line 5: “rī½sumī½” should read “resume”.

Page 21, line 20: “...in a book...” should read “...in the book...”.

Page 22, line 25: “indication” should read “invitation”.

Page 25, line 24: “say” should read “see”.

Page 27, line 6: “aggression” should read “regression”.

Page 30, line 10: “or” should read “for”.

Page 32, line 6: “dates” should read “things”.

Page 33, line 21: “the” should read “with”.

Page 34, line 10: Remove “is”

Page 47, line 17: “experts” should read “codes”.

Page 52, line 25: “behind” should read “hind”.

Page 77, line 11: Remove “in”.

Page 77, line 22: Remove “K”.

Page 78, line 7: “regress” should read “rigorous”.

Page 81, line 24: “expensive” should read “expansive”.

Page 85, line 8: “we’re” should read “we”.

Page 86, line 11: “...conduct activity...” should read “conductivity”.

Page 90, line 14: “limit” should read “limited”.

Page 100, line 2: “well” should read “wells”.

Page 106, line 13: “Suī½rez” should read “Suarez”.

Page 107, line 18: “ “ should read ““read”?”.

Page 109, line 14: “this” should read “his”.

Page 109, line 18: “Auburn” should read “Dover”.

Page 109, line 23: “Dova” should read “Dover”.

Page 112, line 7: “can” should read “cannot”.

