# Exhibit 607

	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
2	Case No. 7:34-CV-00897
3	Case No. 7.34-CV-00097
4	IN RE:
5	CAMP LEJEUNE WATER LITIGATION )
6	)
7	
8	VIDEO DEPOSITION
9	o f
10	DAMIAN LABER, M.D.,
11 12	taken on behalf of Defendants
12	DATE: July 9, 2025
13	DATE: Udly 5, 2025
	TIME: 9:21 a.m. to 5:37 p.m.
14	
	PLACE: Veritext
15	201 East Kennedy Boulevard
	Suite 712
16	Tampa, Florida 33602
17	BEFORE: Dawn A. Hillier, RMR, CRR
	Stenographic Reporter
18	Notary Public - State of
1.0	Florida, at Large
19	JOB NO: 7360161
20	JOB NO: /360161
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Page 2
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS AND WITNESS:
 3
           ROBIN GREENWALD, ESQUIRE
 4
           WEITZ & LUXENBERG
           700 Broadway
 5
           New York, New York 10003
           (212) 558-5500
 6
           rgreenwald@weitzlux.com
7
                - and -
 8
           DIANA GJONAJ, ESQUIRE (via Zoom)
           WEITZ & LUXENBERG
9
           Fisher Building
           3011 West Grand Blvd., 24th Floor
10
           Detroit, Michigan 48202
11
           (313) 800-4170
           dgjonaj@weitzlux.com
12
                - and -
13
           PATRICK TELAN, ESQUIRE (via Zoom)
14
           BELL LEGAL GROUP
           Raleigh, NC Office
15
           751 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 300
           Raleigh, North Carolina 27607
           (919) 277-9299
16
           ptelan@belllegalgroup.com
17
18
     ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:
19
           DAVID ORTIZ, ESQUIRE
20
           MARCUS TUBIN, ESQUIRE
           U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
21
           Civil Division, Environmental Torts Litigation
           1100 L Street, N.W.
22
           Washington, D.C. 20005
           (202) 616-8367
23
           david.ortiz@usdoj.gov
           marcus.tubin@usdoj.gov
24
25
     ALSO PRESENT:
           Erik Nelson, videographer
```

			Page 3
1		D 7 G F	
1 2	INDEX	PAGE 8	
۷	WITNESS - DAMIAN LABER, M.D. EXAMINATION BY MR. ORTIZ	8	
3	EXAMINATION BY MS. GREENWALD	267	
J	EXAMINATION BY MR. ORTIZ	288	
4	CERTIFICATE OF OATH	293	
	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	294	
5			
6	EXHIBITS		
7	Exhibit 1 First Amended Notice of	10	
0	Deposition of and Request for		
8	Production of Documents to Damian Laber, M.D., FACP		
9	Damian Label, M.D., FACP		
	Exhibit 2 Plaintiff's Objections and	10	
10	Responses to Defendant's First		
	Amended Notice of Videotaped		
11	Deposition of Dr. Damian		
	Laber, M.D., FACP		
12			
1.0	Exhibit 3 Robert Fiolek v. United	11	
13	States of America, Specific Causation Expert Report of		
14	Damian A. Laber, M.D.,		
	F.A.C.P.		
15	- 1 1		
	Exhibit 4 Joseph Gleesing v. United	13	
16	States of America, Specific		
	Causation Expert Report of		
17	Damian A. Laber, M.D.,		
1.0	F.A.C.P.		
18	Exhibit 5 WHO Classification of	27	
19	Tumours * 5th Edition,	۷ /	
17	Haematolymphoid Tumours Part B		
20			
	Exhibit 6 Damian A. Laber, M.D.	38	
21	November 14, 2023 deposition		
	exhibits, Case Sim Rice, Jr.,		
22	vs. George D. Munday, et al.		
23	Exhibit 7 Appendix 13 and Appendix 14,	46	
2.4	Joseph Gleesing and Robert J.		
24 25	Fiolek (Leukemia) Exhibit 8 ATSDR, Chapter A: Summary	61	
ر یے	and Findings, Atlanta, GA	0.1	

			Page 4
1		March 2013 Bates CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000221326 - 2221527	
3	Exhibit	9 ATSDR, Chapter A: Summary of Findings, Atlanta, GA July	83
4 5		2013 Bates CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-09-00006156 38 - 615744	
6	Exhibit	10 Veterans and Agent Orange, Update 2008	111
7	Exhibit	11 "Exposure to Benzene and	122
8		Other Volatile Compounds from Active and Passive Smoking"	
9	Exhibit	12 John M. Cho, M.D., May 3,	144
10		2024 deposition excerpts, Robert Fiolek v. United States	
11 12	Exhibit	of America 13 Patient Chart, Coastal	146
13		Carolina Health Care, P.A., Robert Fiolek, April 17, 2024	
14	Exhibit	14 "Evaluation of Mortality Among Marines and Navy	184
15		Personnel Exposed to Contaminated Drinking Water at	
16		USMC Base Camp Lejeune: a Retrospective Cohort Study,"	
17		Bates CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000141103	
18 19	Evhihi+	- 141116 15 Additional File 2: Table	192
19	EXHIDIC	S1, Categorical Cumulative	192
20		Exposures and Underlying Case of Death	
21	Exhibit	16 "Mortality Study of Civilian	196
22		Employees Exposed to Contaminated Drinking Water at	
23		USMC Base Camp Lejeune: a Retrospective Cohort Study,	
24		Bates CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000291324	
25		- 291336	

			Page 5
1	Exhibit	17 ATSDR Assessment of the	199
2		Evidence for the Drinking Water Contaminants at Camp	
3		Lejeune and Specific Cancers and Other Diseases, Bates CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000044276	
4		- 44427	
5	Exhibit	18 ATSDR, Public Health Assessment for Camp Lejeune	208
6		Drinking Water U.S. Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune, North	
7 8	Exhibit	Carolina, January 20, 2027 19 "Morbidity Study of Former	211
9		Marines, Employees, and Dependents Potentially Exposed	
10		to Contaminated Drinking Water at U.S. Marine Corps Base Camp	
11		Lejeune, April 2018, Bates CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-000000214	
12	_ , , , , ,	- 340	0.1.0
13	EXNIBIT	20 "Cancer Incidence Among Marines and Navy Personnel and Civilian Workers Exposed to	219
14		Industrial Solvents in Drinking Water at US Marine	
15		Corps Base Camp Lejeune: A Cohort Study"	
16	Exhibit	21 "Evaluation of Mortality	222
17		Among Marines, Navy Personnel, and Civilian Workers Exposed	
18		to Contaminated Drinking Water at USMC Base Camp Lejeune: A	
19		Cohort Study"	
20	Exhibit	22 "Drinking Water Contamination and the	225
21		Incidence of Leukemia and Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma"	
22			
	Exhibit	23 Dr. Damian Laber -	241
23		Additional Materials Considered, dated July 3, 2025	
24 25		in re: Robert Fiolek	

Page 6 of 374

			Page 6
1			
2	Exhibit	24 Dr. Damian Laber - Additional Materials	242
		Considered, dated July 3,	
3 4	Evhihi+	2025, in re: Joseph Gleesing 25 Damian A. Laber, M.D.,	246
I	EXIIIDIC	F.A.C.P., Curriculum Vitae,	240
5		<pre>dated January 10, 2025, Bates CL_PLG_EXPERT_LABER_000000013</pre>	
6	- 1 '1 '	- 13 - 65	0.5.1
7	EXNIDIT	<ul><li>Damian A. Laber, M.D., FACP</li><li>Legal Expert Fee Schedule,</li></ul>	251
8		Bates	
9		CL_PLG_EXPERT_LABER_000000069 - 70	
10	Exhibit	27 Laber Expert Testimony List	253
11		- Approximate Estimate, dated 1/26/25, Bates	
		CL_PLG_EXPERT_000000067 - 68	
12	Evhihi+	28 Kelly A. Reynolds, MSPH,	272
13	EXIIIDIC	Ph.D., Cumulative Exposure	2/2
1 4		Expert Report, dated February	
14 15	Exhibit	7, 2025 29 General Causation Expert	284
		Report of Steven B. Bird,	201
16		M.D., Hematopoietic Cancers: Leukemia & Non-Hodgkin's	
17		Lymphoma, dated December 9,	
18		2024	
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24 25			
<b>∠</b> ⊃			

	Page 7
1	
2	REPORTER'S KEY TO PUNCTUATION:
3	At end of question or answer references
4	interruption.
5	References a trail-off by the speaker.
6	No testimony omitted.
7	"Uh-huh" "Um-hum" References affirmative sound.
8	"Huh-uh" "Um-um" References negative sound.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER'S NOTE: All quotations from
	exhibits are reflected in the manner in which they
14	were read into the record and do not necessarily
	denote an exact quote from the document.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now
2	on the record. My name's Erik Nelson and I'm a
3	videographer for Golkow, a Veritext division.
4	Today's date is July 9th, 2025 and the time is
5	9:21 a.m.
6	This is this video deposition is being
7	held in Tampa, Florida in the matter of, In Re,
8	Camp Lejeune Water Litigation for the United
9	States District Court for the Eastern District
10	of North Carolina.
11	The deponent is Damian Laber, M.D., FACP.
12	Will counsel please identify themselves
13	for the record?
14	MS. GREENWALD: Robin Greenwald for the
15	plaintiffs.
16	MR. ORTIZ: And David Ortiz and Marcus
17	Tubin for the United States.
18	VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter is Dawn
19	Hillier and will now swear in the witness.
20	DAMIAN LABER, M.D.,
21	was called as a witness and, having first been duly
22	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
24	CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.
25	EXAMINATION

DM	T/TD	
Bĭ	MR.	ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q All right, Dr. Laber. Can you please state your full name for the record?
  - A Damian Abel Laber.
- Q And we met off the record, but my name is David Ortiz. I'm an attorney with the Department of Justice, and along with my colleague, Mr. Tubin, we represent the United States in the Camp Lejeune water litigation.

I know you've been deposed before and know the rules so I won't -- I'll just briefly hit the high points and then we'll move on.

You understand that you're under oath and you're required to answer all my questions truthfully and completely as if you were testifying in court before a judge or jury. If you don't understand a question, just ask me to repeat it or rephrase it and I'll be happy to do so. And I'll assume you understood my question if you don't ask me to do that.

We can take a break at any time you like.

I just ask that you answer any pending question.

Does all that sound good to you?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay. And is there any reason you're

Page 10 1 aware of that we can't proceed today? medications or anything that would prevent you from 2 understanding my questions? 3 4 Α No. Okay. Did you bring anything to this 5 deposition? 6 7 I have a backpack with my usual things. Okay. Nothing pertinent to this 8 9 litigation in there? I don't think so. 10 Α 11 Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been 12 marked as Laber Exhibit 1. 13 (Exhibit 1 was marked.) BY MR. ORTIZ: 14 15 Do you recognize Laber Exhibit 1 as a copy 16 of a first amended notice of deposition and subpoena that was served on June 10th of 2025? 17 Yes, I do. 18 Α 19 Have you ever seen this document before? 2.0 Α Yes. 21 Okay. And I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Laber Exhibit 2. 22 23 (Exhibit 2 was marked.) BY MR. ORTIZ: 24 And do you recognize Laber Exhibit 2 as a 25 Q

Page 11 copy of Plaintiff's Objections and Responses to 1 2 Defendant's First Amended Notice of Videotaped Deposition of Dr. Damian Laber? 3 4 Α Yes. And have you seen that document before? 5 I think I have. I don't remember the Α 6 7 details, but... Okay. You can put those aside. We'll 8 9 come back to those later on. And I'm just going to continue with 10 11 handing you some exhibits. But here's Laber 12 Exhibit 3. 13 (Exhibit 3 was marked.) BY MR. ORTIZ: 14 15 And do you recognize Laber Exhibit 3 as a 16 copy of your report in the case of Robert Fiolek versus United States of America? 17 Yes, I do. 18 Α 19 Okay. And just for the record, this copy 2.0 omits the CV, fee schedule, and list of cases that 21 you originally had appended to it. But you prepared and signed this report; correct? 22 23 Α Yes, I did. And it's dated February 7th of 2025; 24 25 correct?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- And it contains a complete statement of your opinions in the Fiolek case?
- As far as I thought at that moment. if something else comes up, because I don't know what questions you're going to ask me.
- Do you have any -- any new opinions since Q the date of this report that --
  - Not that I can think of.
- Okay. And I should have said at the beginning, for the court reporter's sake, I'll try not to talk over you if you try not to talk over me, but I can't promise that I won't do it to you, so...

But no problem.

So just to be clear, you have no new opinions that you presently hold since the date of this report; is that correct?

- Not that I can think of at this moment.
- Okay. And does Laber Exhibit 3 contain all the bases and reasons for your opinions in the Fiolek case?
- As far as of the things that I thought when I prepared it.
- Okay. And same answer as before. Do you have any -- any present -- anything new presently in

	Page 13
1	your mind?
2	A No.
3	Q Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been
4	marked as Laber Exhibit 4.
5	(Exhibit 4 was marked.)
6	BY MR. ORTIZ:
7	Q And do you recognize Laber Exhibit 4 as a
8	copy of your report in the case of Joseph Gleesing
9	vs. United States of America?
10	A Yes, I do.
11	Q And you prepared and signed this report;
12	correct?
13	A Yes, I did.
14	Q And it's also dated February 7th of 2025;
15	correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And does it also contain a complete
18	statement of your opinions in the Gleesing case?
19	A Same answer. As far as I can think of,
20	unless you ask me something different or something
21	else come up.
22	Q Okay. And it also contains all the bases
23	and reasons for your opinions in the Gleesing case?
24	A Everything I thought about that time, yes,
25	I included in the report.

Page 14 of 374

1	Q Okay. And Laber Exhibit 3 and 4 are the
2	only reports that you've prepared in this
3	litigation; correct?
4	A As far as I remember, yes.
5	Q Okay. Did anyone assist you in preparing
6	your reports?
7	A It depends on what you call "assist."
8	I've had many meetings with the attorneys and we
9	were discussing the findings.
10	MS. GREENWALD: Just one thing. You're
11	not supposed to discuss any conversations with
12	attorneys, but you can say that you
13	discussed you had discussions with attorneys
14	but nothing no content.
15	THE WITNESS: I got you.
16	So I had discussions with the attorneys
17	when I was making this report.
18	BY MR. ORTIZ:
19	Q Did anyone who was not an attorney assist
20	you in preparing these reports?
21	A I don't know what their qualifications
22	were, you know, the people that were in some
23	meetings. Maybe there was an assistant or things
24	like that, but I don't remember anybody that is not

25

an attorney.

1	Q Okay. But no assistance from your
2	clinical practice or anybody like that?
3	A Not from my side.
4	Q Okay.
5	A My side, it was only me.
6	Q Okay. And both reports contain a list of
7	materials that you considered in forming your
8	opinions in the reports?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And we'll discuss them later. But are you
11	aware that counsel served Supplemental Materials
12	Considered list on July 3rd of 2025?
13	A I don't know exactly when they served and
14	the communications that the attorneys had with you,
15	but they told me that they shared with you
16	everything I have.
17	Q Okay. And did you review all the
18	documents that are cited in these reports yourself?
19	A Yes. Whatever I cited, I reviewed.
20	Q And did you review any summaries of any
21	documents?
22	A I don't remember whether there were
23	summaries or not, but in general, I usually ask for

24

25

Q

the full documents.

Okay. How did you get those documents

	i dge 10
1	that are cited in those reports?
2	A Some of them I actually find them myself,
3	some of them, they were provided by the attorneys.
4	Q Is it fair to say that plaintiff-specific
5	documents were provided by the attorneys?
6	A What do you mean plaintiff-specific?
7	Q Sure. So there are medical records and
8	military records of Mr. Gleesing and Mr. Fiolek that
9	are cited in these reports; correct?
10	A Yes. They are provided by the attorneys.
11	I did not contact any healthcare facility to request
12	documents or anything like that.
13	Q Okay. And then there's scientific
14	materials that are also cited in these reports;
15	correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And some of those you found on your own?
18	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
19	THE WITNESS: I think I did but I don't
20	remember exactly how I actually came up with
21	that.
22	I remember when we started, before I or
23	when I was taking the case, I did look at the

literature that I could find on my own.

24

25

BY MR. ORTIZ:

	Page 17	
1	Q Okay.	
2	A So independently tried to look at that. I	
3	don't want to be influenced by one or the other.	
4	Q Okay. Were there any documents you	
5	requested but did not receive?	
6	A Not that I remember.	
7	Q And you are an oncologist and	
8	hematologist; correct?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q You're not an attorney; correct?	
11	A Correct.	
12	Q You're not an epidemiologist; correct?	
13	A Correct.	
14	Q You're not a toxicologist; correct?	
15	A Correct.	
16	Q You're not an exposure scientist; correct?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q That's	
19	A Correct. Correct.	
20	Q correct how I said it?	
21	A Correct. Yes. I was trying to change the	
22	word but I'll keep it the same.	
23	Q That's totally fine. Happens all the	
24	time.	
25	You've never published any peer-reviewed	

Page 18 of 374

1	literature	on	the	effects	of	TCE	on	leukemia;
2	correct?							

- As far as I can remember. But, you know, many times I help with publications but I don't remember basically focusing on that.
- As you sit here, do you specifically Q recall any publications that discuss TCE?
- The only thing I can recall is many, many years ago, I wrote the review article in kidney cancer. And I think I included many of the risk factors and many of these exposures were included.
- Okay. And was that review article solely 0 focused on kidney cancer?
- I think so but sometimes when you -- when you write a review article, you might cite other cancers and things like that, so ....
- Do you remember what year it was published?
- Not exactly but I suspect it was somewhere Α between 2003 and 2010, something like that.
  - Was that a peer-reviewed document? 0
- Α Yes.
- 23 Do you remember the title?
- It was not perfectly right but it was 24 25 something like etiology and diagnoses of renal cell

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

Page 19 carcinoma or something like that, somewhere in those 1 2 lines. And would it be listed in your CV, I 3 4 assume? 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. And for the record, TCE is trichlorethylene; correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 And if I refer to it as TCE today, you'll understand what I'm referring to? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Have you ever published any peer-reviewed literature on the effects of PCE on leukemia? 13 Not that I can recall. 14 Α 15 And for the record, PCE is 16 perchloroethylene or tetrachloroethylene; correct? 17 Yes. And if I refer to that chemical as PCE 18 19 today, you'll understand what I'm referring to? 2.0 Α Yes. 21 And you've never published any peer-reviewed literature on the effects of benzene 22 23 on leukemia; is that correct? Not that I can recall. 24 Have you ever examined Plaintiff Robert J. 25 Q

	Page 20
1	Fiolek?
2	A No.
3	Q Have you ever met him?
4	A No.
5	Q Have you ever examined Plaintiff Joseph M.
6	Gleesing?
7	A No.
8	Q Have you ever met him?
9	A No.
L O	Q Okay. If you would please turn to page
L1	three of Laber Exhibit 3, your Fiolek report. Just
L 2	let me know when you're there.
L 3	A I'm there.
L <b>4</b>	Q And there's a section entitled
L 5	methodology; correct?
L 6	A Yes.
L 7	Q And you state that you used a differential
L 8	etiology analysis; correct?
L 9	A Yes.
20	Q And you described that methodology as the
21	following: "Differential etiology is a standard
22	scientific technique used to identify those
23	contributing factors to the development of a disease
24	by eliminating potential causes until the most
25	probable ones are isolated."

Page 21 of 374

Page 21 1 Did I read that correctly? Yes, you did. 2 Α And if you turn, please, to page three of 3 Laber Exhibit 4. 4 I'm there. 5 Α And do you see that the same language that 6 I just read appears there as well? 8 Α Yes. 9 And so is it fair to say that you used the same methodology in both reports? 10 11 Α Yeah. I think it's fair. Okay. And is that a methodology that you 12 0 13 use in your clinical practice as an oncologist and 14 hematologist? 15 Α No. 16 Okay. And Mr. Fiolek and Mr. Gleesing 17 both have chronic lymphocytic leukemia; correct? 18 Α Yes. And that is often referred to as CLL; 19 2.0 correct? 21 Α Yes. If I refer to it as CLL, you'll understand 22 23 what I'm referring to today? 24 Α Yes. And you understand that both of them have 25 Q

alleged claims against the United States seeking money damages based on the allegations that they were exposed to TCE, PCE, and benzene while they were at Camp Lejeune and that those chemicals or those exposures caused their CLL; correct?

- A That's my understanding, yes.
- Q And TCE, PCE, and benzene are all chemicals that are called volatile organic compounds or VOCs; correct?
  - A Yes.
    - Q Have you ever studied VOCs?
- 12 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. Tell me about that.
- 14 A When I was in medical school many years 15 ago.
  - Q What did you learn about them?
  - A I don't remember all the details on that. There were many industries. And they are used in many industries. And they can cause health harms and some of them are related to these cancer exposures.
  - I also learned that in the history of humanity, many industrial problems caused by these, like factories polluting, you know, the water.
    - I also remember many years ago we were

starting the incidence of different cancers in
different locations. I think this was in the state
of Kentucky. And then we we could actually map
areas that where you have factories polluting the
area. And there were more incidences of cancers.
And I think that has been a theme in many locations,
not in one, but I don't remember much more of the
specifics.

0 Okay. Have you studied them at all since medical school?

Like, when we were looking at the Α locations of different cancers, that was after medical school.

Okay. When you refer -- when you say "when we were looking at the locations of different cancers," what are you referring to there?

Many years ago when I was in Kentucky, I think we published a paper on the incidence of lung cancer on different -- I think we started by county. And then we were looking at location of different industries.

I was not the lead investigator on that. I was just helping. So I don't remember all the details on that.

> And would that article or publication be Q

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

listed or	your	CV as	well?
-----------	------	-------	-------

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A Yes. There was one article that I participate in more, and I list it. There were other publications that are probably not listed because I did not contribute enough to be listed.
- Q Okay. Okay. And have you ever diagnosed a patient with leukemia caused by a VOC?
- A I'm sure I have but I usually don't look at the details on the cause of the leukemia. I'm more involved on the management, once they get the diagnosis, and the treatments and so forth.
- Q And before this litigation, have you ever been retained as an expert in a case involving VOCs?
- A I don't think so. I don't recall anything like that.
- Q Have you ever diagnosed a patient with leukemia caused by Agent Orange?
- A I am sure, yes. I have many people that were exposed in Vietnam. And I took care of them.
- Q Going back to that language in Laber Exhibit 3 that I read aloud, do you recall that language that I read aloud from your report?
  - A Yes, I do.
  - Q Where did you get that language from?
  - A I think it was --

1 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 2 Go on. THE WITNESS: I don't remember exactly but 3 I think it was just when I write, I am sure I 4 am influenced by everything I have read in the 6 past. 7 But I don't recall basically pulling a particular reference and all that. 8 9 sometimes when you write, say, if there is something that is a disagreement, then I'm 10 11 happy to correct it. But I don't remember 12 quoting anybody. 13 BY MR. ORTIZ: 14 Okay. Do you recall reviewing any legal 15 cases before writing these reports? 16 Reviewing -- what do you mean reviewing other legal cases? 17 18 0 Sure. Do you recall reviewing any 19 opinions by any federal courts before writing these 2.0 reports? 21 Regarding this case, before I wrote this report, I reviewed everything, all the materials I 22 23 received. So some included reports, like you

mentioned, that might be there, but a particular

24

25

one, I don't remember.

Q Okay. What is CLL?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Chronic lymphocytic leukemia. 2 Α
  - And what kind of disease is it?
  - It's considered a cancer of the blood. Α
    - Is it sometimes classified as leukemia and 0 sometimes as a Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma?
    - There is an equal disease. Α Yes. People think it's identical, it's the same. It's either CLL or SLL.
      - And it's really the same disease? 0
    - Α It's the same disease. One is called small lymphocytic lymphoma, which would be a lymphoma. The other one is chronic lymphocytic leukemia.
    - And are you aware that the World Health Organization recently reclassified CLL as a subtype of Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma or NHL?
    - That's what I meant. Α Yeah. So -- so the classifications constantly keep being updated as we get more information. And then people argue whether to classify it under one or the other.
    - Do you consider the World Health Organization's reclassification to be a reliable authority about CLL?
      - Α I think it's one of them. You know, we --

we don't -- there are many classifications and different groups consider one or the other.

- Would you agree that the etiology or cause of CLL is unknown?
- MS. GREENWALD: Object to the form. 5

6 BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

2.0

25

- You can go ahead and answer.
- It really depends on what you call unknown or -- or not. I think we're still trying to figure out the exact cause. And if you mean the exact final cause, yes. We don't know exactly why that happened but we do believe there is an interaction of many factors causing some genetic mutations that caused the cancer.
- I'm going to hand you what I will mark as Laber Exhibit 5.
- 17 (Exhibit 5 was marked.)

BY MR. ORTIZ: 18

- And have you ever seen this document before, sir?
- 21 Not exactly the way you are showing it to 22 me.
- 23 Does it -- do you recognize it as excerpts from the WHO Classification of Tumours, 5th Edition? 24
  - That's what it looks like. Α

	Q	Okay.	And	if you	ı would	turn	to	the	fourth
page	of t	he docu	ment.	And	at the	botto	om,	its	says
page	369.	And j	ust l	et me	know w	hen yo	ou'i	re th	nere.

- A Did you say page 369 or --
- O Correct. Correct.
- A Okay. I got it right now.
- Q Okay. And do you see on the left-hand column, there's a section that says Etiology and it reads "The etiology is unknown"?
  - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q And did I read that correctly?
- 12 A You did.
- Q Okay. And a cancer with an unknown cause is considered to be idiopathic; correct?
- 15 A That's the definition of idiopathic, yeah.
  16 It's the same thing.
  - Q Can you tell me what percentage of CLL cases are idiopathic?
  - A It really depends on how you want to use that word or that definition.
  - Scientists, we keep questioning our findings. So even if we see something or we believe there is something caused by that, we might still say that we don't know the final answer so it's just a -- we can spend many days talking about that. I

don't	think	Ι	can	just	simplify	this,	as	you	just
did.									

- Can you give me a numerical percentage between 0 and 100 percent as to what percentage of cases is, CLL cases are idiopathic?
  - MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. Asked and answered.

I think it depends on how THE WITNESS: you use that definition, how do you say something is idiopathic or not.

So far, if we believe we don't know the cause, the final cause, then everybody will be. But if we start thinking, well, we think we know the cause, the cause is genetic mutations, then all of them, we know the cause. just how we interpret those definition of was the cause known or unknown, and which one is the cause.

### BY MR. ORTIZ:

- 0 Can you tell me what percentage of CLL cases have an identifiable cause?
  - MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
  - THE WITNESS: I think I just explained it. I'm sorry that it looks a little bit redundant. But it really depends on the people that you

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

believe you know the cause or you don't know the cause.

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

3

4

5

6

10

11

16

17

- Q But as you sit here, you can't tell me a numerical percentage of cases in which there's an identifiable cause?
- 7 A I don't think I have looked at that 8 question.
- 9 Q Okay. Fair enough.
  - And in your clinical practice, you treat patients with CLL; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q What percentage of your overall -- well,
  let me ask you this first: You also treat other
  patients with cancer; correct?
  - A Yes, I do.
  - Q Okay. What percentage of your overall cases are CLL cases?
- 19 A I haven't even quantified that.
- 20 | Q Do you have a ballpark?
- A No, I do not. I don't want to -- since
  I'm under oath, I really want to make sure my
  answers are...
- Q Okay. Understood.
- 25 Has the number remained the same over the

years or has it changed, just generally speak	years
---	-------

A No. I haven't practiced the same one year to the next. It completely changes. It also changes according to the needs of the institution that I work for.

Q Okay. And when you treat patients with CLL, do they ever ask you what the cause or causes of their CLL might be?

A I don't remember the last patient have ever asked me that. I think the only times that something that I have came up if it was like a clear exposure. I do believe -- you know, you ask me about Agent Orange and people that have been in Vietnam and things, yeah, those peoples, usually they ask.

Q Okay.

A But I don't remember the regular population asking me.

And maybe if I get somebody that has a family member that have the same disease.

- Q Okay. Have you ever told a patient the cause of causes of CLL are unknown or idiopathic?
- A I don't remember having a discussion like that.
  - Q Okay. And what kind of questions do you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

1	typically ask a new patient with CLL during an
2	initial consultation?
3	A That would be too extensive for today but
4	we go through the whole medical history and
5	everything that includes on that.
6	Q Do you ask them if they've ever been
7	exposed to Agent Orange?
8	A I don't think we asked that particular
9	question. We might ask if they think they've been
10	exposed or what kind of profession they have or
11	things like that, as general questions. I don't
12	remember specifically asking for one or the other,
13	unless they tell me. Usually those people that have
14	been exposed, they know, they work in a certain
15	industry or something like that.
16	Q Would it be fair to say that you don't ask
17	specifically if they've been exposed to TCE, PCE, or
18	benzene?
19	A I think that's fair. I don't ask
20	specifically that question.
21	Q Can you tell me in what percentage of your
22	CLL cases have you been able to identify a cause?
23	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form, asked and

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think we went

24

25

answered.

through that. It really depends on how do you define the cause and all that, so ...

# BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Right. So, before, I was asking generally. But in your clinical practice, is there a percentage where you've been able to identify a cause?

> Same objection. MS. GREENWALD:

# BY MR. ORTIZ:

- You can answer. 0
- I think that's the same question as Α before, whether -- whether -- but I don't look at that question.
- Have you ever published any Okay. peer-reviewed articles or studies about CLL?
  - I don't know if it was only about CLL but it might have been included on studies that we did for more than CLL or something like that.
- Okay. But you don't recall a publication 0 specifically about CLL, as you sit here?
  - No, I do not. Α
- Okay. Can you turn to page four of -- I guess we can stick with your Fiolek report.
  - Okay. Α
  - Q And let me know when you're there.

- 1 A You say page four? Is that --
- 2 Q Correct, sir.
  - A I'm there now. Thank you.
  - Q And you have a section there that's entitled Standard in this Case; correct?
  - A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q And that's the same section -- the same section appears in your Gleesing report as well?
  - A I think the format was similar, yes.
- Q Okay. And you quote from the Camp Lejeune Justice Act of 2022; correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q And specifically, you quote the language,

  "at least as likely as not"; is that correct?
- 15 A Yes.
  - Q And you state that you define the phrase "at least as likely as not" to mean a 50 percent or more likelihood based on your training and experience; is that correct?
  - A I don't know if I defined it. Yes.

    Actually, I say that. Isn't it -- I have it right
    here. "I have defined 'at least as likely as not'
    to mean a 50 percent or greater likelihood that the
    Camp Lejeune water contaminants caused the patient's
    disease at issue."

Page 35 1 Okay. Do you agree that "as likely as 2 not" is a legal standard? I do, yes. 3 Okay. Have you ever offered any opinions 4 in another case under the "as likely as not" 5 6 standard? I don't remember that. Most of the time is more likely than not. 8 9 And you agree that that is also a legal standard? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 And would you agree that that means more 13 than 50 percent? That's my interpretation. And that's what 14 15 the legal scholars that I talked to told me. 16 Okay. And given that those are legal 17 standards, those aren't standards that you use in your clinical practice as an oncologist and 18 19 hematologist; is that correct? 2.0 Α I don't think I use any of that in my 21 clinical practice. Okay. Have you ever heard about -- heard 22 23 the term "equipoise"? 24 Α Yes.

And what's your understanding of that

25

Q

Page 36 1 term? That is equal. 2 Α Have you ever seen a scientific study or 3 publication use that term? 4 I probably have because I read a lot but I 5 cannot recall a particular one. 6 You can't identify a specific one as you Q sit here? 8 9 Α Not as I sit here. And then you state in section four, in --10 "In the event the differential etiology analysis 11 12 results in more than one potential cause of the 13 disease, and I am unable to conclude which of the 14 factors is the most likely cause, I would express my 15 opinion that each factor is as likely as not 16 causally related to the disease." 17 Did I read that correctly, sir? 18 Α Yes, you did. Have you ever applied that principle in 19 2.0 any other case in which you were an expert? 21 I don't recall, no. Α Is that a principle that you only apply in 22 23 this case in light of the "as likely as not" standard? 24 25 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

	Page 37
1	BY MR. ORTIZ:
2	Q You can go ahead and answer.
3	A I think my understanding is in this case
4	that was the requirement, to be at least as likely
5	as not. And that's why we use those terminologies.
6	Q Would you agree with the statement that if
7	you're going to claim that something is the cause of
8	something else, you'd have to have some proof of it?
9	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
L O	THE WITNESS: That's a general statement,
L1	but sounds reasonable.
L2	BY MR. ORTIZ:
L 3	Q Would you agree with the statement that
L <b>4</b>	people can theorize so much, and that means
L 5	absolutely nothing?
L 6	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
L 7	THE WITNESS: I cannot really agree or
L 8	disagree to these general statements. I think
L 9	they have to have a place and it depends on the
20	context on how we use those statements.
21	BY MR. ORTIZ:
22	Q Do you recall giving those statements in a
23	prior deposition?
24	A I might have but it really depends on the

context. I think things that you take out of

Page 38 1 context don't reflect basically the true thinking. I'm going to show you what will be marked 2 as Laber Exhibit 6, sir. 3 (Exhibit 6 was marked.) 4 BY MR. ORTIZ: 5 There you go. 6 Q 7 Α Thank you. Do you recognize this as a copy of a 8 9 transcript of a deposition you gave on November 14th of 2023 in the case of Sim Rice, Jr., vs. George D. 10 11 Munday, M.D., et al., 28CV-21-341? Well, you mentioned so many things that I 12 Α 13 don't really recall at all, but I see this copy that 14 state this is a deposition. 15 Okay. And you recognize your name on the 16 first page?

Yes, I do.

Do you remember being retained as an expert in this case?

> Α I don't remember the specifics.

Okay. And were you retained on behalf of the plaintiff in that case? Do you recall?

Again, I don't remember the specifics but I'm sure you can see that on the deposition somewhere.

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	Q And you were under oath and answered all
2	your all the questions put to you truthfully?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And the attorney for the party retaining
5	you was present during the deposition; correct?
6	A I don't remember if they were present or
7	electronically or
8	Q Okay.
9	A Or maybe another attorney was present and
10	things like that.
11	Q Can you turn to page 22, please?
12	Let me know when you're there.
13	A I'm there now. Thank you.
14	Q I'm going to read from line 10.
15	Question: In doing your own literature
16	review, did you come across any additional
17	peer-reviewed literature that references a
18	theory that heparin can cause priapism?
19	Answer: I was not looking for theories.
20	I was looking for just proofs. People can
21	theorize so much and that means absolutely
22	nothing.
23	Did I read that correctly, sir?
24	A Yes, you did.
25	Q Okay. If you'd turn, please, to page 23.

T'm	going	tο	read	from	line	19
	GOTIIG	$\sim$	<sub>L</sub> Cau	$\perp$ $\perp$ $\cup$ $ $ $ $ $ $	T T11C	エノ・

Question: So in medicine and research, are there often rare conditions that are reported only through case reports because they are so rare and the difficulty in being able to run studies to prove or disprove the theories?

Answer: In general, things can be reported for many different reasons. But if you're going to claim that something is the cause of that, then you have to have some proof of that.

Did I read that correctly, sir?

- A Yes.
  - Q And that was your testimony in that case?
- 15 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q And is it fair to say then in that case you were insisting on having proof of causation for a medical condition?

19 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's fair to say. I think that was just a general question asked before we started talking about the case.

BY MR. ORTIZ:

Q Okay. Now, in Laber exhibit -- I'm

Page 41 1 turning back to your report, Laber Exhibit 3, you opined that Mr. Fiolek had substantial exposure to 2 contaminants at Camp Lejeune; is that correct? 3 Let me go back. Tell me, where are you 4 Α looking at? 5 6 If you turn to page 16, sir. 7 Page 16 of the Fiolek report or the Α Gleesing? 8 That's correct. 9 0 Okay. I'm there. Tell me which part you 10 Α 11 want me to look at. 12 Do you see the sentence above Section 9, 0 13 Analysis and Opinions. And it reads "Given that he 14 lived and worked on base and was exposed nearly 15 daily to the VOCs, Mr. Fiolek would have had 16 substantial exposure during his time stationed at 17 Camp Lejeune." Did I read that correctly? 18 19 Yes, you did. Α 2.0 And you give the same opinion on page 16 0 21 of Laber Exhibit 4, your report in the Gleesing 22 case; is that correct? 23 Α I don't know. Let me look. Sure. No problem. 24 0 25 Α There's a good chance that that would be

Page 42 1 the case, yes. 2 I see a similar sentence, yes. Yes. And you don't define substantial exposure 3 in either report; correct? 4 5 Α Correct. And you don't quantify substantial 6 7 exposure in either report; correct? 8 Correct. 9 Can you tell me when exposure is not substantial? 10 11 Α No, I cannot. Did you independently calculate the amount 12 0 13 of TCE, PCE, or benzene to which Mr. Fiolek was 14 allegedly exposed at Camp Lejeune? 15 No, I have not. Did you independently calculate the amount 16 17 of TCE, PCE, or benzene to which Mr. Gleesing was allegedly exposed at Camp Lejeune? 18 19 Δ No, I have not. 2.0 0 And you reviewed some military records for 21 both plaintiffs; correct? Military records, I don't think I've 22 23 reviewed personally. Okay. And can you tell me a little bit 24 more about that? 25

- A I don't know what you want to know.
  - Q Well, you say you didn't review them personally; correct?
  - A Yeah. I don't think I have access to military records.
  - Q Okay. Did somebody else review them and prepare summaries for you?
  - A I think what I read was listed on the medical records, depositions, things that I was able to review but I don't know if I had access to military records.
  - Q Can you turn to page 14 of your Fiolek report, please?
    - A Okay.
  - Q And you see the section that begins "Robert Fiolek Exposure History" and there are various footnotes throughout that section.
- Do you see that?
- 19 A You said -- I think I might be in the
  20 wrong document. Can you point me again to which
  21 one?
- Q Sure. Page 14 of your Fiolek report,
  Laber Exhibit 3.
- 24 A Let me see which one is which. This is 25 Gleesing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

	Page 44
1	Okay.
2	Q And you see the section that begins
3	"Robert Fiolek Exposure Summary"?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did you write this section?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And you see there are various footnotes
8	throughout this section?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And those footnotes cite to records that
11	are the Bates numbers are 0062_FIOLEK_NARA?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And then there are various numbers?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did you personally review those documents?
16	A I think I did but I also had some help
17	with all these footnotes because I'm not really so
18	versed on the records that are not medical records.
19	But I am very versed in medical records.
20	Q Okay. When you say you had some help with
21	the footnotes, what do you mean?
22	A I think I might have asked one of the
23	attorneys to help me out, locate exactly where those
24	documentations were.
25	Q Have you ever reviewed military records

Page 45 1 before? 2 Not that I can recall. Α Is it your understanding that the entirety 3 of Camp Lejeune was contaminated during the entire 4 time that Mr. Fiolek and Mr. Gleesing was there? 5 I think followed whatever it says on the 6 Α toxicology report from the US Department -- what is The AT --8 it? 9 0 ATSDR? 10 Α That's right. 11 Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease 0 12 Registry? 13 Α Yeah. I just relied on what they say. I don't have a personal opinion on that. 14 15 Okay. And so you're aware that certain 16 areas at Camp Lejeune such as Montford Point or Camp 17 Geiger were not contaminated? I don't trust what you say but I will 18 Α 19 follow what the ATSDR report says. 2.0 0 You'd defer to ATSDR about which areas 21 were contaminated and when? 22 Yes, I do. Α 23 Okay. Q Because -- not that I don't trust you, 24 25 it's that you might make a mistake also, same as --

	Page 46
1	that's just normally human.
2	Q It has happened.
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you rely on the exposure calculations
5	of Dr. Kelly Reynolds?
6	A I do, yes.
7	Q And are you aware that Dr. Reynolds used
8	cumulative total mass in units of total micrograms
9	as an exposure metric in her report?
10	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
11	Go ahead.
12	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm not going
13	to get into the details of that. If I have the
14	report in front of me and you want to show me,
15	I'm happy to agree with the citation. Not from
16	my memory.
17	BY MR. ORTIZ:
18	Q Okay. Dr. Laber, I'm going to put before
19	you Laber Exhibit 7, I believe.
20	(Exhibit 7 was marked.)
21	BY MR. ORTIZ:
22	Q Do you recognize this document, sir?
23	A I have two documents, isn't it, you just
24	gave me?
25	Q It's one document. Did I give you two on

-	
	accident?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Α Okay. Yeah. You gave me two. Thank you.
- Thank you. Can you take a look at that document and tell me whether you recognize it, please?
- Appendix 13, Robert J. Fiolek, leukemia. Α Summed variables. These are a list of different tables.
- And is that the -- do you recognize it as the appendix containing Dr. Reynolds' calculations for Mr. Fiolek?
- It doesn't say anything on that. It just says "summed variable." So I'm not going to trust my memory. But if you tell me that's the case, I'll trust that you're telling me correctly.
- Do you recall -- let me ask you this: You reviewed Dr. Reynolds' report; correct?
  - Yes, I did. Α
- And do you recall that there were 25 appendices following her report, one for each of the 25 Bellwether plaintiffs in this litigation?
  - No, I do not recall --
- 23 Okay. Q
- -- exactly how many tables or how many 24 25 appendices there were there, but if I had it, I did

Page 48 1 review the report. Okay. And is it fair to say that you did 2 3 not review the report in detail? MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 4 THE WITNESS: No. That's unfair. 5 When I review a report, I usually look at details. 6 BY MR. ORTIZ: 7 Okay. And if you turn to the first page, 8 0 9 please. Of the Appendix 13? 10 Α 11 It's the second page. 0 Yes, please. 12 13 Α Okay. 14 You see there are four columns? 0 15 Yes. Α 16 And the first column --0 17 Actually, five columns. Α Five columns. And you see the first 18 0 19 column, something at the top says cumulative? 2.0 Α That would be the second column, yes. 21 Says cumulative micrograms per liter per 22 month; correct? 23 Well, I don't know what this says. 24 says ug/lm. 25 Q Do you know what that stands for?

Page 49 1 Α No, I do not --Okay. 2 0 -- because it doesn't specify it here. 3 And then the next column, it says 4 cumulative consumption, total ug. 5 Do you see that? 6 Yes, I do. Α Do you know what that stands for? 8 9 Α No, I do not. And would the answer be the same for the 10 11 next two columns? 12 Α Yes. Usually when you write it there, we have to specify what you mean with all those. 13 14 And what's your understanding of 15 Dr. Reynolds' methodology? 16 My understanding, it was appropriate for 17 the field that she studied. 18 0 Okay. But do you understand how she 19 performed those calculations? 2.0 Α I do understand but I don't remember the 21 details. If I have it in front of me, I have an understanding of the methodology and the things that 22 23 people do, scientifically in general, but I cannot recall because you have too many status and too many 24

25

files to review for this.

1 Okay. Are you aware that Dr. Reynolds calculated the cumulative exposure dose for 2 Mr. Fiolek and Mr. Gleesing? 3

- Α Yes. I am aware.
- And what is exposure dose?
- 6 You can ask her. I'm relying on her 7 findings.

But my understanding is how much of these chemicals the people were exposed. And you said over a period of time?

- And do you know what the concept of 0 absorbed dose is?
- 13 Α I do, yes.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

22

23

24

- What is it? 14 0
- 15 Basically the estimate of how much of the 16 exposed agent was absorbed in the body.
  - And that's different from exposure dose; is that correct?
    - One is exposure, one is absorption. А
- 2.0 0 Okay. And Dr. Reynolds calculated 21 exposure, not absorption?
  - If you show me the paper. I'm not going to trust my memory because...
    - I think she probably calculated all of them, but we can look it up together if you want to.

- 0 Okay. And are you aware that Dr. Reynolds has been deposed in this litigation?
  - I think she was but I don't remember reading a deposition on her.
  - So you did not review a transcript of her 0 deposition; is that correct?
    - I don't think I have.
  - Okay. And so if she testified that she did not calculate absorbed dose, would you defer to her testimony?
- Α I don't know. I would have do review it. I'm not going to defer to things that I haven't seen.
  - Fair enough. 0
- 15 Would you agree that you're assuming that 16 Dr. Reynolds' methodology was reliable?
- 17 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- BY MR. ORTIZ: 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 19 You can answer. 0
- 2.0 Α Really reliable depending on what? What 21 do you mean with that?
- I think -- you know, you have to define 22 23 those words when we go scientifically.
- 24 Would you agree that you lack the expertise -- well, strike that. 25

1 Do you know what a maximum contaminant 2 level or MCL for a chemical is?

- No, I do not.
- Okay. Do you know who sets the maximum contaminant levels for chemicals?
- No, I do not. Α
  - Are you aware that there are very few Q actual samples of water from Camp Lejeune from the 1980s?
- MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 10
- 11 THE WITNESS: Again, that's a relative 12 term, depending on what you mean with that.
- 13 BY MR. ORTIZ:

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 14 Are you aware that there are no samples of 15 water from Camp Lejeune from before the 1980s?
- 16 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I don't think I have looked 18 at that so I'm not aware or not aware. 19 haven't looked at that.
- 2.0 BY MR. ORTIZ:
- 21 Okay. And you're aware, though, as you mentioned earlier, that ATSDR has modeled levels of 22 23 TCE, PCE, and benzene at some areas of Camp Lejeune; 24 correct?
- 25 Α Yes.

Page 53 1 And are you aware that they did that, in part, because there were no samples from before the 2 1980s? 3 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't remember looking at 5 that particular question so I don't think I can 6 answer that. MR. ORTIZ: Okay. We can go off the 8 9 record real quick. 10 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record 11 at 10:07. 12 (A brief recess is had from 10:07 a.m. to 13 10:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 14 15 10:16. 16 BY MR. ORTIZ: 17 Dr. Laber, could you please look at Laber Exhibit 7? 18 19 Yes. Δ 2.0 And turning to the second page after the 21 cover page. Is it -- that page, sir, that you were 22 on. 23 Α Okay. The first page. Do you understand what 24

25

these numbers on this chart mean?

Page 54 1 I don't have the references but I do 2 believe I have an understanding of what they're trying to show. 3 And what is that understanding? 4 0 They're trying to show the -- it seems 5 Α 6 like the exposure by some unit measurement over time --8 Q Okay. 9 Α -- for the different agents. And apologies if I --10 0 11 Α No. 12 -- started speaking before you there. 0 13 Α I actually paused there, so... 14 And if you turn, please, to -- I believe 0 15 it's the sixth page. It will say Appendix 14, 16 Joseph Mark Gleesing, leukemia. 17 You're -- you're referring to whatever it 18 says the page number on top or ... T believe it's --19 0 No. 2.0 Α Oh, the Appendix 14? 21 Yes. 0 22 The next one? Α 23 Correct. 24 I have. Yes. Α 25 Q And could you turn to the next page,

1	please?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Α Yes.
  - And do you have an understanding of what these numbers mean?
  - Α Again, same answer. There are no quotation of references in this table. But I do believe it's similar to the other one.
  - Your answer would be the same as to the Appendix 13 concerning Mr. Fiolek?
  - It would be similar. So it says Yeah. cumulative consumption and is some form of measurement over time exposure.
    - And if you --0
- Α I don't have methodology of this table or anything like that.
- Can you tell from this table how much TCE Mr. Gleesing was exposed to?
- Again, since I don't have the references, I wouldn't make any of the assumptions. But if you're telling me that this is -- the exposure for Mr. Gleesing over a certain period of time, that might make sense, but I don't have the details of what's the time and -- on everything else.
- And would you give the same answer as to the other three chemicals listed on here?

1	A Yes. I think there is no details on this
2	table. It's taken out of context so I cannot tell
3	for sure what it is.
4	Q Okay. And would you give the same answers
5	as to the table for Mr. Fiolek in Appendix 13?
6	A Let me see. Yeah. It looks like, again,
7	a table with no references and no context.
8	Q Okay. And do you see the letters VC?
9	A VC? Yes, I do see that.
L O	Q Do you know what those refer to?
L1	A I think it's vinyl chloride.
L2	Q Would you agree that you do not express
L 3	any opinions about vinyl chloride in your reports?
L <b>4</b>	A I don't think I talk about that, no.
L 5	Q Okay. Have you ever spoken to
L 6	Dr. Reynolds?
L 7	A I don't remember if I did. I don't think
L 8	I did.
L9	Q Do you recall speaking to any other
20	experts retained by plaintiffs in this litigation?
21	A I don't recall as we speak, but I think
22	maybe somebody talked to me about the life
23	expectancy and things like that when they did some
0.4	calculations   Henally that's more of my part

25

Q

Okay.

1	A The prognosis of the cancer.
2	Q And do you recall which plaintiff that was
3	for?
4	A It should have been one of these two, one
5	of these two, but I don't remember the details.
6	Q Do you think it was likely Mr. Gleesing?
7	A I don't know. One of them looks like he's
8	still in remission for many, many years. The other
9	one was a little bit more difficult care, so it
10	probably was the more difficult one.
11	Q Okay. But as you sit here, you don't
12	recall who that expert was that you spoke to?
13	MS. GREENWALD: Objection. Objection,
14	form.
15	THE WITNESS: I don't remember exactly the
16	name on all that, but I do believe it was
17	somebody measuring life expectancy or damages
18	or things like that, you know, like what kind
19	of treatment they received, the cause, the side
20	effects, things like that.
21	BY MR. ORTIZ:
22	Q And do you recall, before the break, we
23	talked a little bit about exposure dose and absorbed
24	dose. Do you recall that?
25	A I remember we talk about that, yes.

1	Q And do you recall that you testified the
2	absorbed dose is the amount that's absorbed of some
3	sort of agent into the body; is that correct?
4	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
5	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Can you repeat the
6	question?
7	BY MR. ORTIZ:
8	Q Well, let me just ask you. Can you state
9	again what your understanding of the phrase
L O	"absorbed dose" is?
L1	A Sure. It's how much of the agent that was
L 2	exposed gets absorbed into the body.
L 3	Q And would you agree that not all of an
L 4	agent, if someone's exposed to it, gets absorbed
L 5	into the body?
L 6	A I think it really depends on the agent.
L 7	Some might be absorbed. But I do expect not all of
L 8	it would be absorbed.
L 9	Q Would some be metabolized and some passed
20	out of the body; is that correct?
21	A Well, metabolized means it was absorbed.
22	Q Right. And some would be absorbed and
23	some would not be absorbed and would be passed out
0.4	of the hody: is that correct?

That would be reasonable, yes.

25

Α

	Page 59		
1	Q For TCE, do you know how much of it would	Q Fo	i
2	be metabolized?	metaboliz	
3	A I don't think I looked at those questions.	A I	3.
4	Q Same for PCE?	Q Sa	
5	A Same for PCE. I don't think I looked at	A Sa	
б	that.	at.	
7	Q And same for benzene?	Q Ar	
8	A Same for benzene. Yeah. I don't think I	A Sa	<u>-</u>
9	looked at that.	oked at th	
10	Q And in trying to understand the possible	Q Ar	
11	health effects of exposure, do you think that it's	alth effec	
12	important to understand what the absorbed dose of	portant to	
13	the chemical may be?	e chemical	
14	A Yes. I think it's important.	A Ye	
15	Q And why is that?	Q Ar	
16	A Because you want to know how much of the	A Be	
17	agent was absorbed into the body.	ent was ak	
18	Q And have you ever heard of the phrase	Q Ar	
19	"target organ specificity"?	arget orga	
20	A I might have heard about that but honestly	A I	-У
21	I don't know the particulars of that.	don't knov	
22	Q And for would you agree that for a	Q Ar	

Objection, form.

chemical to affect a particular organ, it needs to

reach that organ within the body; is that correct?

23

24

25

MS. GREENWALD:

THE WITNESS: No, not necessarily. You can -- you can have indirect effects into the organs. Maybe that agent doesn't get there but a metabolite can, or maybe they cause damage to other parts of the body, and then they're affecting a third organ.

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Can you give me an example?
- A Yeah. There are many medicines. There are many drugs that we use that maybe the main drug doesn't do anything, but the metabolites cause a lot of complications.
  - Q Can you give me a specific example?
- A Sure. There's a medicine called capecitabine that we use for treatment of many of the gastrointestinal cancers. That is basically a prodrug.

And when it gets absorbed into the body, that gets metabolized by the liver. And the metabolites are the ones that can cause side effects and can also attack the cancer cells.

- Q And you referred before the break to the water modeling that was done by ATSDR; is that correct?
  - A Yes.

Page 61 1 And you reviewed some of the documents pertaining to the water modeling in preparing your 2 reports; is that correct? 3 4 Α Yes. And do you know what Hadnot Point is? 5 6 It's a location within Camp Lejeune. Α 7 Okay. And do you know what Tarawa Q 8 Terrace? 9 Α I think it's also another one. Either/or or all around that. I think it was related to 10 11 either places where the Marines lived or trained. 12 0 Okay. 13 Α But I don't know the specifics. I haven't 14 been to Camp Lejeune. 15 Okay. Fair enough. 16 I don't know the layout of the base or 17 anything. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been 18 0 marked as Laber Exhibit 8. 19 2.0 (Exhibit 8 was marked.) 21 BY MR. ORTIZ:

I might have seen it before but...

Have you ever seen it before?

And do you recognize this document, sir?

22

23

24

25

0

Α

Q

Α

Recognize as in what?

And does it appear to be Chapter A, Summary and Findings of ATSDR's water modeling pertaining to Hadnot Point and Holcomb Boulevard?

> Α Yes.

And for the record, this is excerpts from the fuller report.

Do you recall reviewing this document in preparing your reports?

- Α I did review the ATSDR full report.
- 10 0 Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

- 11 Not just a summary. Α
- 12 Okay. 0
- 13 So, you know, parts of it. Α

Since I'm under oath, again, I'm afraid of 14 15 giving you an incorrect answer saying that I haven't 16 seen it when I really did see it.

- Sure. And I don't want that answer. 0
- 18 When I see excerpts of that, so sorry for 19 that.
- 2.0 0 That's fine. Can you please turn to page 21 A162?
- And it's near the back. 22
- 23 Α You said?
- 24 A162. Q
- I don't have those kind of -- oh, here. 25 Α

- Q It's in the bottom left corner.
- A On the left side. I'm sorry. Yeah. Because some of them, they have a different numbering.
  - O Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- A The A is not on all of them. A162. Yeah. The first part don't even have these A numbers. I think it's at the bottom. Yeah. I got you. I'm there now.
- Q Okay. And would you agree that this table sets out reconstructed monthly mean concentrations in finished water for PCE, TCE, DCE, and vinyl chloride at the Hadnot Point water treatment plant?
- A You just read the title. Isn't it
  Appendix A7 on that where it says reconstructed
  (simulated) monthly mean concentrations in finished
  water for all these agents.
- Yeah, the table is concentrations in finished water in micrograms per liter.
- Q And this table includes the period September 1964 through July of 1965; correct?
- A It says January 1964 to December 1966.

  And it continues on the next page.
- Q Correct. I want to focus on this page.
  - A I got you.

Page 64 1 So from this page, it's January 1964 to 2 December 1966. Okay. And focusing on the September 1964 3 to July of 1965 time period, do you -- do you see 4 that ATSDR modeled PCE as 0 micrograms per liter? 5 6 You said September 1964 to --Α July 1964 to --Q July '64, then? 8 Α 9 0 Excuse me. You were right. It's September 1964 through July of 1965. Thank you. 10 11 Α Thank you. I'm sorry that I need to. 12 0 That's fine. 13 Α Okay. And your question was that the PC was 0 in this table? 14 15 Correct. O micrograms per liter; is that 16 correct? 17 That's what it says. Α Okay. And would you agree that in water, 18 0 19 1 microgram per liter is equivalent to 1 part per billion? 2.0 21 Are you aware of that? 22 I haven't looked at that. I usually 23 don't use that in my practice, so --24 Okay. 0 25 Α -- I cannot answer truthfully on that.

0 Okay. So you're unsure about that conversion?

- Α I have no opinions on that.
- Okay. And just continuing to look at the chart during the September 1964 to July of 1965 time period, would you agree that a ATSDR modeled TCE as between 19 and 26 micrograms per liter?
- There is only one month that is 19. you said it's one month that is 26. And then the other ones are 21, 22, 23, 24, 25. That's what it says in the table.
- They all fall within 19 and 26 micrograms 0 per liter; correct?
  - That's what I can see, yes. А
- And during that time, do you see benzene all the way on the right-hand column?
  - Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- And during that September 1964 to 0 July 1965 time period, ATSDR modeled benzene as between 0 and 1 microgram per liter; is that correct?
- Objection to form. 22 MS. GREENWALD:
- 23 THE WITNESS: It looks like only one month
- was 0. All of the other months is 1. 24
- BY MR. ORTIZ: 25

Q Okay. And would you agree that Mr. Fiolek
was at Hadnot Point generally from September 1964 to
July of 1965; correct?

- A Are you talking about Fiolek?
- 5 0 Yes.

4

- A I don't want to trust my memory. But I have it in my report.
- - A Fifteen, yes.
- 11 Q And do you see that there's a chart on the 12 bottom half of the page?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q And there are columns for work, residence, start date, end date, and total days?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q And do you see on the second row, it says
  "Work, Hadnot Point; residence, barracks; start
  date, September 4th, 1965; end date, October 1965;
  days, 36."
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q And do you see the next row is also at Hadnot Point; is that correct?
- 25 A Yes.

```
Page 67
1
          0
                And the next row is also at Hadnot Point;
 2
     is that correct?
 3
          Α
                Yes.
                But it says "Residence, off base"?
 4
 5
          Α
                Yes.
 6
                And that starts January 11th of 1965;
          Q
 7
     correct?
8
          Α
                Yes.
9
          0
                And the next row is Hadnot Point; correct?
10
          Α
                Yes.
                And the residence is off base there as
11
          0
     well?
12
13
          Α
                Yes.
14
                And the next row says "Hadnot Point";
          0
15
     correct?
16
                Yes.
          Α
                And the residence is off base as well?
17
          0
18
          Α
                Yes.
               And the start date for that one is
19
          0
20
     July 25th, 1965 and the end date is August 2nd of
21
     1965.
22
                Do you see that?
23
          Α
                I do, yes.
24
                Are you aware that Mr. Fiolek began
25
     language school in Monterey, California on
```

August 3:	ra ot 196	5:	٠.
-----------	-----------	----	----

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- I think I was aware. I don't remember the Α details.
- Okay. Would you agree that given that 0 Mr. Fiolek began language school in Monterey, California on August 3rd of 1965, it's highly unlikely that he was at Camp Lejeune, North Carolina on August 2nd of 1965?
- I honestly can't answer that. I don't Sometimes the school, they -- like, my know that. work, they started on one day but I was not at the location. I was at another location. So the school might have started but he might have been in another location.
- And are you aware that Mr. Fiolek, during the September 1964 to July 1965 time period would have had some times where he was off base for leave or deployments; is that correct?
  - MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- 2.0 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 21 BY MR. ORTIZ:
  - You don't recall?
    - I cannot answer that question because I don't know whether he was stationed in one place and stayed there all the days or he was ordered to go to

other locations and come back.

I don't know the details on that.

Would you agree that using what ATSDR modeled in Laber Exhibit 8, Mr. Fiolek was exposed to 26 micrograms per liter of TCE or less while he was living or working at Hadnot Point during the September 1964 to July of 1965 time period?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: No. I cannot agree with I would just defer to the experts on that on exposure.

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Would you defer to this table? 0
- This table gives you some of the information. But you're not applying -- this table doesn't tell you what any of these patients drank or took or things like that.
- Sure. This table's telling us the 0 finished water at the Hadnot Point water treatment plant -- is that correct? -- the concentrations of these chemicals at that location?
  - That's what it looks like, yes.
- So we don't have information about what Mr. Fiolek might have drank in his house, the number of cups of water he drank, number of cups of coffee,

things	like	that;	correct?
--------	------	-------	----------

A Depending on what you call "we don't have information." We do have some information but I'm not capable of quantifying exactly the amount of water or the amount of exposure or things like that.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A Because I didn't do those calculations.
- Q Okay. And would you agree that using what ATSDR modeled, Mr. Fiolek was exposed to one part or 1 microgram per liter or less of benzene while he was living or working at Hadnot Point September of 1964 to July of 1965?
- A I don't know the question. Did you ask me a question?
  - Q I can repeat.
  - A Sorry about that.
- 17 O That's fine.

Would you agree that using what ATSDR modeled, Mr. Fiolek was exposed to 1 microgram per liter or less of benzene while he was living or working at Hadnot Point during the September 1965 to July of 1965 time period?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I honestly cannot agree or disagree. I haven't done those calculations so

	Page 71
1	I have no I'm relying on the exposure
2	experts.
3	BY MR. ORTIZ:
4	Q And would that be Dr. Reynolds?
5	A I think she did a very detailed analysis.
6	Q Okay.
7	A And I quoted her analysis on my report.
8	Q Would you agree that using what ATSDR
9	modeled, Mr. Fiolek was not exposed to PCE while he
10	was living or working at Hadnot Point during the
11	September 1964 to July of 1965 time period?
12	A Again, I'm relying on the expert report
13	for that.
14	Q Okay.
15	A I haven't done those calculations myself.
16	Q And you've been an expert witness in prior
17	litigation; correct?
18	A Yes, I have.
19	Q Have you ever assessed somebody's exposure
20	to a chemical in any of those prior engagements?
21	A Not that I can recall.
22	Q Can you please turn to page A167 of Laber
23	Exhibit 8?
24	A Okay.
25	Q And this is a continuation of the same

Page 72 of 374

Page 72 1 chart that we were looking at; is that correct? 2 Α Yes. And it includes the time period of 3 January 1979 to August of 1981; correct? 4 December 1981. 5 Yes. Correct. But it includes the time period 6 0 7 that I just specified? Yes, it does. 8 It did. 9 0 Okay. It does. 10 Α 11 And would you agree that during the 0 January 1979 to August 1981 time period, ATSDR in 12 13 this chart modeled PCE as 30 micrograms per liter or less; is that correct? 14 15 Let me go through all the lines. 16 That's fine. Take your time. 0 17 It looks that the highest number I Yeah. 18 can find on these rows is 30. 19 Okay. And would you agree that during the 0 2.0 January 1979 to August 1981 time period in this 21 chart, ATSDR modeled benzene as between 0 and 22 9 micrograms per liter; is that correct? 23 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 24 THE WITNESS: From all the rows I can see,

the maximum number is a 9, yes. And there's

Page 73 1 one that is 0. 2 BY MR. ORTIZ: Okay. And would you agree that during the 3 January 1979 to August 1981 time period in this 4 chart, ATSDR modeled TCE as between 71 and 5 6 631 micrograms per liter; correct? Α The highest number I can see, 631. lowest number --8 9 Can I direct your attention to the number

- for October 1979?
- 11 Α Oh. October 1971 [sic] is 71. That's the 12 lowest I can find.
- 13 And so the answer --0
- 14 Α I hope I'm not making a mistake, so...
- 15 That's fine. So the answer to my question 16 is yes?
- 17 If you say 71 as the lowest and 631 as the Α 18 highest, the answer is yes.
- 19 Thank you. 0
- 2.0 Α I don't remember exactly. Sorry about 21 that.
- And Mr. Gleesing worked at Hadnot Point 22 23 from January 1979 to August 1981; is that correct?
- Hadnot Point? I don't know. You just 24 25 confused me little bit. I don't want to give you

	Page 74	
1	the wrong information.	
2	Q Let me direct you to page 15 of Laber	
3	Exhibit 4	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q which is your Gleesing report. Can you	
6	please turn to that, sir?	
7	A I have it now with me.	
8	Q Are you looking at the Fiolek or the	
9	Gleesing report?	
10	A This is Fiolek. You want me Gleesing?	
11	Q Yes, please.	
12	A Sorry about that.	
13	Q That's fine.	
14	A You said page 15.	
15	Q Correct. And let me know when you're	
16	there.	
17	A I am almost there.	
18	I'm there now. Thank you.	
19	Q And do you see the chart that's there?	
20	A Yes, I do.	
21	Q And is that the same numbers as what we	
22	were just looking at on page A167 of Laber	
23	Exhibit 8?	
24	A So the numbers start January 25, 1979 and	
25	end I think August 9, 1981, for this table.	

Page 75 of 374

Page 75

Q Right. And so those would be the dates

Q Right. And so those would be the dates that Mr. Gleesing was there?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q And you see the columns for PCE, TCE, vinyl chloride and benzene; correct?

A Yes.

Q And those numbers come from the chart on page A167 of Laber Exhibit 8?

A What do you mean, come from?

Q They're the same numbers?

A The same numbers as what? Which numbers are you talking about?

Q You see the top row where it says -- it says PCE 12; TCE, 268; vinyl chloride, 16; and benzene, 6.

Do you see that?

A I do.

Q Then if you look at the top row of page A167, are you looking at that? Do you see it says PCE, 12; TCE, 268; vinyl chloride, 16; and benzene, 6; is that correct?

A As you can see on my report -- let me just show you so we are on the same page. I don't want to give you the wrong information.

So these, I think, were taken for the

1 | appendices H1, J, and K of the expert report by

- 2 | Morris L. Maslia dated October 25, 2024. So I
- 3 | personally did not do this table and put those
- 4 | numbers there. So I was relying on the other expert
- 5 report.
- 6 Q Okay.
- A So if there is a typo or anything like that, I apologize for that, in one of those numbers.
- 9 Q Okay. Thank you, sir.
- 10 Would you agree that Mr. Gleesing lived at
- 11 | Midway Park between January of 1979 to August of
- 12 | 1981?
- 13 A He was -- sorry. I was just -- I didn't
- 14 listen correctly to your question. It was not you.
- 15 It was me on that one.
- 16 | O Would Mr. -- would you agree that
- 17 Mr. Gleesing lived at Midway Park between January of
- 18 | 1979 and August 1981?
- 19 A Did I put that Midway Park? Are you using
- 20 that?
- 21 Q If you turn to page 14 of your Gleesing
- 22 | report, sir.
- 23 A So I think we were at Hadnot Point. Now
- 24 | you are at Midway Park.
- 25 Yes. I think --

1	Q Do you see the first full paragraph?
2	A I do.
3	Q And it reads "On January 25th, 1979,
4	Mr. Gleesing moved to Midway Park. During his time
5	at Camp Lejeune, he and his family moved from one
6	trailer to another, still in Midway Park, but he
7	lived at Midway Park during his entire time at Camp
8	Lejeune."
9	Did I read that correctly?
10	A Yes, you did.
11	Q And you're aware that Midway Park is a
12	different part of Camp Lejeune?

A Well, again, I don't have the specifics. I don't know the details of the locations and then that.

For me, also they look the same but I know that they are not.

Q Do you know where the water -- the drinking water at Midway Park would have come from?

A I do believe, on the report by the AT, I think is the report, they specified the drinking water plants and what they can and all so forth.

But I personally, you know, cannot remember or recall which one is which.

Q Have you ever heard of the Holcomb

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	Boulevard water treatment plant?
2	A I have, yes.
3	Q And are you aware that water at Midway
4	Park came from the Holcomb Boulevard water treatment
5	plant?
6	A Being aware doesn't mean I can corroborate
7	that.
8	Q Okay. Does that ring any bells, though?
9	A It ring bells but I cannot corroborate
10	what you're saying. I think I will trust that the
11	report was correct.
12	Q Would you refer to the I guess to the
13	ATSDR water modeling documents on that?
14	A Yes. Thank you for helping with that.
15	Q Okay. Can you turn, please, to page A174
16	of Laber Exhibit 8?
17	A I'm there now.
18	Q And would you agree that this is
19	Table A8.1 and it's reconstructed monthly mean PCE
20	concentrations and finished water distributed to
21	Holcomb Boulevard family housing areas?
22	A Yes.

different years and months, there are charts setting

out what those values are in micrograms per liter?

23

24

25

Okay. And you see that there are, for

		Page 79
1		Do you see that?
2	А	Yes, I do.
3	Q	And do you see the initials MP?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Would you agree that stands for Midway
6	Park?	
7	А	Let me see at the bottom.
8	Q	I think it's actually at the top.
9	А	At the top.
10	Q	In the brackets. It says MP, Midway Park.
11	А	Yes, I see that.
12	Q	And if you look at the January 1979 to
13	August 198	31 time period, would you agree that ATSDR
14	modeled 0	PCE during that time period?
15	A	So you're talking so they have PP, MP,
16	BM, WV, ar	nd you're talking about the MP
17	Q	Correct.
18	A	stays as 0?
19	Q	Correct. During that entire January 1979
20	to August	1981 time period?
21	A	Yeah. Here it says 0. Yeah.
22	Q	Okay. If you turn to the next page, sir.
23	А	Um-hum.
24	Q	And this is Table A8.2 which is sets
25	out recons	structed monthly mean TCE concentrations in

Page 80 of 374

Page 80 1 finished water distributed to Holcomb Boulevard 2 family housing areas; is that correct? 3 Α Yes. And it's set up just like the previous 4 table that we looked at; correct? 5 6 It looks similar, yes. 7 And would you agree that ATSDR modeled levels of TCE that are 8 micrograms per liter or 8 9 less between January 1979 and August of 1981; is that correct? 10 11 Α Tell me again the dates. January 1979 to August 1981. 12 0 13 January 1970... Α 14 Hold on. Nine. So here to August. 15 That's what it looks like. 16 The highest TCE concentration was 0 17 8 micrograms per liter; is that correct? I don't know. You want me to go row by 18 Α row just to confirm that? 19 I do. 2.0 0 21 Okay. So tell me again which row to look. So you're talking about January? 22 23 Q January 1979 --24 Hold on. Hold on. Α 25 Q -- to August 1981.

		Page 81
1	А	You're going too fast.
2		January 1979 to August August 1981?
3	Q	Correct.
4	А	And you want me to look at the column that
5	says MP?	
6	Q	Correct.
7	А	So I see one, two, eight, four, four, two.
8		Yeah. I see numbers all over the board.
9	Q	And would you agree eight is the highest
LO	number?	
L1	А	That I can find, yes, as we speak today.
L 2	Q	Can you turn to A178, please?
L 3	А	A178. Okay.
L <b>4</b>	Q	You see Table 8A.5 sets out values for
L 5	reconstru	cted monthly mean benzene concentrations in
L 6	finished	water distributed to Holcomb Boulevard
L 7	family ho	using areas?
L 8	А	Yes.
L 9	Q	And it's set up like the previous two
20	tables th	at we looked at; correct?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And if you look at the January 1979 to
23	August 19	81 time period, would you agree that ATSDR
24	modeled 0	benzene during that time period?
25	A	Yeah. In the column for MP, I see 0s all

Page 82 of 374

1 over.

2

3

4

- Q Do you recall the levels of TCE that ATSDR modeled with respect to the time that Mr. Fiolek was on -- was at Camp -- was at Hadnot Point?
  - A No, I don't recall that.
- 6 Q Okay. If you could go back to A162,
  7 please. Are you there?
- 8 A Not yet.
- 9 Q No problem.
- 10 A You say 162, isn't it?
- 11 O Correct.
- 12 A I'm there now. Thank you.
- Q And as we talked about earlier, the modeled concentration of TCE was 26 micrograms per liter or less during that time period; is that correct?
- 17 A You're talking about TCE and we're going 18 for what time period?
- 19 Q The September 1964 to July 1965 time 20 period.
- A And you want me to look at the TCE?
- Q Do you recall discussing this earlier in the deposition?
- A I recall but I don't know exactly which
  one you asked me because I'm a little bit confused

without the numbers in front of me since it's not what I do all the time. I see patients.

But I'm happy to help you with this, so...

- Q Do you see that the highest value in that time period is 26 micrograms per liter?
  - A I do, yes.
- Q Would you agree that that was higher levels -- higher concentrations of TCE than
  Mr. Gleesing was exposed to?
- MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I haven't done any --
- 12 BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 13 Q I'll withdraw that question and move on.
- 14 All right. I'm going to show you Laber
- 15 Exhibit 9, sir. And then I promise we'll be done
- 16 | with those.
- 17 (Exhibit 9 was marked.)
- 18 BY MR. ORTIZ:
- 19 Q Do you recognize this document?
- A Same as before. I have looked at all the
  ATSDR reports. I cannot say that I truly recognize
- 22 one in the document.
- Q That's fine. I'll represent to you that
- 24 it's excerpts of a report prepared by ATSDR about
- 25 | its water modeling for Tarawa Terrace.

Page 84 1 Could you turn to page A87? And it's near 2 the back. 3 Α Okay. I'm there now. 4 And would you agree that this is Appendix A2, which sets out simulated 5 tetrachloroethylene and its degradation byproducts 6 in finished water, Tarawa Terrace water treatment plant? 8 9 Α Yes. And it includes the time period of 10 0 11 June 1967 to March 1968; is that correct? 12 Α You're talking about June 1967. Did you 13 say March? 14 0 Correct. 15 '69? Α '68. 16 0 March '68. 17 Α Okay. 18 0 And you see that there are two columns that have PCE. 19 2.0 Do you see that? 21 PCE, PCE. Yes. Α Do you have any understanding of why there 22 23 are two columns there? 24 They have a footnote that says 4 and 5. And I don't have the footnotes here. Maybe the 25

Page 85 1 footnote is at the end of all this. 2 Let me ask you this: Do you --Here, it says the footnote is -- four is 3 results from Chapter F report. And the footnote 5 4 is result from Chapter G report. 5 Okay. Let me ask you this: Do you know 6 Q what the MT3DMS model is? 7 Not on top of my -- my brain. 8 9 0 And do you know what the TechFlowMP model is? 10 11 Α Same answer. 12 0 Okay. 13 Α Not as we speak now. That's fine. 14 0 15 Would you agree that looking at both 16 columns, ATSDR modeled the mean monthly 17 concentrations for PCE from between approximately 50 to 60 micrograms per liter during the June 1967 to 18 19 March 1968 time period? 2.0 Α You're talking about the first -- the 21 column that says 4 or the 5? I'm talking about both columns. 22

So for both numbers. You want me to look

I'm just asking. Are those

23

24

25

Q

No.

for the highest number and the lowest number?

1	concentrations generally between about approximately
2	49 to 60 a little over 60 micrograms per liter?
3	A Approximately look fair. Yeah.
4	Q Okay. And turning to the column for TCE.
5	Do you see that?
6	A TCE, yes.
7	Q And would you agree that during the
8	June 1967 to March 1968 time period, ATSDR modeled
9	TCE as between about approximately 1 to 2 a
10	little under 2, a little over 2 micrograms per
11	liter.
12	Do you see that?
13	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
14	THE WITNESS: In the TCE column, yes,
15	somewhere between 1 or 2.
16	I'm going to ask you for a break but I'll
17	finish your answer because I don't want to
18	break your thinking, but I need to take a call.
19	BY MR. ORTIZ:
20	Q That's fine. I'll just ask one more
21	question. You don't see any columns for benzene on
22	this table; correct?
23	A No, I don't see anything for benzene.
24	MR. ORTIZ: Okay. We can take a break.

Thank you for that.

25

THE WITNESS:

	rage of
1	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record
2	at 10:57. And this ends media unit number one.
3	(A brief recess is had from 10:57 a.m. to
4	11:07 a.m.)
5	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at
6	11:07. And this begins media unit number two.
7	BY MR. ORTIZ:
8	Q All right. Dr. Laber, did you talk to
9	anybody about the substance of your deposition
10	during the break?
11	A No.
12	Q And when we left off, we were looking at
13	Laber Exhibit 8 and Appendix A2 concerning Tarawa
14	Terrace. You would agree that Mr. Fiolek lived at
15	Tarawa Terrace during the June 1967 to March 1968
16	time period; is that correct?
17	A Mr. Gleesing?
18	Q Mr. Fiolek.
19	A Mr. Fiolek. I have it in my report.
20	Q Could you turn to page 16 of your Fiolek
21	report, sir?
22	A Yes. I'm there now.
23	Q And you see the second row under what
24	would be what would be work the work column
25	that says Montford Point, then under the residence

Page 88 1 column, it says Tarawa Terrace, start date June 2nd, 1967? You see that? 2 3 Α Yes. And you see the next two rows, the 4 residence is also Tarawa Terrace and the end date of 5 the last row with the residence at Tarawa Terrace is 6 March 11th of 1968. 8 Do you see that? 9 Α Yes, I do. And as the chart states, Mr. Fiolek was 10 11 working at Montford Point during that time; correct? Yes. 12 Α 13 Would you agree that Montford Point was 14 not contaminated during that time? 15 I don't know. Again, same answer. 16 would rely on the ATSDR report. 17 0 Okay. I don't have an opinion on one or the 18 19 other. 2.0 0 Okay. Do you ever recall reviewing any 21 water modeling data from ATSDR concerning Montford 22 Point? 23 Α I reviewed the ATSDR report as they are. 24 Okay. Q So whatever is there, I reviewed it. 25 Α

Q Dr. Laber, I don't mean any offense by this at all, as I would answer this question the same way. But would you agree that you lack the education, training, or experience to determine whether or not the water modeling for Hadnot Point and Tarawa Terrace is accurate?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not an expert epidemiologist. But I can actually detect gross mistakes and things because I'm a scientist but I have a general understanding of what this means and what they're trying to do, but I haven't gone into every detail of the methodology of each one of those reports and all that. I'm really relying that the experts that were hired by the United States agency were qualified to do that.

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q And you're referring to?
- 20 A ATSDR.
- 21 Q You're referring to ATSDR. Thank you.
- 22 A Yes.
- Q You're not an engineer; correct?
- 24 A Correct. I'm not.
- 25 Q You're not an expert in groundwater

Page 90 1 hydrology; correct? 2 Α Correct. You're not a computer scientist; correct? 3 4 Α Correct. Did you review the reports of any of the 5 6 United States experts in phase one of this case which concerns ATSDR's water modeling? I think I might have reviewed some 8 9 reports. I don't recall everything. I reviewed 10 some of them, like, two years ago. 11 Did you review any of the reports of the 0 12 United States experts that were submitted in phase 13 one of expert discovery in this litigation? 14 When you use terminology like phase one, Α 15 phase two, I'm not really -- since I'm not a lawyer. 16 I apologize. I don't know exactly what you refer. 17 No. That's fine. If it is listed on my -- on the materials 18 Α 19 provided to me, then I did review them. 2.0 0 And if it's not listed there, then is it 21 fair to say you didn't review it? 22 Correct. Α 23 Do you know who Dr. Remy Hennet is? Q No, I do not. 24 Α 25 Q Okay. And you did review the report of

<u> </u>	7.7	7	
υr.	Mustaia	Ara⊥;	correct?

- A Is he listed on the list of things?

  Because I see 20 to 30 patients a day, and names, I don't want to give you the wrong one. Some Mustafa might be the wrong one, Mustafa, so I don't know.
- Q Is it fair to say that you assume that ATSDR's water modeling was accurate?
  - A I do believe it was.
  - Q Is that an assumption?
  - MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: No, I'm not assuming
- 12 anything. It's a belief.
- 13 BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

2.0

- 14 Q So what do you form that belief on?
- 15 A That I do believe they were performed 16 appropriately by qualified experts.
  - Q And why do you believe that?
- A Because I trust the United States agency
  on that.
  - Q Did you review --
- 21 A And what I look at that, when I review the 22 report, it looks accurate, but...
- Q How can you say that it looks accurate?
- A After reading it, it made sense to me the way it was done and reported.

1	Q Okay. And as we discussed, you're not an
2	engineer, expert in groundwater hydrology, or a
3	computer scientist; correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Have you ever built a water modeling a
6	water model that attempted to estimate contaminant
7	levels going back historically over decades?
8	A No.
9	Q Have you ever built any water modeling of
10	any kind?
11	A No.
12	Q Would you agree that using what ATSDR
13	modeled, Mr. Fiolek was exposed to trace amounts of
14	benzene, if any?
15	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
16	THE WITNESS: I don't have an opinion on
17	that.
18	BY MR. ORTIZ:
19	Q And as we saw on the chart, the highest
20	modeled level of benzene that Mr. Fiolek was exposed
21	to was 1 microgram per liter; correct?
22	A You showed me so many numbers, I don't
23	want to give you the wrong information. If you
2.4	really want me to go back to those numbers in those

tables, we can review. But if you're not mistaken

and you're telling me the truth, I have no reason to disagree with you.

And would you agree that using what ATSDR modeled, Mr. Gleesing was exposed to very low levels of benzene, if any; correct?

> MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I think same answer as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. ORTIZ:

before.

- Do you recall that the highest concentration that ATSDR modeled during the time Mr. Gleesing was there was no more than 9 micrograms per liter?
- I don't recall exactly because we No. went through so many columns and I don't want to give you the wrong answer.

But I do believe if you give me the correct number, I have no reason to disagree with you.

- 0 Is it your opinion there's no safe level of benzene exposure in terms of CLL risk?
  - No, I have not formed that opinion.
- So do you think that there is a threshold level of benzene exposure that must be reached in order to cause CLL?

	Page 94
1	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
2	THE WITNESS: No. I have not formed that
3	opinion.
4	BY MR. ORTIZ:
5	Q Do you have any opinion on whether or not
6	there's a threshold level of benzene required to
7	cause CLL?
8	A No, I do not have an opinion on that.
9	Q Is it your opinion that Mr. Fiolek was
10	exposed to a sufficient level of benzene at Camp
11	Lejeune to cause his CLL?
12	A I think that's a fair assumption, yes.
13	Q And what do you base that opinion on?
14	A I think we have a lot of the reports and
15	experts showing that the exposure to benzene is a
16	risk factor for CLL. And I do believe he was
17	exposed to that during those times. And that's what
18	I base my opinion.
19	Q And you're referring to some of the
20	epidemiological studies that are referenced in your
21	report; correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. And would you agree that
24	Mr. Gleesing was not exposed well, strike that.
2 E	To it wour opinion that Mr. Clooding was

Page 95 1 exposed to a sufficient level of benzene at Camp 2 Lejeune to cause his CLL? 3 Α Yes. And is that based on the same 4 epidemiological studies that we just referenced? 5 6 Α We didn't reference anything, but, yes, 7 whatever I put on my report. Dr. Laber, are you aware that we're all 8 9 exposed to some carcinogens every day? Yes, I am. 10 Α 11 Are you aware that we're exposed to 12 benzene in foods, for example? 13 Exposed to benzene where? Α 14 In foods, for example? 15 In some foods, I believe probably yes. 16 just haven't looked at exactly which foods or all 17 those details. 18 Are you aware that samples for raw bananas 19 have had as much as 132 parts per billion of benzene in them? 2.0 21 No, I was not aware of that. Α 22 Are you aware that avocados have had as 23 much as 30 parts per billion of benzene in them? 24 Thank you for that. I hate avocados. Α 25 Q Were you aware of that?

	i age 50
1	A No, I was not. Sorry about that.
2	Q And incidentally, you state in your
3	reports that you don't consider diet to be a risk
4	factor for CLL; is that correct?
5	A They haven't proven to be a risk factor.
6	Now, things might change in the future, but so far,
7	we have not.
8	Q Science is always changing. Is that fair?
9	A That's exactly right. Yes.
10	Q Are you concerned about possible levels of
11	benzenes in different foods?
12	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
13	THE WITNESS: No. I have never been
14	concerned about that. But now maybe I am.
15	BY MR. ORTIZ:
16	Q Are you would you agree that 132 parts
17	per billion or 30 parts per billion exceeds the
18	model levels of benzene during the times that
19	Mr. Fiolek and Mr. Gleesing were at Camp Lejeune?
20	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
21	THE WITNESS: I have no opinion on that.
22	BY MR. ORTIZ:
23	Q So you wouldn't agree that those numbers
24	exceed what was modeled by ATSDR?
25	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

	Page 97
1	THE WITNESS: I have no opinion so I
2	haven't looked at that question so I don't have
3	a good answer for you.
4	BY MR. ORTIZ:
5	Q Do you know whether there are background
6	exposures to TCE in everyday life?
7	A Now, depending on what you mean with
8	everyday life. But I do believe there is background
9	exposure. I don't know exactly where because I'm
L O	not an expert on that.
L1	Q Have you ever studied what those
L2	background exposure levels to TCE might be?
L3	A No.
L <b>4</b>	Q Same question about PCE. Have you ever
L 5	studied what the background exposure levels to PCE
L 6	might be?
L 7	A No.
L 8	Q And would you agree that you don't
L9	consider, in your reports, how background exposures
20	to TCE, PCE, or benzene for Mr. Fiolek or
21	Mr. Gleesing might compare to their alleged
22	exposures to those chemicals at Camp Lejeune?
23	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
24	THE WITNESS: I don't think I looked at
25	that.

Page 98 of 374

Page 98 1 BY MR. ORTIZ: 2 Are background exposures to those chemicals something that you might want to consider 3 in your differential etiology analyses? 4 Objection, form. 5 MS. GREENWALD: THE WITNESS: I think it makes sense to 6 7 look at all the possibilities. But I don't think we have an estimate of the background 8 9 exposure in these people. BY MR. ORTIZ: 10 11 And to be clear, you haven't considered or 0 analyzed Mr. Fiolek's or Mr. Gleesing's possible 12 13 exposures to those chemicals from any source other 14 than water at Camp Lejeune; is that correct? MS. GREENWALD: Object to the form. 15 THE WITNESS: No, that's not correct. 16 17 BY MR. ORTIZ: 18 0 Why not? 19 Because I have considered that. Α 2.0 0 Where did you consider it? 21 When I was thinking about the case and Α 22 writing my report. 23 Where specifically? In my brain. 24 Where? Α 25 Q In your report.

L	A No. When I was writing the report and
2	thinking about the report.
3	Q Can you point me to where in your report
1	you considered that?

A I just told you what I was thinking. I can point you when I was thinking about that.

- Q Did you write it down in your report?
- A I don't think I had.
- Q Why not?
- A Because I didn't find anything, any information to write.
- Q Are there any documents that would show that that was something you considered?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: No, not that I can think of.

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q Did you compare Mr. Fiolek's or Mr. Gleesing's alleged exposures at Camp Lejeune to any data in any epidemiological studies?
- A I relied on the experts on exposures for that part of my report. So if that shows comparisons, then that will be there, but I personally did not because I was relying on experts for that.
  - Q Is it your opinion that Mr. Fiolek and

Page 100 1 Mr. -- well, let me just break it up by plaintiff. 2 Is it your opinion that Mr. Fiolek had enough exposure to TCE, PCE, and benzene at Camp 3 Lejeune to cause his CLL? 4 You went through some of them might not 5 6 having enough exposure, because there were no exposures, some of the levels were not there. But I do believe, yes, if we look at all 8 9 the exposures he had, I do believe he had enough exposure to cause the CLL. 10 11 And what is that based on? 0 12 Α My own --13 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, asked and 14 answered. 15 Go on. 16 THE WITNESS: As I said before, all the 17 knowledge that I have, all the reports that I wrote, all the information we gathered. 18 19 BY MR. ORTIZ: 2.0 Do you have the same opinion as to 0 21 Mr. Gleesing? 22 Objection, form. MS. GREENWALD: 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. Sounds a reasonable 24 opinion about this. Yes. BY MR. ORTIZ: 25

Page 101 1 Q And on the same basis? 2 Α Yes. If I doubled their exposure, would you be 3 able to tell me if and to what extent that would 4 affect your opinions? 5 6 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 7 THE WITNESS: I think that's speculation. 8 I don't have enough data to tell you one way or 9 the other. BY MR. ORTIZ: 10 11 What if I tripled it? 0 12 MS. GREENWALD: Same objection. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't understand your 14 question. 15 BY MR. ORTIZ: 16 What if I cut it in half? 17 Again, I don't understand your question. I don't know what trying to say, but it seems like 18 19 all speculative things. 2.0 0 Is it fair to say that if I increased or 21 decreased their exposure, you would not be able to tell me whether and to what degree that would affect 22 23 your causation opinion as to their CLL? 24 Objection, form. MS. GREENWALD: THE WITNESS: I haven't looked at those 25

questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

- Q Dr. Laber, what's a risk factor?
- A I think I wrote it in my report. But I'll give you the informal explanation, which will not be a perfect or a complete one.

But usually a risk factor is something that would predispose you to get something.

- Q Are some risk factors for CLL known?
- A Well, it depends on what you mean with "known." Okay. I think what we have found, the evidence in some studies is that you have a family member that has CLL and there's an increased risk so that's considered a risk factor. But I do believe a lot of the other risk factors are probably unknown or not well studied because it's very difficult to study risk factors. You're not going to do an experiment in which you're going to put all the humans under the risk factor and see what happens. That would be unethical.
- Q Can you tell me what you consider risk factors for CLL to be?
- A I think I listed all that there. I do believe family history is there.

We also find that CLL is most common as we

age but we don't know if that's really a true risk factor or just a population that we find it because it's difficult to change that, how you change somebody from aging.

And the same as being a man versus a woman. We see it a little more commonly in men, but...

And we also see it in some ethnicities.

But the studies with ethnicities are very difficult now because most of the populations are mixed so the genetic background, somebody because he was born in one country might be this -- might not differ that much, but we see more European descent versus, like, more Asian or African descent. We still don't know how to interpret those.

And I do believe the exposure to these chemicals increase the risk of cancer and it does increase the risk of leukemias and lymphomas, so those -- those are risk factors.

Others, general risk factors, for example, people argue about obesity. You know, in general, we do believe obesity is a risk factor for cancer, in general, but we haven't done studies on more specific, for leukemias and lymphomas, even though we believe it probably is some component of that,

2.0

1	but	can'	t	tell	for	sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- So I think I gave you a good answer. It's not really a complete answer, so don't expect me to list you everything, but...
- Q Do you consider Agent Orange exposure to be a risk factor for CLL?
- A I do believe it's a risk factor for leukemias and lymphomas.
- Q And we talked about this earlier in the deposition. But you describe your differential etiology methodology on page three to four; correct?
  - A Yes.
- Q Of your reports?
- Incidentally, are you familiar with the term "differential diagnosis"?
- 16 A Yes.
- 0 What is that term?
  - A Usually it's a process that we use in clinical practice in which we look at the differential diagnosis which means what are the diagnoses that the patient -- they can explain the signs and symptoms, all of the problems that the patient's manifesting.
    - Q And do you consider that to be separate -- a separate kind of methodology from differential

		_		_
et	1 (	$^{\circ}$	$\alpha$	7.5
		-	, –,	, .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: Even though some people make a mistake or don't put in those terminologies, even in my analysis, I say that some people might actually use these terms interchangeable.

I do believe they are two separate things.

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

- Q And on page four of your reports you state that the final step in your differential etiology analysis is determining whether a possible risk factor is a contributing factor in the development of an individual's cancer; is that correct?
  - A Let me see. On page four?
- Q That's correct. You see the second full paragraph there? And the first sentence -- I'll just read it. The first sentence says "Finally, for each risk factor that could apply, I draw upon available scientific knowledge and a continuing evaluation of the literature and evidence to determine whether the specific risk factor was more likely than not a contributing factor in the development of the individual's cancer."

Did I read that correctly?

A Yes, you did.

Page 106 of 374

1	Q What does contributing factor mean?
2	A That contributes to the process of cancer.
3	Q Would you agree that cancers caused by the
4	interaction of multiple factors including genetic
5	and environmental factors?
6	A Again, as a scientist, things might
7	change, but that's a current understanding, yes.
8	Q And when you performed your differential
9	etiology analysis, would you agree that you can't
10	calculate a precise percentage that an individual
11	risk factor may have contributed to someone's
12	cancer; is that correct?
13	A With the current evidence and the current
14	literature and the current research, that is
15	correct. We don't have a way to calculating exactly
16	the influence of each one of them.
17	Q You don't weigh quantitatively individual
18	risk factors against each other; is that correct?
19	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
20	THE WITNESS: If we have that information,
21	then we could do that. For this particular
22	situation, we don't have that information.
23	BY MR. ORTIZ:
24	Q Do you attempt to weigh individual risk
25	factors against each other at all?

1	A In some cases, yes.						
2	Q What would those cases be?						
3	A For example, when I see patients, they						
4	have a common one that we use is when we see						
5	people with abnormal blood clots, and that we know						
6	that certain disease double your risk of developing						
7	a blood clot and certain disease will actually						
8	exponentially give you. So there's some diseases						
9	that, compared to the normal population, they give						
10	you 16 times more chance of developing a blood clot.						
11	So if you had to compare something that						
12	give you 16 times more risk of having a blood clot						
13	versus something that gives you only twice, I would						
14	be more concerned about the one that gives you 16						
15	times more.						
16	But we have studies that have actually						
17	look at that question and was able to quantify that.						
18	This particular situation, we don't have						
19	studies that look at that and have quantified that.						
20	Q Okay. On page 16 of your Fiolek report,						
21	you refer to the concept of a significant I'm						
22	looking at the Gleesing report.						
23	MS. GREENWALD: The Gleesing or Fiolek?						
24	MR. ORTIZ: The Fiolek report.						

Okay.

25

MS. GREENWALD:

### BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

25

Near the bottom, you say "My opinion is that, after considering other potential causes of CLL besides Mr. Fiolek's exposure to the contaminated water at Camp Lejeune, none are significant contributors."

Do you see that?

- So you're talking about the section that says Analysis and Opinion?
  - Correct. 0
    - And you say which paragraph of that? Α
- Near the bottom, it says --0
  - Α The one that says "After conducting the differential etiology analysis"? That one?
  - After that sentence that says, "My opinion is that after considering other potential causes of CLL besides Mr. Fiolek's exposure to the contaminated water at Camp Lejeune, none are significant contributors."

Do you see that?

- Yes, I do. Α
- What does "significant" mean?
- 23 Significant is with the knowledge that we have today, then makes a significant change. 24
  - Q Is it a quantitative determination?

- Α No, as significant. No, it's more a qualitative.
  - And what does that rely upon? 0
  - Rely upon the evidence that we have. Α
  - Is it subjective? 0

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I think significant could be subjective. But the evidence will be objective. So I can give you objective evidence. But for you might be significant, for me, might not. So there is a level of subjectivity.

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Okay. And Section 9 of your Fiolek report, on pages 16 to 17 contains your analysis and opinions for your differential etiology analysis concerning Mr. Fiolek; is that correct?
- Analysis and opinion includes my analysis and opinion.
- 0 All right. And your opinion after conducting your differential etiology is that it's more likely than not that Mr. Fiolek's CLL was caused by his exposure to TCE, PCE, and benzene at Camp Lejeune; is that correct?

Α Yes.

Q	And as	s you	just s	said,	you	ır a	analysi	İs		
supporting	g that	opinio	on is	set	out	on	pages	16	to	17
of your re	eport?									

Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And you ruled out several possible alternative causes for Mr. Fiolek's CLL; isn't that right?

Α Yes.

0 And one of those was Agent Orange exposure for Mr. Fiolek; is that correct?

Α I discuss that. I considered that Yes. and I discuss that.

And you stated earlier that you consider 0 Agent Orange exposure to be a potential risk factor for CLL; correct?

Α Yes.

Are you aware that the institute of medicine has concluded that there's sufficient evidence of an association between Agent Orange among other pesticides in CLL?

If I'm aware that that agency said that, Α as a general knowledge, maybe yes. I don't think I have looked at what they say and what they didn't say.

Q Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been

Page 111 1 marked as Laber Exhibit 10. (Exhibit 10 was marked.) 2 BY MR. ORTIZ: 3 Have you ever seen this document before, 4 0 sir? 5 I don't remember seeing this document. 6 7 I'll represent to you it's a copy of excerpts from a document entitled Veterans and Agent 8 9 Orange, Update 2008, from the Institute of Medicine. Could you turn to page -- it's numbered 412 of this 10 11 document. Just let me know when you're there. 12 Α I don't know where the page numbers are. 13 0 They're at the top left. 14 Α Oh, exactly left. 15 Top left is nothing. MS. GREENWALD: Yeah. It's towards the --16 it's like -- this is how far it is. Yeah. 17 me -- I know --18 19 THE WITNESS: Oh, it's 412 of that? 2.0 MS. GREENWALD: -- I can find it for you. 21 THE WITNESS: That's okay. I'll get it. 22 MS. GREENWALD: Yeah. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. I found it. 24 BY MR. ORTIZ: 25 Q And the highlighted text, which I

1 | highlighted for convenience, it says "Conclusion:

- 2 On the basis of the evidence reviewed here and in
- 3 previous VAO reports, the committee concludes
- 4 | there's sufficient evidence in association between
- 5 exposure to the chemicals of interest and CLL,
- 6 including HCL and all other chronic B-cell
- 7 hematoproliferative diseases."
  - Did I read that correctly?
- 9 A Yes, you did.
- 10 Q Okay. And, again, you stated that you
- 11 | consider Agent Orange to be a possible risk factor
- 12 for CLL?

- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O And as to Mr. Fiolek, you rule out Agent
- 15 Orange exposure as a risk factor in part because you
- 16 | say that the literature on Agent Orange and NHL has
- 17 | not demonstrated a consistent association or a
- 18 causal relationship between exposure to the chemical
- 19 | and lymphoid cancers; is that correct?
- MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Is that what I wrote?
- 22 BY MR. ORTIZ:
- 23 Q Can you look at the top of page 17 of your
- 24 report?
- 25 | A Page 17. Okay.

Q And it reads, the first sentence -- or sorry, the last sentence at the top says "Moreover, the literature on Agent Orange and NHL has not demonstrated a consistent association or a causal relationship between exposure to the chemical and lymphoid cancers"; is that correct?

A Yes, that is correct.

Q And if you turn to page 10 of your report, please.

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q The last sentence reads "Agent Orange exposure during the Vietnam War has also been linked to NHL, however, the results are inconsistent across different studies. There is no clear pattern of increased risk with increased exposure. And the current data are not enough to definitively say that the exposure causes NHL."

Did I read that correctly?

A Yes, you did.

Q So is it fair to say that you rule out
Agent Orange exposure as a risk factor for
Mr. Fiolek in part because your assessment that the
literature on Agent Orange and NHL is inconsistent?
MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: In part, yes.

1	BY MR. ORTIZ:
2	Q Okay. Can you tell me whether the
3	literature concerning Agent Orange excuse me,
4	concerning strike that. Let me start over.
5	Can you tell me whether the literature
6	concerning Agent Orange and CLL is more or less
7	consistent than the literature concerning TCE and
8	CLL?
9	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
10	THE WITNESS: I haven't done a comparison.
11	BY MR. ORTIZ:
12	Q Can you tell me whether the literature
13	concerning Agent Orange and CLL is more or less
14	consistent than the literature concerning PCE and
15	CLL?
16	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
17	THE WITNESS: I haven't I haven't done
18	that comparison.
19	BY MR. ORTIZ:
20	Q Can you tell me whether the literature

concerning Agent Orange and CLL is more or less consistent than the literature concerning benzene and CLL?

> Objection, form. MS. GREENWALD:

THE WITNESS: I haven't done that

21

22

23

24

Page 115 1 comparison. 2 BY MR. ORTIZ: 3 And if you haven't done that comparison, how do you know that the literature is less 4 consistent than the literature concerning those 5 chemicals in CLL? 6 7 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 8 THE WITNESS: When I review these, 9 whatever I wrote in my report was what I believe is my opinion. 10 11 BY MR. ORTIZ: 12 0 And if the literature concerning TCE and 13 CLL is less consistent than the literature 14 concerning Agent Orange and CLL, would you agree 15 that would be a reason to rule out TCE exposure as a 16 possible cause of Mr. Fiolek's CLL? 17 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 18 THE WITNESS: No. 19 BY MR. ORTIZ: 2.0 0 Why not? 21 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 22 THE WITNESS: Because we're not trying to 23 compare one versus the other. We're actually including and considering them. 24

25

Like I said, and I did, I considered all

1 these exposures.

### BY MR. ORTIZ:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

But the basis that you excluded Agent 0 Orange exposure as a risk factor for Mr. Fiolek was, in part, that the literature was inconsistent. if that was the basis, how do you know that that basis would not similarly apply to TCE and CLL?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I don't think I -- I completely excluded the possibilities. actually said that Agent Orange can cause that also. But the literature is inconsistent because there's some studies that might show one thing and other studies that show different things.

#### BY MR. ORTIZ:

And my previous question, the same would be true as to PCE or benzene and CLL; correct?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I couldn't understand your question. I'm sorry, the last one.

### BY MR. ORTIZ:

So one of the bases that you excluded Agent Orange exposure as a possible cause in Mr. Fiolek's case was that the literature is

inconsistent concerning whether there's an association or causal relationship between those chemicals. And my question is: If you haven't done a comparison of the literature concerning PCE or benzene and CLL, how do you know that's not a basis to rule out those exposures as well?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

Go on.

THE WITNESS: I think you're misconstruing what I said. I said "Even though he was in Vietnam during the time when Agent Orange was used, he was not involved in field operations where he could have been directly exposed to it."

### BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

O And --

A So I clearly stated -- I'm not saying that Agent Orange cannot cause CLL. Your line of questioning is that, and that's not true. I just said that he was not involved in field operations where he could have been directly exposed it to.

Q Could you turn back to page 10 of your report, please?

A Yes.

Q And the sentence that I read previously,

Page 118 the last clause in that sentence is "The current 1 data are not enough to definitively say that the 2 exposure causes NHL." 3 Did I read that correctly? 4 5 Α Yes. And that's referring to Agent Orange 6 7 exposure? 8 Α Yes. 9 And NHL -- CLL is categorized as a subtype of NHL; isn't that correct? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 And so what you're saying there -- would you agree with me that what you're saying is that 13 14 the current data are not enough to definitively say 15 that the exposure causes NHL, NHL would include CLL; 16 is that correct? 17 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, that mischaracterizes his statement. 18 19 THE WITNESS: No, that's not correct. 2.0 BY MR. ORTIZ: 21 Why is it not correct? 0 22 Because that's not what I said. Α 23 Is it your testimony that NHL does not 24 include CLL? 25 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

1 THE WITNESS: No.

# BY MR. ORTIZ:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q And you also cite Mr. Fiolek's testimony in his deposition that he was not involved in field operations in Vietnam where he would have been directly exposed to Agent Orange; is that correct?
- A I think so, yes.
- Q Is it possible that Mr. Fiolek might not recall whether he was exposed to Agent Orange in Vietnam?
- MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- 12 BY MR. ORTIZ:
- 13 Q You can go ahead and answer.
- 14 A I don't know why we see -- why would you think that.
- 16 Q He was in Vietnam in 1969 to 1970; is that
  17 correct?
  - A Yeah. We have it on the report, exact dates.
  - Q Are you aware that Mr. Fiolek applied for and received disability benefits from the veterans' administration for his CLL based on presumed exposure to Agent Orange during his service in Vietnam?
    - A Yes. I'm aware of that.

- 1 0 Going back to page 17 of your Fiolek 2 Let me know when you're there, sir. report.
  - Seventeen? Α
  - 0 Yes.

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Thank you. 5 I'm there now.
- You rule out smoking and alcohol use as risk factors for his CLL; is that correct? 7
  - I actually documented that. And I said that he smoked during his younger years, however, he ceased smoking several decades prior to his diagnosis.
  - And do you agree that Mr. Fiolek had a significant smoking history?
  - T --Α Yeah.
- Objection, form. 15 MS. GREENWALD:
- 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know what
- 17 significant means for you but I actually
- documented that, so I am aware of that. 18
- BY MR. ORTIZ: 19
- 2.0 And you reviewed his deposition; correct? 0
- 21 Α Yes.
- And do you recall his testimony there that 22 23 he smoked approximately one to 1.5 packs a day from
- about 1956 to the early 1970s? 24
- No, I don't recall the details. 25 Α

1	
	Q You don't consider smoking to be a risk
2	factor for CLL; correct?
3	A I think I documented that. And it's so
4	far on the risk factors for CLL. And I don't think
5	we have find a strong association between smoking
6	and CLL.
7	Q And it's your opinion that benzene
8	exposure at Camp Lejeune is causally related to CLL;
9	correct?
L O	A I do believe it can because exposure at
L1	Camp Lejeune, yes, and related to CLL.
L 2	Q Specifically including benzene; correct?
L 3	A Well, it's impossible to know one versus
L 4	the other because he was exposed to all of them.
L 5	Q Are you aware that tobacco smoke contains
L 6	benzene?
L 7	A No. Honestly, I was not aware of that.
L 8	Q Do you know how much benzene might be in a
L 9	cigarette?
20	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
21	THE WITNESS: No.
22	BY MR. ORTIZ:
23	Q Do you know how much benzene a typical
24	smoker would inhale from cigarettes on a daily
L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	benzene?  A No. Honestly, I was not aware o  Q Do you know how much benzene might cigarette?  MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

basis?

Page 122 1 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 2 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. ORTIZ: 3 I'm going to hand you what's marked as 4 0 Laber Exhibit 11. 5 (Exhibit 11 was marked.) 6 BY MR. ORTIZ: 8 Have you ever seen this document before, 9 sir? I don't remember seeing this document. 10 11 And I'll represent to you that it's a copy 0 12 of a study by Lance Wallace, et al. entitled Exposures to Benzene and Other Volatile Compounds 13 14 from Active and Passive Smoking, 1997, I believe. 15 And in the abstract, it states --16 MS. GREENWALD: Objection. It's '87, by the way. 17 18 MR. ORTIZ: Thank you. 19 BY MR. ORTIZ: 2.0 0 And looking at the abstract, it says 21 "Based on direct measurements of benzene and mainstream cigarette smoke, it is calculated that a 22 23 typical smoker inhales 2mg benzene daily compared to 0.2mg per day for the non-smoker. Thus, cigarette 24 25 smoking may be the most important source of exposure

to benzene for about 50 million citizens of the United States."

Did I read that correctly?

A Yes, you did.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q You -- 2mg stands for 2 milligrams; is that correct?

A I don't know. I haven't reviewed these studies, but that would be my assumption. In general, that's true.

Q And a milligram -- in general, a milligram is equivalent to a thousand micrograms?

A Yes.

Q So 2mg or 2 milligrams would be equivalent to 2,000 micrograms; correct?

A Yes.

Q And are you aware of whether that's more or less than what Dr. Reynolds calculated for Mr. Fiolek's cumulative exposure to benzene from water at Camp Lejeune?

A I haven't looked at the details on the numbers.

Q Would you agree that Mr. Fiolek likely would have been exposed to benzene from cigarettes at much higher levels than water -- than from water at Camp Lejeune?

Page 124 1 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: No, I cannot agree, not 2 3 necessarily to that. BY MR. ORTIZ: 4 You don't have an opinion one way or the 5 0 other? 6 Correct. Α It's not something you considered or 8 9 analyzed; is that correct? I considered everything I could consider 10 11 at that time. But you're showing me a study that I have not reviewed. I don't know if it's valid. 12 13 don't know who wrote it, where they wrote it, who 14 reviewed it. So I'm not going to make any comments 15 based on the study you just showed me. 16 And as we said before, you don't consider 17 smoking to be a risk factor for CLL; correct? 18 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

BY MR. ORTIZ:

Is the answer to my question yes or no?

THE WITNESS: I think I already said

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

everything I have to say on that.

THE WITNESS: I already answered that. already talked about smoking and that.

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q And you agree that given that there's benzene in cigarette smoke, do you think it's -- strike that. I'll move on.

You rule out family history next; correct?

A I don't know when you say "rule out." What do you mean?

Q In your differential etiology analysis, you rule out family history as a possible alternative cause of Mr. Fiolek's CLL; is that correct?

A I don't think I ruled out or ruled in. He didn't have any family history.

Q Okay. Can you look at page 17 of your report?

A Yeah.

Q And it reads, the final sentence of the first full paragraph, "While Mr. Fiolek does have some family history of cancer, including a sister with ALL, a condition that has not been demonstrated to be a risk factor for CLL, I am not aware of any first-degree relatives who have been diagnosed with CLL."

Did I read that correctly?

A Yes.

1	Q And Mr. Fiolek's sister passed away from
2	acute lymphocytic leukemia or ALL; correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you know if she was an adult when she
5	had that ALL?
6	A I don't remember the details on that.
7	Q Would you agree it's very unusual, in
8	general, for adults to have ALL?
9	A Yeah, it's a rare disease.
10	Q It's usually ALL is the form of
11	childhood leukemia; correct?
12	A But it's also rare. It's a rare disease.
13	Q All these types of leukemia are fairly
14	rare diseases; correct?
15	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
16	THE WITNESS: Depending what you consider
17	one way or the other, but those are general
18	statements. If you tell me what your point is,
19	I might be able to give you a better answer.
20	BY MR. ORTIZ:
21	Q And you reviewed Mr. Fiolek's medical
22	records; correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do you recall seeing any references to a
25	brother with a form of leukemia?

A	Did I document that in my report, that	
there's a	brother with some form of leukemia? If	Ι
did, then	I saw it. If I didn't, that means I	
didn't fir	nd it.	

And would you agree that Mr. Fiolek's 0 mother passed away from bladder cancer?

You want me to go back to the record? Α Ιf I documented that, then I did.

Dr. Laber, if you want to take a second to review your report, that's fine. If you'd like to -- if it's going to be more than a minute, I'm happy to go off the record and let you do that.

Either/or. But if you ask me about a Α particular -- I see so many patients and I know the family history of each one. So usually when I get a question -- a particular question about a patient, I make sure I have the medical record in front of me because I don't want to confuse one with the other. That would be devastating for my patients.

So I think I documented in my record everything I knew about the medical history and the family history. So if you want me to review that again, I'm more than happy to. You tell me.

If you turn to page 14 of your report.

Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

	Page 128
1	Q Are you there?
2	A I'm there now.
3	Q And it states that Mr. Fiolek's mother,
4	Genevieve Potter, died from bladder cancer.
5	Do you see that?
6	A Yes.
7	Q So do you recall that now?
8	A Yes, I do.
9	Q So that's at least two, maybe three close
L O	relatives with cancer; is that correct?
L1	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
L 2	THE WITNESS: I think whatever I listed
L 3	there would be the appropriate number.
L 4	BY MR. ORTIZ:
L 5	Q That's at least two?
L 6	A Yeah. There is the sister, Joanie, with
L 7	ALL and the mother with bladder cancer.
L 8	Q Do you agree that if there's a family
L 9	history of a hematological malignancy, then there's
20	an increased risk of other blood cancers, including
21	CLL?
22	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
23	THE WITNESS: I think no, as a general
24	statement, not really, because you're putting
25	too many things there.

1	If there is a family history of CLL, yes.
2	For the other blood cancers, it's not clear.
3	BY MR. ORTIZ:
4	Q So is it your opinion that it would only
5	be a family history of CLL that would increase a
6	person's risk for CLL?
7	A So far that's what we know from the
8	literature. But that can change.
9	Q So the fact that Mr. Fiolek's sister had
L 0	ALL does not increase his risk of CLL. Is that your
L1	opinion?
L2	A So far, that's the evidence that we have
L3	in the literature.
L 4	Q And so if another plaintiff's expert
L 5	stated that having one blood cancer increases the
L6	risk of another blood cancer, including CLL, you
L7	would disagree?
L 8	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
L9	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I would have
20	to look at what he's basing that on and what
21	evidence and the details. I don't give you a
22	blank statement like that without reviewing it.
23	BY MR. ORTIZ:
24	Q And you consider obesity next in your
25	Fiolek report; is that correct?

A	Next	to	what?	But	I	did	consider	that
---	------	----	-------	-----	---	-----	----------	------

- You considered that. Turn back to page 17 of your Fiolek report. You see the second full paragraph, you consider obesity?
  - Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- And you were not able to exclude obesity as a risk factor for Mr. Fiolek's CLL; is that correct?
- Α It says Mr. Fiolek, medical records indicate he was obese.
- And you were not able to exclude that as a 0 risk factor for his CLL; is that correct?
- What do you mean, exclude it? I said Α obesity is known to be heightened the risk of various diseases, including cancers. Mr. Fiolek's case, his obesity likely contributed to an elevated risk for developing cancer.
- 0 Do you agree that in those sentences you just read, you are not able to exclude it as a risk factor for his CLL?
- I couldn't follow. You have a negative Α sentence there.
- Do you understand what it means to say that you're excluding a possible alternative cause or risk factor for CLL?

1 Α I do understand what that means. 2 And do you understand that you were not 0 able to do that with respect to obesity? 3 I don't think I had to. I don't -- I'm 4 5 not --That's not my question. 6 Q 7 Well, but that's my answer. So my answer Α is I don't think I had to exclude everything. 8 9 to acknowledge. And in this particular case, he was obese. We don't know how much that will increase 10 11 his risk of having CLL or not. 12 So is the answer to my question "yes"? 13 I -- I already gave you the answer. 14 don't think I could give you a blank yes to the 15 statements that you have because you put some 16 statements that might not be the way I say things. 17 Did you assume that obesity and exposure 18 to TCE, PCE, and benzene at Camp Lejeune were each 19 as likely as not a cause of Mr. Fiolek's CLL? 2.0 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 21 MR. ORTIZ: Are you getting a call, sir? 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sorry about that. 23 MR. ORTIZ: Do you want to go off the

If you can.

24

25

record?

THE WITNESS:

Page 132 1 MR. ORTIZ: That's fine. 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record 3 at 11:55. 4 (A brief recess is had from 11:55 a.m. to 5 12:06 p.m.) 6 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 7 12:06 8 9 BY MR. ORTIZ: All right. Dr. Laber, we were discussing 10 11 Mr. Fiolek's obesity before the break. And I wanted 12 to follow up on something. Did you assume that both 13 obesity and exposure to TCE, PCE, and benzene at 14 Camp Lejeune were each as likely as not a cause of 15 Mr. Fiolek's CLL? 16 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 17 THE WITNESS: I considered that 18 possibility. BY MR. ORTIZ: 19 2.0 Do you have an opinion as to which one is 0 21 the more likely cause? Yes. I believe the chemical exposures 22 23 were more likely. And what is that based on? 24 25 Α I think the evidence was stronger. Ι

don't think the other risk factors were sufficient to cause his cancer. So that's the reason.

- What evidence are you referring to?
- Evidence from all the literature that Α we've been discussing for the last few hours and everything that I reported on my -- wrote in my report and I quoted and so forth.
- Mr. Fiolek was 74 years old when he was diagnosed with CLL; is that correct?
  - Α Yes.
- 11 He's of Caucasian descent; is that 0 12 correct?
- 13 Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- 14 He's male; is that correct? 0
- 15 Α Yes.
- 16 You did not consider or rule out a idiopathy as a potential alternative cause; is that 17 18 correct?
- 19 Idiopathy? А
- 2.0 0 Yes.
- 21 I don't think we used that word. You're talking about idiopathic? 22
- 23 0 Yes.
- 24 Idiopathic means there is none, no cause. Α
- Correct. You did not consider or rule out 25 Q

1	idiopathic	causation;	is	that	correct?
_			_ ~	0110.0	O O = = C O C .

- How are you going to rule out that there Α is no cause?
  - So you did not consider or rule it out? Q
  - No. I think it's just -- just nonsense. Α
    - Can you --Q

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- I did consider all the options. Α
- 0 Are you finished with your answer?
- Α Now, I am. Yes. Thank you.
- Can you quantify the degree to which Mr. Fiolek's age, race, sex, obesity, or his alleged exposures to chemicals at Camp Lejeune may have contributed in causing his CLL?
- I don't think I can quantify with him Α accurate measurements.
  - And you're aware that Mr. Fiolek was diagnosed with bladder cancer more recently; correct?
    - Δ Yes.
  - And specifically, he was diagnosed with stage one bladder cancer and upper tract urothelial cancer, or UTUC in spring of 2024; is that correct?
- 23 If that's what I wrote there, yes. Everything I was aware, I put it in my report. 24
  - Q Is it your opinion that Mr. Fiolek's CLL

Page 135 1 or treatment for CLL caused his bladder cancer and 2 UTUC? 3 Α It's one of the main causes, yes. Did you write that in your report? 4 0 I think I did. Whatever I --5 Α Can you point me to it? 6 Q 7 My opinions are, I wrote it there. Α 8 You're talking about this is Fiolek, isn't 9 it? 10 Correct. 0 11 Α The report? 12 So if you look at page 13 at the bottom of that, it says "2024, persistent and progressive 13 14 invasive blood carcinoma despite local resections, 15 intravesical BCG and intravesical chemotherapy. 16 Correct. But do you -- anywhere in your 17 report, do you actually opine that his CLL or CLL treatment, in fact, caused his bladder cancer and 18 19 UTUC? 2.0 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 21 I think on page 17, so THE WITNESS: 22 there's the end of the previous page, 23 paragraph, and then you start paragraph one, 24 two, three. 25 It says "Mr. Fiolek developed high-risk

invasive bladder cancer following his CLL diagnosis and treatment, and his treatment for the cancer is ongoing. It is well known that prior chemotherapy and CLL both predispose patients to secondary malignancies.

"In addition, it is very likely that the intravesical BCG and the intravesical chemotherapy gemcitabine were not effective for Mr. Fiolek due to the presence of immune dysregulation of his CLL and the increased resistance to therapy in secondary malignancies as compared to primary one.

"Failure to achieve a complete response after induction of BCG is associated with a significant risk of the worsening event and death for patients with carcinoma in situ or a Ta or a Tl bladder cancer at the increased risk of recurrence."

That's my opinion.

#### BY MR. ORTIZ:

Q And do you, anywhere in that paragraph that you just read, actually state that Mr. Fiolek's CLL or CLL treatment, in fact, caused his bladder cancer and UTUC?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: My opinion is what I wrote,
not what you're mentioning.

BY MR. ORTIZ:

Q Okay. Did you perform a differential

etiology analysis as to Mr. Fiolek's bladder cancer and UTUC?

A In this particular case, I perform it in my brain. I did not have to write about that because the request was to write about CLL. So this was not a report about bladder cancer. It was a report about CLL.

Q And you did not consider or rule out smoking as a risk factor for Mr. Fiolek's bladder cancer and UTUC; is that correct?

A No. That's incorrect. I did consider that.

- Q You considered it in your brain?
- A That's one way of putting that.
- Q But you didn't -- you did not, in your report, consider or rule out smoking as a risk factor for Mr. Fiolek's bladder cancer and UTUC; is that correct?

A As I said that, I did consider it. I did not write that in the report but I did consider that.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

	Q	An	d you	did	not,	in	УС	our	rep	port	,	consi	der
or	rule	out	family	/ hi	story	as	а	ris	k i	fact	or	for	
Mr.	Fiol	lek's	blado	der (	cancer	ar	nd	UTU	C;	is	th	.at	
cor	rect	?											

This report was not focusing on bladder Α cancer so I did not spend too much time on too much detail or every detail about the bladder cancer.

So the answer to my question is "yes"?

Α Your question is not a correct one. I don't agree with that because you put other things in the wording of your question so I cannot give you a blank yes agreement to your question.

0 Did you write anything about family history as a risk factor for Mr. Fiolek's bladder cancer and UTUC in your report?

I don't think I have. But, actually, I did. Didn't we say that the mother died of bladder cancer, so...

But you did not analyze the fact that Mr. Fiolek's mother died of bladder cancer as a potential risk factor for his bladder cancer and UTUC; isn't that correct?

No. That's incorrect. I did analyze that.

> Q Where is that your report?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A It's not in my report.
  - And you did not consider or rule out obesity as a risk factors for Mr. Fiolek's bladder cancer and UTUC; isn't that correct?
  - No, that's not correct. I did not write Α it but I did consider it.
  - All of those things you only considered in your brain; is that correct?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: No. It could be in other places but that's one way of saying that.

BY MR. ORTIZ: 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- 0 None of them you wrote in your report?
- 14 Α What I wrote in my report is in front of 15 you.
  - And none of those things are there; 0 correct?
  - Α Some of the things are there, actually. You have the mother with bladder cancer. You have the obesity. I don't know why you say it's not there.
  - So let me try to clarify. You state that his mother died of bladder cancer, but nowhere in your report do you actually analyze whether that affect -- whether that fact affects his risk of

1 bladder cancer; isn't that correct?

> I don't think the point of this report was to analyze the causes of bladder cancer.

- So the answer to my question is "yes"?
- The answer to your question is whatever is written is written. That doesn't mean that I didn't consider that.
- Are you aware that smoking, family history, and obesity are all considered risk factors for bladder cancer and UTUC?
  - Α Yes.
- 12 And you --0
  - Also chemical exposures. A
- 14 Which chemical exposures? 0
- 15 Many. Α

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 16 Can you give me some specifically? 0
- 17 Bladder cancer was initially Yeah. 18 reported on chimney workers in England for many, 19 many years. They were exposed to a lot of toxins.
- 2.0 0 Do you know which ones they were exposed 21 to?
- I don't -- actually, I probably do 22 Α No. 23 know but I don't remember them.
- 24 Can you identify any specific chemical linked to bladder cancer? 25

A	As	we	spe	eak	tc	day,	no,	beca	ause	Ι	was	not	
reviewing	tha	at 1	Eor	thi	s	depos	sitio	on.	This	Ö	depos	siti	on
was focus	ing	on	CLI	J •									

- And you're not a urologist; correct?
- No, I'm not a urologist. But for more Α than 10 years, I was a director of research in all genital/urinary cancers which include bladder cancers.
  - 0 Have you treated bladder cancer?
  - I even published a lot of things. Α
- All those would be listed on your CV; 0 correct?
- 13 Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- You did not review the report of the United States expert urologist, Dr. Max Kates concerning Mr. Fiolek's bladder cancer and UTUC; is that correct?
  - I don't think I have.
- Is it your belief that Mr. Fiolek received chemotherapy prior to his diagnosis with bladder cancer in spring of 2024?
- Whatever I believe is written on the report. So whatever treatments he received that I'm aware of, I wrote it on the report.
  - Q Did you review the report of the United

1	States	expert	Dr.	Harry	Р.	Erba	concerning
2	Mr. Fid	olek?					

A Yes.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q And did you see in that report his statement that Mr. Fiolek did not, in fact, receive chemotherapy prior to his diagnosis with bladder cancer in spring of 2024?
- A I don't remember the details of that report. But you can ask him about that.
- Q Is obinutuzumab considered to be chemotherapy?
- A So the nomenclature for the medications changes over time. But obinutuzumab is called a monoclonal antibody. So technically, we don't call it the chemotherapy.
  - O How about venetoclax?
- A Venetoclax is more like a targeted therapy. We don't consider that a chemotherapy.
- Q How about -- I won't pronounce it correctly, but ibrutinib?
  - A Also. Same answer. Is not.
- Q Do you recall seeing any indication in the medical records that Mr. Fiolek ever received fludarabine?
  - A You talking about Fiolek?

Page 143 1 Q Yes. Did I write that? If I didn't write that, 2 Α 3 then that means no. I don't believe --4 0 If I wrote that, then, yes. 5 6 0 I don't believe -- I don't believe that 7 you did, but can you point me to anywhere in your report that would correct that? 8 9 Α Let me see. You're talking about Fludarabine? 10 11 Correct. 0 I don't think I wrote that there. So if I 12 13 didn't write it, that means I'm not aware that he has received that. 14 15 And do you see anything in your report 16 indicating that he received Bendamustine? 17 Not that I know. 18 0 And do you see anything in your report 19 indicating that he took -- ever took 2.0 cyclidophosphidimide [phonetic]? 21 You're probably saying cyclophosphamide, Α 22 but --23 Thank you. Q -- I'm not trying to --24 Α That's fine. 25 Q

- Α No, I don't think I wrote that.
  - Did you see anything in your report about Mr. Fiolek ever taking chlorambucil?
  - Well, he was initially planned to receive that. I don't know if -- there's a confusion in the record, I couldn't read clearly, whether he ever received a dose or not, but he might as well have never received it.
    - 0 Okay. Just to try --
  - But he was -- he was planned to get a Α combination of chlorambucil and Obinutuzumab.
- 12 I'm going to hand you what's been marked 0 13 as Laber Exhibit --
- MR. TUBIN: 12. 14
- 15 MR. ORTIZ: 12. Thank you.
- 16 (Exhibit 12 was marked.)
- 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- BY MR. ORTIZ: 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

- 19 Have you ever seen this document before, 0 sir? 2.0
- 21 No, I have not. Α
- Does it appear to be excerpts from the 22 23 transcript of the deposition of Dr. John M. Cho in the case of Robert Fiolek v. United States of 24
- America? 25

- A That's what it says.
  - Q And if you turn to page 90.
  - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Q And there's a highlighted portion that I'll read aloud starting at page 90, line 19.

"On the day that Mr. Fiolek had his treatment, if, when you read the HPI, you'll know that he had a very significant reaction during the chemotherapy treatment. Had lots of problems, as outlined over there. And we actually did not complete any of his therapy. He got some of it. I can't tell you exactly how much. And we had planned to abandon the rest of the treatment because of the reaction. You can't give that type of treatment if the patient is going to have that type of allergic reaction to it.

"Question: Okay. So my understanding he had an allergic reaction to the treatment and it was ceased?

"Answer: Yes, it was stopped."

Did I read that all correctly?

- A Yes.
- Q Are you aware of who Dr. John Cho is?
- 25 A No. But I think he -- actually, I'm

Page 146 of 374

Page 146 1 aware. I think he's the oncologist that took care of him but I don't know him personally. 2 Okay. And did you review the transcript 3 of his deposition? 4 I don't think I have. 5 No. And I'm going to hand you what's been 6 7 marked as Laber Exhibit 13. 8 Α Thank you. 9 (Exhibit 13 was marked.) BY MR. ORTIZ: 10 11 And have you ever seen this document 0 12 before, sir? 13 I don't think I have. Α 14 And I'll represent to you that it's 15 excerpts from Exhibit 3 to the deposition of 16 Dr. Cho. It's an excerpt from Mr. Fiolek's medical 17 record. 18 Can you turn to -- it says page 333 of 901 19 at the bottom. 2.0 Α Yes. 21 And do you recognize this as a medical note dated December 4th, 2015 by Kimberly Hess? 22 23 If you see the top left corner. Yeah. But I'm looking at the signature 24 25 because sometimes the record might indicate that

Page 147 1 it's a patient, but, yes. 2 It says --She's the nurse practitioner that looks 3 4 like she signed the note. On December 4th, 2015 at 1:02 p.m.? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 Okay. And the highlighted text on page 333, I'll read it. "He" -- referring to 8 9 Mr. Fiolek -- "was in the office on December 1st for his first cycle of obinutuzumab and significant 10 11 infusion-related reactions and his infusion was 12 ultimately stopped. His chlorambucil was held and 13 was scheduled to return on December 15th for follow-up, Dr. Cho." 14 15 Did I read that correctly? 16 Yes, you did. Α 17 And if you turn to page 335. 0 The highlighted text reads "Chronic 18 19 lymphocytic leukemia. Mr. Fiolek had a severe 2.0 infusion reaction with his first cycle of 21 Obinutuzumab and it was decided to abandon further treatment. His chlorambucil was held and he will 22 23 return on December 15th for follow-up with Dr. Cho

Did I read that correctly?

to discuss continuation of care."

24

1	A	Yes

2.0

- Q So having reviewed those documents, would you agree that Mr. Fiolek ultimately did not receive chlorambucil?
  - A No.
  - Q Why not?
- A Because you didn't give me all the information. You didn't give me the pharmacy notes, the other clinic notes. You just gave me an expert -- excerpt of something on a deposition. And we have established before that Obinutuzumab is not a chemotherapy, but here it says it's chemotherapy treatment.
- So I don't even know what you're referring to these. And this is highly incomplete to make that assumption that he never received.
- Q Are there any other medical records that you could specifically identify, as you sit here today, that would indicate that he received chlorambucil?
- A Well, he got the pharmacy records that he filled out the prescription. And he got the pills. So I don't know how many pills he took or he ever took it. And in the note that you gave me, they say they held the chlorambucil, but he has an

appointment to follow up with Dr. Cho two weeks later.

So we will have to continue in following, reviewing the records what happened two weeks later and have a confirmation whether he took any of the pills and so forth.

So you're giving me incomplete information.

Q And would you have to review the complete medical record in order to confirm whether or not he received chlorambucil at any time?

A I think that would be the appropriate way to confirm it, now, if you really want the true confirmation to the best possible -- for the most accurate information.

- Q Can you turn to page 12 of your Fiolek report?
  - A Yes.
- Q Let me know when -- are you there?
- 20 A I'm there now.
- 21 O Page 12.
- 22 | And it says "Robert Fiolek Medical
- 23 | History"?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q And the second full paragraph reads "In

approximately December of 2015, he was treated with a combination of chlorambucil and Obinutuzumab. developed a severe infusion reaction to Obinutuzumab but recovered and was able to complete therapy. responded to the treatment as demonstrated by the decrease in the CLL cells in his body, but over time, the CLL returned and he required change in therapy."

Did I read that correctly?

Α Yes.

Is that still your belief, that he recovered and was able to complete therapy, having reviewed Laber Exhibits 12 and 13?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

Go on.

THE WITNESS: I think from the information that I had, that was my belief. But, again, these records can be inaccurate in many things, so maybe I actually took it from an inaccurate assumption or an inaccurate record that I saw.

BY MR. ORTIZ:

And anywhere else on this page or this section of your report, do you see any other references to chlorambucil?

> Α No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

	Page 151
1	Q And Mr. Fiolek later received ibrutinib?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And he later received venetoclax?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And he in fact, he's still on
6	venetoclax; is that correct?
7	A I don't know as of today if he's taking it
8	or not.
9	Q You don't recall?
10	A No.
11	Q Do you recall any other medications that
12	Mr. Fiolek received for his CLL?
13	A Whatever I wrote in the chart is what I
14	was able to find out.
15	Q Whatever you wrote on pages 12 to 13 12
16	to 14 of your report?
17	A Yes.
18	Q So if it's not listed in there, you didn't
19	find it in the medical records?
20	A Correct.
21	Q And would you agree that if Mr. Fiolek did
22	not receive chemotherapy before his bladder cancer
23	and UTUC diagnosis in spring of 2024, it could not
24	have been a cause of that bladder cancer and UTUC?
25	A Well, we're not going to blame it on

something	that	he	didn't	receive.

- Correct. And you don't opine in your report that Mr. Fiolek's alleged exposures to contaminants at Camp Lejeune independently caused his bladder cancer and UTUC; is that correct?
- MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
  - THE WITNESS: I'm not opining one way or the other because I was not asked to opine on that question.

#### BY MR. ORTIZ: 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

22

23

24

- 11 Is it your opinion that CLL increases the 0 12 risk of bladder -- secondary bladder cancer, in particular? 13
  - In particular? What do you mean with "in particular"?
    - Is it your opinion that CLL increases the risk of secondary malignancies?
      - Α Yes.
    - Secondary malignancies would be a more general category referring to all kinds of cancers?
- 21 Α Yes.
  - And is it your opinion that CLL increases the risk of bladder cancer as a secondary cancer, in particular?
    - Α I think as a general opinion, that

increases the risk of cancer, the answer is yes. of the question of whether CLL has been completely demonstrated to increase the risk of bladder cancer, I'm not aware of really excellent studies showing that.

- 0 I want to turn to Mr. Gleesing's Okay. case. And let me know when you have page 16 of Mr. Gleesing's report in front of you.
  - I have it now. Thank you.
- Okay. And you conducted the same differential etiology analysis for Mr. Gleesing as you did for Mr. Fiolek; correct?
- This one is Gleesing. I'm sorry. I have Α to find Fiolek. Let me see. One of Fiolek.
  - But the answer to your question is yes, I did conduct a similar differential etiology.
  - I'm just pausing for a second so you can get that in front of you.
    - I appreciate. Α
- 2.0 You say 16.
- 21 Page 16, sir. 0
- 22 I'm there now. Α
- 23 Okay. And your opinion after conducting the differential etiology analysis is that 24
  - Mr. Gleesing's CLL was caused by his exposures to

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Page 154 1 TCE, PCE, and benzene at Camp Lejeune; correct? 2 Α Yes. 3 And your analysis supporting that opinion is set out on pages 16 to 17 of your report in 4 Mr. Gleesing's case; is that right? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 Whenever you have a -- we can do a break? 8 I just got a text from the hospital, but... 9 MR. ORTIZ: We can do a quick break. THE WITNESS: I can do it any time you 10 11 want. 12 MR. ORTIZ: Okay. Would a few minutes be all right, and then we can take a quick break? 13 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 15 MR. ORTIZ: If it's an emergency, we can 16 take a break. 17 THE WITNESS: No emergency. No 18 emergencies. 19 BY MR. ORTIZ: 2.0 0 Okay. And you state that the differential 21 etiology analysis was straightforward because Mr. Gleesing had no other risk factors for CLL other 22 23 than his alleged exposures to TCE, PCE, and benzene 24 at Camp Lejeune?

25

Α

Yes.

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, leading.
Objection, form.
Go on.
THE WITNESS: I thought I thought it
was I thought what you said was right, but
if you misconstrue some of my
MS. GREENWALD: No. Mine is just a form
objection. You should go ahead and answer. I
stated my objection.
THE WITNESS: Oh.
BY MR. ORTIZ:
Q And I probably should have said that at
the beginning or reminded you, you know,
Ms. Greenwald will object from time to time for
legal reasons. But unless she instructs you not
answer, you can just go ahead and answer my
question.
A Thank you.
Q All right. Would your opinion be the same
for any person who gets CLL and who was at Camp
Lejeune between 1953 and 1987?
MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know. I think every
case has to be reviewed. I don't know if it
would be exactly the same or not. I would have

1 to review the case.

# BY MR. ORTIZ:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q If somebody was at Camp Lejeune between 1953 and 1987 and they had no other risk factors for CLL, would it be your opinion that it was their exposure to water at Camp Lejeune that caused their CLL?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I cannot tell you with absolute certainty without reviewing a case. But I think the way you're phrasing the question seems reasonable. In a similar situation, my opinions would be similar? I would say yes.

### BY MR. ORTIZ:

- Q Do you agree that Mr. Gleesing is a man over the age of 50?
- A Yes.
- Q Do you agree that Mr. Gleesing is obese?
  - A That's what the record indicate.
  - Q And you can't quantify the degree to which Mr. Gleesing's age, race, sex, or his alleged exposure to chemicals at Camp Lejeune may have contributed to causing his CLL; is that correct?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

1 THE WITNESS: I think there has been some estimates of some studies trying to quantify 2 3 that, but I personally cannot quantify that right now. 4 BY MR. ORTIZ: 5 And you didn't consider or rule out 6 Q 7 idiopathic causation as a potential alternative cause for Mr. Gleesing's CLL; is that correct? 8 9 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: I think it's just, 10 11 considering no cause, or idiopathic, yeah, we always think about that. But if we find 12 13 causes, that that means we consider it and we 14 move on. 15 BY MR. ORTIZ: 16 And Mr. Gleesing was diagnosed in September of 2015; is that right? 17 18 Let me see. 19 He presented on August 31st, 2015. 2.0 On 9/3, he was -- yeah. September 2015. 21 Can you identify which page of your report 22 you're looking at, just for the record? 23 Page 12.

until March or April of 2018; isn't that correct?

24

25

Thank you. And he did not require therapy

- Α Yes, that is correct.
- And then in April of 2018, he started a clinical trial combining ibrutinib with FCR chemotherapy; is that right?
  - Yes. That is right.
  - And he had a complete response to therapy, that therapy; correct?
- 8 А Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

- And a bone marrow biopsy in November of 2018 after the completion of his treatments in the clinical trial found no evidence of CLL; is that right?
- 13 Α Yes.
- And he received ibrutinib until 14 15 October 2020 and then he stopped it completely?
- 16 I don't know exactly when it was stopped. 17 But there's a good chance that that was -- what you said might be correct but I don't think I documented 18 19 exactly when he stopped.
- 2.0 0 Would you just -- would you defer to the 21 medical records on that?
- 22 Α Yes.
- 23 Okay. And he's off therapy currently; 24 correct?
- 25 Α Yes.

Page 159 And if you turn to the last paragraph of 1 2 your Gleesing report, could do you that, on page 17, sir? 3 4 You said the last page? 5 Correct. Page 17. 6 Α Yeah. Without adding all the references 7 and all that, yes. Correct. Do you see that final paragraph 8 9 there? 10 Α Yes. 11 Above your signature? 0 12 Α Yes, I do. 13 And you opine that Mr. Gleesing's CLL will 0 14 likely limit his ability to work. 15 Do you see that? 16 I see how I wrote it. It's not exactly 17 how you said it. You wrote that he remains at risk of 18 developing infections that will likely limit his 19 2.0 ability to work. 21 If he develops an infection. If he 22 doesn't, then, no. 23 Q Are you aware of when he retired? 24 Α No. 25 Q Are you aware that it was in 2016?

- A Well, maybe I was aware. I was not paying attention to that. I just...
  - Q And if you turn to page 17 of your Fiolek report, sir.
    - A I have, yes.
  - Q You have page 17 of your Fiolek report in front of you?
    - A Oh, Fiolek is the other one, isn't it?
    - Q Yes. Sorry.
  - A I'm there now. Thank you.
- 11 Q And the last paragraph right above your
  12 signature is very similar to the last paragraph in
  13 your Gleesing report; is that correct?
- MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know. There will be some similarities and there will be some changes.
- 18 BY MR. ORTIZ:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q And you state that "Mr. Fiolek remains at higher risk of developing infections that will
- 21 likely limit his ability to work"; is that correct?
- MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't think that's the way
- 24 I wrote it.
- 25 BY MR. ORTIZ:

1 You wrote "He remains at higher risk than 2 the normal population of developing severe infections that will likely limit his ability to 3 work and have a normal life." 4 Did I read that correctly? 5 Now you did, yes. 6 Α 7 And are you aware of when Mr. Fiolek Q retired? 8 9 Α I'm not aware as we speak, no. Are you aware that both Mr. Fiolek and 10 0 11 Mr. Gleesing are retired? 12 Α Now, I am. You just told me. 13 0 You were not aware of that when you wrote 14 your report? 15 Maybe I was. I don't remember noting 16 that. 17 Would you agree if they're both retired, that their CLL or infections related to their CLL 18 will not limit their ability to work? 19 2.0 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 21 THE WITNESS: I don't know why you say Somebody can retire and still work. 22 that. 23 BY MR. ORTIZ:

Are you aware of either of them working

24

25

after their retirement?

- 1 Α I haven't looked at that.
- 2 Would you agree that Mr. Fiolek's CLL has
- been well controlled since October of 2018? 3
- MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 4
- THE WITNESS: So we're going to 5
- Mr. Fiolek. 6
- 7 October 2018. That's when he started
- 8 treatment, isn't it?
- 9 BY MR. ORTIZ:
- That's when he started venetoclax; 10
- 11 correct?
- He responded very nicely. And then 12 Α Yeah.
- 13 he remained in very well control on that, yes.
- 14 And you state for both Mr. Fiolek and
- 15 Mr. Gleesing that their treatment was reasonable and
- 16 necessary; correct?
- 17 Α Yes.
- Why did you opine about their treatment? 18 0
- 19 Because I thought it was important. Α
- 2.0 0 Why?
- 21 Because I am an expert oncologist. Α
- it's just one of the ways that I said I agree with 22
- 23 the treatment and the treatment was reasonable and
- 24 was appropriate.
- Did you review any medical bills for 25 Q

either plaintif	117
-----------------	-----

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

24

- I don't remember doing that. sometimes if they send it to me, I do.
- If you look back to your report on Mr. Gleesing's case on page 17, there's a list of complications; isn't that correct?
  - Yes. Α
- And the first one is anxiety due to his diagnosis and concerns about the effect this may have on his family; isn't that correct?
  - Α Yes.
- And you're not a psychologist or psychiatrist or any kind of mental health professional; is that correct?
  - That is correct. I'm none of that.
- And are you aware that Mr. Gleesing has not been diagnosed with any mental health condition?
- I'm not aware whether he was or was not Α diagnosed. I don't think I looked at that.
  - 0 Did you review his deposition transcript?
- I think I did. Α
  - Do you recall his testimony about that?
- 23 Α I don't recall the testimony about that.
  - And you opine the next one is numbness in his feet likely related to the medications used to

1	treat	his	CLL;	is	that	correct?

A Yes.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- O Which medications?
- 4 A So in the case of Mr. Gleesing?
- 5 O Correct.

A I will just tell you what the treatments that he received so I don't confuse one with the other.

So he received the combination of ibrutinib plus FCR. And then he received -- he received ibrutinib and then he received venetoclax. Those are the treatments that he received.

- Q Mr. Gleesing or Mr. Fiolek?
- 14 A I think --
  - O Which report do you have in front of you?
  - A So the one that I have now is Gleesing.
  - Q Okay. And which medication, is it your opinion, caused or is related to the numbness in his feet?
    - A So many of them can do that but most common -- in his case, I think it would be maybe the venetoclax, in his case. Rarely the Fludarabine and the cyclophosphamide is much more rare. And ibrutinib is much rare also.
      - Q And you don't cite any data in your report

abo	out	whethe	r those	e me	edicat	cions	can	cause	numbness
in	sor	meone's	feet;	is	that	corre	ect?		

A We can look at the package inserts from the medications as approved by the Food and Drug Administration and you will see the list of all the side effects and it will include this.

Q But you don't cite any of those box package inserts or anything?

A I don't feel the need to cite them because it's common knowledge.

Q And so you did not cite them; correct?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I just told you.

### BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q And you refer to -- well, strike that.

Do you treat fatty liver disease? I'll stop there. Do you treat fatty liver disease?

A If one of my patients have fatty liver disease, I'll consider that. Normally there is no good treatment for that. But many times we have to discontinue some medications that we're giving them. So in those cases, that might be involved. If not, then I refer it to a hepatologist to manage that, if it's not related to a malignancy or a blood

-	7 ' 7
	disorder.
_	urboruer.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- So is it fair to say that your involvement is to assess the impact of the fatty liver disease on the cancer that you're treating?
  - That's past my judgment of the case. Α
  - Do you treat ascending aortic aneurysm? 0
- No. Α
  - Do you treat blocked coronary artery?
  - As long as there is no cancer, usually It would be exceedingly rare that I will have to start aspirin or cardiac medications for that.
  - Normally, I work in an academic center so we have the availability of cardiologists who do that.
  - So assume you don't treat prediabetes; is that correct?
  - Many of my patients might have that so I could recommend diet and exercise in those patients, so that means there is treatment.
    - 0 But beyond recommending diet and exercise?
  - Α That is the treatment for -- usually for that, or the most important treatment.
    - 0 How old is Mr. Fiolek?
    - Talking about Fiolek. Let me see. Α
    - Q Would 84 sound correct to you?

Page 167 1 Eighty-four, 85? 2 I'm just looking for the date of birth to calculate that if you want the exact number, since 3 I'm under oath, but --4 5 Sure. I believe it's Α Yeah. So '40 -- so he 6 would be at least 65. No. Eighty-five. 7 Eighty-five. 8 9 Α Something like that. And do you know how old Mr. Gleesing is? 10 Mid to late 60s? 11 12 Α If you tell me the truth, I believe you. If you want me to look at the date of birth... 13 14 Would you defer to the medical records, I quess, on his date of birth? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 MR. ORTIZ: Okay. All right. We can go off the record. 18 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record 19 2.0 at 12:47. And this ends media unit number two. 21 (A luncheon recess is had from 12:47 p.m. 22 to 1:33 p.m.) 23 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 1:33. And this begins media unit number three. 24

25

BY MR. ORTIZ:

1	Q All right, Dr. Laber. Did you talk to
2	anyone about the substance of your deposition during
3	the break?
4	A No.
5	Q And you testified earlier that you're not
б	an epidemiologist; is that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And you'd agree with me that you do cite
9	some epidemiological studies in your report and rely
10	upon those studies; is that correct?
11	A I cite some of them. I don't know what
12	you mean with "rely upon them."
13	Q Okay. I want to ask you some questions
14	about your understanding of some epidemiological
15	concepts. Would you agree that epidemiologists
16	study possible associations between an exposure and
17	health effect?
18	A That epidemiologists study that? Yeah. I
19	think it sounds reasonable.
20	Q Would you agree that association's not the
21	same as causation?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Are you familiar with what are called odds
24	ratios or risk ratios or point estimates in

25

epidemiological studies?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Do you know how to interpret them?
3	A In general, yes.
4	Q You'd agree that 1.0 is the normal result,
5	meaning that there's no association between that
6	exposure and that health effect?
7	A It would depend on study by study. We
8	would have to look at what is the plan the study
9	planning to to evaluate and what they want to do
LO	and what will they consider important or not. So as
L1	a general statement, 1.0 would be similar to
L 2	whatever they're studying or the same as whatever
L 3	they're studying.
L <b>4</b>	But it also depends on whatever they're
L 5	trying to and how are they trying to do that.
L 6	Q So let me make sure I try to understand.
L7	Is it your testimony that in some studies,
L 8	1.0 does not necessarily refer to the null result?
L 9	A No. My testimony's what I said. When you
20	rephrase it, I'm afraid that there could be some
21	changes made in the way you phrased them and the way
22	I phrase it.
23	What I meant is whatever 1.0 might be

important and you had to know the context of every

study.

23

24

- Are you referring to the concept of statistical significance?
- That's another concept. One thing is different than the other.
- Going back to odds ratios or point estimates, would you agree that generally results above 1.0 are a positive association?
- No. It really depends what you put as an association and what are you studying and how are you studying it.
- Would you agree that anything below 1.0 is 0 a negative association?
- Α Same answer as before. It will depend on many factors.
- Are you familiar with confidence intervals?
  - Α Yes.
- 0 What are they?
- 19 It's basically the range of the numbers 2.0 that can occur according to many factors, including 21 the number of subjects that you're putting and then so forth. 22
  - 0 Do you know how to interpret them?
- 24 Yes. Α
- Do you agree that a confidence interval 25 Q

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

	rage 1/1
1	that includes 1.0 is not statistically significant?
2	A No. It depends on many factors. I cannot
3	agree with blank statements like that.
4	Q Do you agree that that is the traditional
5	convention employed in epidemiological studies?
6	A I don't know what you mean with
7	"traditional convention." Usually when you write a
8	study, you have to determine how is your
9	methodology. And each one might use different
L O	things.
L1	Q Would you defer to an expert in
L 2	epidemiology about that?
L 3	A It depends on what I'm trying to look at.
L <b>4</b>	Q Do you agree that statistically
L 5	significant results are less likely to be due to
L 6	chance or random error as compared to
L 7	non-statistically significant results?
L 8	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
L 9	THE WITNESS: Again, that's a general
20	statement. I cannot agree with that.
21	Everything has to have a context.
22	BY MR. ORTIZ:
23	Q Do you agree that epidemiologists
24	generally do not reach conclusions about causation
5	from a single study but try to replicate their

1 findings in different populations?

find the context on that.

- I think, again, it's a general statement 2 that doesn't apply to all studies. We'll have to 3
- Do you know what the Bradford Hill factors 5 0 or considerations are? 6
  - Not on top of my thinking today. Α
  - Have you ever tried to apply them?
  - Personally, no. Α
  - And you didn't do that in your reports here; correct?
  - I don't think I did.
- 13 Would you be able to tell me what level of 14 positive association above 1.0 reflects a modest 15 association?
- 16 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I think it would depend on
- 18 the context.
- BY MR. ORTIZ: 19

4

8

9

10

11

- 2.0 Do you know who Dr. David Savitz is? 0
- 21 Not as we speak right now. Α
- 22 So you're not aware that he's an 23 epidemiologist retained as an expert by plaintiffs
- in this litigation? 24
- 25 Α I think it might be. Just, if you want to

1 show me the reports. I might have reviewed the 2 reports or not, but I don't remember the name in particular. 3

- Are you aware that he was deposed in this 0 litigation?
- I don't know if I'm aware or not. At this moment, that doesn't ring a bell.
- 0 Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

2.0

- Α But I might have read it if I saw it or not, so if you are doing this as a memory test, I think that's not a good idea.
- 12 Okay. Do you know what a dose response 0 13 relationship is?
- 14 Α Yes.
- 15 What is it? 0
- 16 Usually when we find that different doses 17 will have different responses.
  - Do you know what a monotonic dose response 0 relationship is?
    - Α I haven't used that terminology.
- 21 0 Okay.
- 22 But monotonic means one tone. Α
- 23 Are you aware that certain epidemiological study designs also generally carry more weight than 24 other study designs? 25

Page 174 1 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 2 THE WITNESS: Everything has a context. 3 BY MR. ORTIZ: Are you aware that there are different 4 0 epidemiologic study designs? 5 Α Yes. 6 Can you identify some of those for me? Q 8 Α No. 9 Do you know what a cohort study? 0 10 Α Yes. 11 Can you define it for me? 0 12 Α Usually when we look at a group and then we study a group, we call it a cohort. 13 14 Do you know what a case controlled study 15 is? 16 Yes. Α 17 Can you define it for me? 18 А In general, my definition is personal. 19 And, again, not perfect, but usually when we have a 2.0 certain thing that we want to study in certain 21 patients, and we find patients with similar characteristics that we can match to the ones that 22 we're studying. 23 Do you know what ecological studies are? 24 25 Α I think, yes, but, say, if I look at the

1 definition, I probably can can find c	uerriircroii,	(	derinitation,
---	---------------	---	---------------

- Q As you sit here today, can you define them for me?
  - A No, not on top of my head at this moment.
- Q Are you aware that they lack data about individual exposures?
  - A No. I don't know the details on that.
- Q Okay. Can you turn to page 11 of your Fiolek and Gleesing reports, please, sir? Just let me know when you're there.
  - A I'm there now. Thank you.
- Q And the second-to-last paragraph reads "I have independently reviewed the ATSDR epidemiological studies as well as the ATSDR's assessment of the evidence. I also have read materials relating to general causation for benzene, TCE, and PCE, including the general causation reports of Drs. Felsher, Hu, Gilbert, Bird, and Mallon, which I rely on based on their experiences in the field of epidemiology.

"I conclude that it is more likely than not that there is a causal relationship between TCE, PCE, and benzene exposure at Camp Lejeune and CLL."

Did I read that correctly?

A Yes, you did.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Page 176 1 And that same paragraph is identical in 2 both reports; correct? 3 Α Yes. And you reference the concept of general 4 causation there in that paragraph. 5 Do you see that? 6 7 Yes. Α Do you know what that concept is? 8 0 9 Α Yes. What is it? 10 0 11 I think you are using the legal Α terminology as a general causation, that this agent 12 13 caused cancer. 14 And are you aware of the term "specific 15 causation"? I am aware of that. 16 17 And what does that term mean? 18 I think it would be when we go case by 19 That's my understanding. But if I'm wrong, 2.0 I'm not the legal scholar. 21 0 That's fine. 22 Do you agree that in the paragraph I just 23 read aloud, you are expressing a general causation

opinion as to TCE, PCE, and benzene at Camp Lejeune

24

25

and CLL?

1 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't agree with you.

BY MR. ORTIZ:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q Why not?

A Because I'm not expressing my personal opinion. I am basically looking at the assessment of the evidence, the materials relating to the general causation. And this is just my conclusion from reading that.

Q And in that paragraph that I read, you stated that you independently reviewed certain ATSDR studies as well as ATSDR's assessment of the evidence; correct?

A Yes.

Q And then you state that you reviewed some other materials, including a general causation reports of Drs. Felsher, Hu, Gilbert, Bird, and Mallon; correct?

A Yes.

Q And those are all general causation experts retained by plaintiffs in this litigation; is that correct?

A I'm not good with names. So if you show me that, I will try to confirm that. But let's say I agree with you.

1	Q Okay. And then the last sentence
2	A Providing that you're not giving me the
3	wrong information.
4	Q Okay. And the last sentence, it says "I
5	conclude that it's more likely than not that there
6	is a causal relationship between TCE, PCE, and
7	benzene exposure at Camp Lejeune and CLL."
8	Is that your own independent opinion?
9	A The opinion as a legal terminology, as you
10	perfectly well know, is different than my
11	conclusion. And I just put it as a conclusion, not
12	as an opinion.
13	And I also wrote there something that you
14	failed to read, which is, which I rely on.
15	Q And that's what I'm trying to get at, sir.
16	Are you independently opining that there's a causal
17	relationship between TCE, PCE, and benzene exposure
18	at Camp Lejeune and CLL or are you relying solely on
19	the general causation reports of Drs. Felsher, Hu,
20	Gilbert, Bird, and Mallon?
21	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
22	THE WITNESS: Well, you added words like
23	"solely" and things like that, which I don't
24	agree. But I already answered that question,

that I'm not giving you this as an opinion.

# BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Is that your own independent conclusion?
- Α That I'm not giving you an opinion?
- Is this -- is your conclusion that it's more likely than not that there's a causal relationship between TCE, PCE, and benzene exposure at Camp Lejeune and CLL, is that your own independent conclusion?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: Whatever I wrote here is the correct one. The way you're reading it and you're failing to read parts or taking other things, I don't agree with the way you read it or the way you express it.

I do agree with the way I wrote it. think it's very clear. I'm not offering this as a general causation opinion.

# BY MR. ORTIZ:

- Have you ever been an expert in a toxic tort case before?
- I don't think so. I think I'm an expert Α on another large case which was for a pharmaceutical company called Zantac but I don't think it was a tort or anything like that, but if I'm wrong, apologize for the mistake.

	Again,	I'm	not	а	legal	scholar	f. I	look	at
the medica	al facts	<b>3</b> .							

- That's fine. And I'm not trying to ask you to be a legal scholar. But were you referring to the Zantac litigation?
  - Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- And you're an expert retained in that litigation?
  - Α Yes.
- Are you an expert retained on behalf of the plaintiffs or the defense?
  - I think on that one, on the defense.
- 13 And have you disclosed a report in that 14 litigation?
  - I disclose whatever I need to disclose. Ι don't know which report you're mentioning on.
  - Did you, like you did in this case -well, let me ask you this: Do you agree in this case that you disclosed two reports; correct?
  - Α What do you mean did I disclose two reports?
    - The counsel for the party that retained you served two reports to the opposing counsel and those reports summarize your opinions as required by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure?

Page 181 1 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't follow 3 you. 4 BY MR. ORTIZ: 5 Okay. 0 6 I couldn't understand what you're trying 7 to say. Do you know if you prepared a report in 8 0 9 the Zantac litigation? I don't think I have. 10 Α 11 Okay. Have you testified in that 0 12 litigation? 13 I don't think so. Α 14 And you independently reviewed the ATSDR 15 epidemiological studies that are cited there in your 16 report; correct? 17 I think I already answered that and I clearly wrote it also. 18 19 Okay. And you agree that sticking with 2.0 your Fiolek report, there's a footnote for 21 references 25 to 31. 22 Do you see that? 23 Α Fiolek report. Which footnotes are you referring to? 24 25 Q You see on page 11 of your Fiolek report,

Page 182 1 after the first sentence of that paragraph that I read aloud, there's a reference to footnotes 25 to 2 3 31. I see those footnotes, yeah. 4 And if you turn to page 20 of your Fiolek 5 report, sir. 6 7 Α Uh-huh. 8 Sorry. Yes. Page 20. 9 Α Yes. 10 0 And you see the corresponding references, 11 25 to 31? 12 Α I do. 13 And then if you look at your Gleesing 14 report, sir. 15 Α Yes. 16 And you see after the first sentence of 17 the paragraph I read aloud, there's a reference to footnotes 22 to 28? 18 19 Δ Yes. 2.0 And if you turn, please, to page 19 of 21 your Gleesing report. 22 Α Yes. And you see references 22, 23, 24, and on 23 the next page, 25 to 28; is that correct? 24 25 Α Yes, I see that.

Page 183 1 0 And those are the same as references 25 to 2 31 in your Fiolek report; correct? I don't know. Let me check. 3 Α 4 You're talking which ones are the same to which? 5 Twenty-two to 28 of your Gleesing report 6 Q 7 and 25 to 31 of your Fiolek report. 8 Α Twenty-two... 9 Yes. Okay. And so you independently reviewed 10 0 11 all those studies? 12 Α Yes. 13 0 One follow-up. 14 When were you retained as an expert in the 15 Zantac litigation? 16 I don't know exactly when but it was two 17 years ago, around that time. 2023? 18 0 19 I think so. Α MR. ORTIZ: What exhibit number are we on? 2.0 21 CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER: Next one will be 22 14. 23 MR. ORTIZ: Thank you. 24 BY MR. ORTIZ: 25 Q All right, Dr. Laber. I'm going to hand

Page 184 1 you what I'll mark as Laber Exhibit 14. 2 (Exhibit 14 was marked.) 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. ORTIZ: 4 And do you recognize Laber Exhibit 14 as 5 0 Bove, et al, 2014, Evaluation of Mortality Among 6 Marines and Navy Personnel Exposed to Contaminated 8 Drinking Water at USMC Base Camp Lejeune: 9 Retrospective Cohort Study; is that correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 This is one of the studies you 0 independently reviewed; correct? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 Now, on your report, you don't quote, 15 discuss, or cite any of the data that is in Laber 16 Exhibit 14; correct? 17 I don't know. I haven't looked at that, 18 according to that. 19 Can you point me to anywhere in your 2.0 report where you quote, discuss, or cite any of the 21 data that's in this document? 22 I don't know. We can actually look that 23 up. So let me see which. This was... 24 25 I think I'm referencing this, when I say

Page 185 1 that I reviewed these studies. 2 Correct. 3 Α I don't think I personally pulled that up on this particular study for my report. 4 Whether there's some data on the other 5 tables that I took from other reports, I don't know 6 about that, but... 8 Q Okay. 9 Α I don't see that I took a particular piece of numbers from these --10 11 Okay. Thank you for --0 -- and put it in my report. 12 13 Thank you for confirming that. If you can 0 turn to page seven, please, of Laber Exhibit 14. 14 15 Α Seven of this report? 16 Correct, sir. 0 17 Α Okay. 18 0 And you see Table 4. They're setting out 19 standardized mortality ratios; correct? 2.0 Α Yes. 21 And I've highlighted, for convenience, three categories. The first for hematopoietic 22 23 cancers, the second for NHL, and the third for leukemias. Do you see that? 24

25

Α

Yes.

Q	And do	У	ou see	that	the	e star	ndaro	dize	ed
mortality	ratio	is	below	1.0	for	each	one	of	those
categories	s?								

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q And do you know what a standardized mortality ratio is?

A Let's look at what they put for this study. We'll have to go to the methods and see if they state here.

Q You're not aware of what it is, generally?

A It's not generally. It's how can you apply it. Because you say ratios. Ratio means you're comparing other variables so you have to actually specify which variables you are putting there.

Q Is it your testimony that standardized mortality ratios can mean different things in different studies?

A If you have to put it on a context. You have to put it on the context on this study and its ratio to what.

Q Do you know how to interpret the numbers in table four?

A If I have the background of how they did it and the methodology, of course, yes. If you just

Page 187 give me this out of context without telling me what 1 2 they did, no. 3 Can you turn to page eight of the 4 document, sir? Page eight of the document? 5 Α 6 Do you see Table 5 there? Yes. Α Table 5 sets out hazard ratios comparing 8 9 Camp Lejeune and Camp Pendleton; is that correct? 10 Α Camp Lejeune, yes. 11 And I've highlighted, for convenience, 12 three categories, hematopoietic cancers, NHL, and 13 leukemias. 14 Do you see that? 15 Α Yes, I do. 16 And do you see that the hazard ratio for hematopoietic cancers is 1.05 with a confidence 17 interval of 0.82 to 1.33. 18 19 Do you see that? 2.0 Α I see that. 21 And for NHL, it's 0.81, a confidence interval of 0.56 to 1.18. 22 23 Do you see that? 24 Yes. Α And for leukemias, it's 1.11 with a 25 Q

1 | confidence interval of 0.75 to 1.62.

Do you see that?

A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q And do you know how to interpret those numbers?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, but you still

have to add the methodology what they use.

BY MR. ORTIZ:

Q And what do you mean by that?

A Well, this one, they have a 95 percent confidence interval adjusted by sex, race, rank, and education. Why do they choose that? Why do they choose rank? Why do they choose education? Why would that be important? So the numbers change. The more variables you put, the numbers get smaller and smaller. And the ratios get more difficult to establish because you're being so specific. So you have to say, why are you using all those numbers. Are these just out of the hat? Is this something important? Do they have data that this makes a

Q And do you consider any of those hazard ratios to be meaningfully elevated?

A Not without knowing the details. I would

difference?

1 have to know all the details of that, how do they do

- 2 | it, why do they do it, what information they plug
- 3 | in. And not only that. Also, what's the population
- 4 | size? If you only have a small number of
- 5 hematopoietic cancers and small numbers of leukemia,
- 6 then they won't be able to come up with a reasonable
- 7 conclusion. You need the certain numbers to really
- 8 have a meaningful analysis.
- 9 So all that -- all those informations,
- 10 | you're lacking all that.
- 11 Q And would you agree that Laber Exhibit 14
- 12 did not conduct an evaluation of leukemia subtypes?
- A What was your question again?
- 14 Q Do you agree that Laber Exhibit 14 did not
- 15 | conduct an evaluation of leukemia subtypes?
- 16 A Laber Exhibit 14?
- Q Do you agree that this document did not
- 18 | conduct an evaluation of leukemia subtypes?
- 19 A I don't know. I haven't -- have reviewed
- 20 | this a long time ago. You want me to read the paper
- 21 again to see if they did that or not?
- 22 | Q And you independently reviewed this study
- when preparing your report; is that correct?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- Q Can you turn to page eight, if you're not

Dage 190

	rage 190
1	already there?
2	A Page eight of which document?
3	Q Of this document, Laber Exhibit 14.
4	A Okay.
5	Q And do you see the right-hand column, the
6	paragraph there that begins "an evaluation of
7	leukemia subtypes was not conducted because a
8	considerable percentage (22.7 percent) of the
9	leukemias were classified as acute leukemia, not
10	otherwise specified"?
11	A I see that.
12	Q "And the Camp Lejeune cohort compared to
13	the percentage 9.4 percent occurring in the Camp
14	Pendleton cohort."
15	Did I read that correctly, sir?
16	A Yes, you read that correct.
17	Q Can you tell me whether this document
18	supports your opinion that it's more likely than not
19	that there's a causal relationship between TCE, PCE,
20	and benzene exposure at Camp Lejeune and CLL?
21	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
2.2	THE WITNESS: I don't think that was my

or four times that that was not one of my

opinions. You keep -- you kept misstating.

23

24

25

opinion. I already answered you at least three

D 7.7	T / T	
. RY	MR	ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Can you tell me -- I'll repeat my 0 question.

Can you tell me whether this document supports your conclusion that it's more likely than not that there's a causal relationship between TCE, PCE, and benzene exposure at Camp Lejeune and CLL?

I believe this is part of the document that support my conclusions.

So if you take one thing out of context, you might or might not be more or less. But at this moment, yes, this is part of a group of information that I have evaluated that support my conclusions. That's why I list it out, that's why I referenced that.

And was there any data specifically within this document that you would point to to support your conclusion?

> MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I haven't looked at that as we now speak. I will have to read the paper again and go -- and go into more and more details because you're asking a very specific question that I was not prepared to answer at this moment.

Page 192 1 BY MR. ORTIZ: 2 And you independently, as we've said several times, reviewed this document; correct? 3 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form, asked and 4 5 answered. 6 BY MR. ORTIZ: 7 Q You can answer. I independently reviewed all of the 8 9 documents that I listed on my report. Did you -- are you aware that there was an 10 11 Additional File 2 for Laber Exhibit 14? You use my name so freely that I don't 12 Α 13 know what you're talking about. 14 That's for the record. But are you aware 0 of Additional File 2 for Bove 2014? Does that ring 15 16 a bell? 17 You're asking me if this paper has more Α 18 than what you're just showing me now? Yeah. I'll --19 0 2.0 Α Is that your question? 21 I'll just show you. I think that will be 22 clear. 23 (Exhibit 15 was marked.) 24 BY MR. ORTIZ: 25 Q Here you go, sir.

	_
1	A Thank you.
2	Q And have you ever seen the document that
3	I've marked as Laber Exhibit 15 before?
4	A As we speak right now, I don't recall this
5	but I might have seen it. If this is part of the
6	other paper that I quoted on my report, I definitely
7	saw it.
8	Q And do you recall if you've reviewed the
9	data that's within Laber Exhibit 15?
10	A If I said I did, then I did.
11	Q And I'll represent to you that it wasn't
12	listed specifically in your Materials Considered
13	list. So does that can I assume that you did not
14	review it when preparing your report?
15	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
16	THE WITNESS: No. I wouldn't assume
17	anything.
18	BY MR. ORTIZ:
19	Q Okay. Everything you considered is listed
20	in your Materials Considered list; correct?
21	A Whatever I wrote in my report is correct.
22	Whatever you ask, I don't know if it's correct or
23	not.
24	Q Okay. And I've highlighted, for

convenience, certain data relating to hematopoietic

1 cancers, multiple myeloma, leukemia, Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma, Hodgkin. 2

Do you see that?

I see that. Α

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- And hopefully we don't have to go through all of these, but you agree that CLL's not specifically listed here?
  - CLL is not listed in this table.
- Okay. And since you have not reviewed this document before, that you can recall, sitting here, can I take it that you can't tell me, as you sit here, whether this data supports or does not support your conclusion concerning TCE, PCE, and benzene exposure at Camp Lejeune and CLL?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I think you said so many things, that I don't agree that I cannot... BY MR. ORTIZ:

- Well, what do you not agree with?
- 2.0 Α The way you phrase what I did and what I 21 didn't do.
- Is there a different way that you prefer 22 23 me to phrase it?
- No. You can phrase it any way you want, 24 25 but I'm not going to answer your question. I cannot

tell you what to do or how to ask your question	ns,
but you you phrase a lot of things, like I	did
and I didn't do, and I don't really agree with	that

- Q And looking back at Laber Exhibit 2014 [sic], the Bove 2014 document, do you agree that CLL is not specifically listed as a category here?
- A On the table that you showed me, I did not see CLL listed.
  - Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A I see Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma and I see leukemias.
- Q And do you know if the authors of Bove 2014 categorize CLL as a leukemia or a lymphoma for purposes of this study?
- A No, I do not. But I don't know if they really had that information because you read me on the paragraph that they couldn't because the way it was -- the data was cataloged.
  - Q You're --
- A They don't give them that information so you can't tell one way or the other.
- Q You're referring to the paragraph where they say that they did not conduct an evaluation of leukemia subtypes; is that correct?
  - A No.

Page 196 1 Q Are you referring to something else? 2 Α Yes. 3 Can you identify for me what you're referring to? 4 In page eight out of 14 --5 Α 0 Uh-huh. 6 -- it says "An evaluation of leukemia 7 subtypes was not conducted because of a considerable 8 9 percentage of the leukemias were classified as acute leukemia, not otherwise specified." 10 11 So I think the classification of the data 12 that they have, they don't allow them to look at 13 that information. 14 Okay. And that's what I was referring to 15 a moment ago; correct? 16 At some point, you actually read these 17 with me, yes. Okay. All right. If you look back, 18 0 19 please, at -- well, let me just do it this way. I'm 2.0 going to hand you what's been marked as Laber 21 Exhibit 16, sir. (Exhibit 16 was marked.) 22 23 BY MR. ORTIZ: 24 And do you recognize Laber Exhibit 16 as

Bove, et al., 2014 the Mortality Study of Civilian

1 Employees Exposed to Contaminated Drinking Water at

- USMC Base Camp Lejeune, a Retrospective Cohort
- 3 Study?

2

9

10

15

18

19

2.0

21

22

- 4 Α Yes.
- And you independently reviewed this study; 5 6 correct?
- I think it's listed on my references. Α 8 That means yes.
  - And you'd agree this study's about civilians; correct?
- 11 In the title, it says that. Mortality Α 12 study of civilian employees.
- 13 And Mr. Fiolek was not at Camp Lejeune as 0 a civilian; correct? 14
  - That was my understanding.
- 16 And Mr. Gleesing was not at Camp Lejeune 17 as a civilian; correct?
  - That's also my understanding. I don't Α know if they were also as civilians or not, but my understanding is they were there as Marines.
    - Do you agree that a serious limitation of the study was the small numbers of most causes of death resulting in wide confidence intervals?
- 24 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- BY MR. ORTIZ: 25

- Q And if you'd like, I can refer you to page 11 --
  - Α Well, I cannot agree or disagree with something in this study if I'm not allowed to read it again.
    - Do you want --
  - I read it a long time ago so I'm trying to get to the part that will comment about the limitation of the studies.
    - Sure. Let me direct you to page 11.
    - Α Thank you.
  - In the discussion of this article, the authors list many limitations. They said -- I will actually refer you to what the authors said about the limitations. I don't have a separate opinion of what the authors discussed.
  - That's fine. But one of those is what I just referenced? It says, on page 11, "One serious limitation of the study was the small numbers of most causes of death which resulted in wide confidence intervals for the measures of effect."
    - Did I read that correctly?
- 23 Α Yes.
- There's a few other limitations referenced 24 25 on this page; correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

Page 199 1 Α Yes. 2 Do you have any opinion as to whether or not civilians would have different exposures at Camp 3 Lejeune than Marines? 4 5 No, I have no opinions on that. 0 Is that something that you've considered 6 or analyzed? I was not asked to review a civilian. 8 Ι 9 only reviewed these two patients. 10 Okay. You can put that aside. 11 I'm going to hand you what's been marked 12 as Laber Exhibit 17, sir. 13 (Exhibit 17 was marked.) BY MR. ORTIZ: 14 15 And do you recognize ATSDR -- or do you 16 recognize Laber Exhibit 17 as ATSDR's assessment of the evidence? 17 ATSDR Assessment of the Evidence for the 18 Α 19 Drinking Water Contaminants at Camp Lejeune and 2.0 Specific Cancers and Other Diseases from January 13, 21 2017. And you independently reviewed this study; 22 0

Do you know who Dr. Frank Bove is?

23

24

25

correct?

Α

Q

Yes.

- A I don't know him personally.
- Are you aware that he was the sole author 2 3 of this study?
  - Well, if you have it in front of me and Α the author says that, then, yes, I am aware.
  - Q And are you aware that he completed this study in just about six weeks?
    - Α No.
      - MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
- THE WITNESS: I did not look at how long 10
- 11 it take him to do this.
- 12 BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

- 13 Can you turn to page six of the document, 14 sir? Let me know when you're there.
  - I'm there now. Thank you.
- And do you see at the top of the page, 17 there are numbers one, two, three, and four. After 18 one, it says "sufficient." After two, it says 19 "equipoise and above." And after three, it says
- 2.0 "below equipoise." And after four, it says
- 21 "against."
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 Α Yes, I do.
- I want to focus on three, below equipoise. 24
- It reads "The evidence is not sufficient to conclude 25

Page 201 1 that a causal relationship is at least as likely as not or is not sufficient to make a scientifically 2 informed judgment." 3 Did I read that correctly? 4 5 Α Yes. And can you turn to page 13 of the 6 document, sir? 7 Whenever it's okay, I will need, like, 10 8 9 minutes or five minutes just to answer the hospital. That's fine. 10 0 11 Α No emergency. Are you okay for a few minutes? 12 0 13 Yeah. Yeah. I'm okay. Thank you. Α 14 Are you at page 13, sir? 0 15 Α Yes. 16 And do you see that there's an overall summary of the evidence? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 And do you see that for PCE and leukemias, 2.0 ATSDR concluded that there was below equipoise 21 evidence for causation. 22 Do you see that? 23 Α Yes. So do you agree that for PCE and 24 25 leukemias, ATSDR's conclusion was that the evidence

is not sufficient to conclude that a causal
relationship is at least as likely as not or is not
sufficient to make a scientifically informed
judgment; correct?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I personally cannot agree or disagree. I can read it, what they say but I'm not agreeing or disagreeing because I haven't reviewed all the information that they say for that particular part.

And, again, this is a table and it depends on which evidence they look.

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q Dr. Laber, I just read to you the ATSDR's own definition of "below equipoise"; correct?
  - A Yes, you did.
- Q And I'm now showing you a table where
  ATSDR provides an overall summary of the evidence in
  which ATSDR states that their conclusion is that
  there was below equipoise evidence for causation
  between PCE and leukemia; is that correct?
- A You're showing me a line that says that on a table.
- Q And this is within their overall summary of the evidence; isn't that right?

Page 203 1 Α Yes. 2 And in your report, you conclude, in part relying on this document, that there's a causal 3 relationship between PCE exposure, Camp Lejeune, and 4 5 CLL; isn't that correct? 6 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly how you phrase it but I will stick to the way I wrote 8 9 it. BY MR. ORTIZ: 10 11 And do you see that there are various meta 0 12 analysis citations here --13 Yes, I do. Α -- in the leukemias box? 14 0 15 Α Yes. 16 Did you review any of those documents 17 independently? I might have. 18 Α Do you know whether or not you did? 19 0 2.0 Α I suspect I did for some. 21 Okay. Which ones do you suspect you did? 22 I don't remember. Α 23 Do you know if they're listed on your

24

25

Α

Materials Considered list?

I don't know.

Page 204 1 If they're not listed there, does that 2 mean that you did not review them? 3 Α No. So there are things that you reviewed that 4 are not listed on your Materials Considered list; is 5 that correct? 6 Α Yes. And could you identify what those things 8 9 are for me? 10 Α I don't think so, no. 11 Could anybody identify what those things 0 12 are? 13 I don't know if other people can identify, Α 14 but not me. 15 You don't recall at this time? 16 I don't recall at this time. 17 MR. ORTIZ: Okay. We can take a break 18 here. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2.0 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the record 21 at 2:18. And this -- no. We're just going off 22 at 2:18. 23 (A brief recess is had from 2:18 p.m. to 24 2:38 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 25

Page 205 1 2:38. 2 BY MR. ORTIZ: Dr. Laber, did you talk to anybody about 3 the substance of your deposition during the break? 4 No, I have not. 5 Α Okay. Could you please turn to 53 of 6 7 Laber Exhibit 17, page 53, sir? 8 Α Page 53? 9 0 Um-hum. Excuse me. Let's -- let's 10 actually go to page 54. 11 Α I'm there. Thank you. 12 On page 54? 0 13 Α Yes. 14 And do you see the last paragraph says 15 Conclusion? Do you see that there? 16 Yes, I do. 17 And I've highlighted a little bit for convenience, but it says "Conclusion: 18 19 concludes that the epidemiological evidence for TCE 2.0 and leukemia from the occupational drinking water 21 studies is not strong but nevertheless sufficient to 22 at least reach equipoise." 23 Did I read that correctly? 24 Yes, you did. Α 25 Q So do you agree that ATSDR concluded that

the evidence is not sufficient for causation as between TCE and leukemias?

- Again, I haven't reviewed in details to agree or disagree but I am looking at the findings.
  - Could you turn to page 55, sir? 0
  - Yes. Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- You see the highlighted portion that says Conclusion?
  - Α Yes.
- And I'll read it. "Conclusion. Because of the limited number of epidemiological studies, the mixed results in the studies of dry cleaning workers who most likely have the highest exposures to PCE, and the uncertainties regarding the relevance of the finding of mononuclear-cell leukemia in the rat, ATSDR concludes that there is below evidence for causation -- below equipoise evidence for causation for PCE and leukemias."
  - Did I read that correctly?
- 2.0 Α Yes.
  - And could you go to the next page, sir? And do you see the highlighted line next to a paragraph in about the middle of the page?
    - Yes, I do. Α
  - And I'll read it. "Conclusion: Q Based on

1	the results of the meta analyses, the recent cohort
2	studies and the finding that occupational benzene
3	exposures associated with reductions in both
4	lymphoid and myeloid cell types, ATSDR concludes
5	there's sufficient evidence for causation for
6	benzene and all leukemia types, i.e., ALL, CLL, AML,
7	and CML."

Did I read that correctly?

- Α Yes, you did.
- And you'd agree with me that ATSDR does not identify what level of benzene exposure might be required to cause leukemias; correct?
- No, incorrect. I don't think that's what Α you read. You just read a final conclusion.
  - Right.
- I haven't reviewed all that with the levels and all that.
- Okay. Can you identify anywhere in this 0 document where they identify what level of benzene exposure is required to cause leukemias?
- Α I don't know. I haven't gone through all these studies looking for that particular question.
- But since I'm not an expert on that, I don't know if you want to spend the next seven hours on that, reviewing this, how many pages? Hundreds

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Page 208 1 of pages. 2 And, again, sir, this was a document that you independently reviewed; correct? 3 4 Yes, I did. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's marked 5 as Laber Exhibit 18. 6 7 (Exhibit 18 was marked.) BY MR ORTIZ: 8 9 I'm sorry. If you want to put some of the papers aside to clean up over there, that's fine 10 11 with me. 12 Α Thank you. 13 Too many dead trees. MS. GREENWALD: THE WITNESS: Nice and heavy. 14 15 BY MR. ORTIZ: 16 Do you recognize Laber Exhibit 18 as 17 ATSDR's public health assessment? 18 Α Yes. 19 And this is a document that you 2.0 independently reviewed; correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 And could you turn to page 80, please? 23 MS. GREENWALD: I'm sorry. What page did 24 you say? 25 MR. ORTIZ: Eighty.

Page 209 1 MS. GREENWALD: Thank you. 2 THE WITNESS: I'm there now. BY MR. ORTIZ: 3 Okay. I want to give your counsel a 4 5 second to get there. MS. GREENWALD: It's okay. I'm good. 6 7 Thank you. BY MR. ORTIZ: 8 9 0 And do you see --10 Α I beat you. 11 Do you see at the top, it says "Cancer Health Effects"? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 And the -- I'll just read the first 15 sentence of the second paragraph. "Because of the 16 uncertainties and conservatism inherent in deriving 17 the CSFs and IURs, this is only an estimate of risk; the true risk is unknown and could be as low as 18 19 zero." 2.0 Did I read that correctly? 21 Yes. Α 22 And CSFs, would you agree with me, refers to cancer slope factors? 23 I don't know. I cannot agree with you as 24 25 I don't see that here, but...

- Q Do you see that in the first paragraph?
- No, I don't. Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

- And does IURs, would you agree with me that that refers to inhalation unit risk?
  - I don't know. I don't see that anywhere.
- Okay. I'll read the first sentence of the first paragraph, "The estimated risk of developing cancer resulting from exposure to the contaminants was calculated by multiplying the site-specific child and adult exposure dose, by USEPA's corresponding cancer slope factor exposure concentration by the unit -- by the inhalation unit risk."
- Do you see that?
- 15 Α I see that.
  - Okay. And you independently reviewed this document to support your conclusion that it's more likely than not that there's a causal relationship between TCE, PCE, and benzene exposure in Camp Lejeune and CLL?
- 21 Objection, form. MS. GREENWALD:
- I think I already said that. 22 THE WITNESS:
- 23 I did review this. And my conclusion is the
- 24 one that's stated in my report.
- BY MR. ORTIZ: 25

1 Is there anything in particular that you can identify within this document acknowledging that 2 it's a large document to support that conclusion? 3 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 4 THE WITNESS: I haven't looked at that 5 particular question to answer that. 6 BY MR. ORTIZ: 7 And I'm going to hand you what will be 8 9 marked as Laber Exhibit 19. (Exhibit 19 was marked.) 10 11 BY MR. ORTIZ: 12 Do you recognize Laber Exhibit 19 as 0 ATSDR's morbidity study published in April 2018? 13 Yes, I do. 14 Α 15 And you independently reviewed this 16 document; correct? 17 Α Yes. Can you please turn to page 74 of the 18 0 19 document? And just let me know when you're there. 2.0 Α I'm there now. 21 Okay. And you see Table 6 sets out odds 22 ratios comparing cancers and other disease among 23 Camp Lejeune Marines with those of Camp Pendleton Marines? 24 25 Α I can see that.

Q And I've highlighted the results for leukemia and lymphomas.

Do you see that?

A Yeah. I see what you highlighted, yes.

Q And you see the result for leukemia was 0.97 with a confidence interval of 0.61 to 1.52.

Do you see that?

A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q And do you agree that that finding does not provide support hypothesis that exposure to contaminated drinking water at Camp Lejeune increases the risks of leukemia?

A No. I don't agree with that.

Q Why not?

A Because I haven't looked at the details on that. You just gave me one table. I will have to go again.

O All right.

A What they did and all the analysis and the variables that they considered, so...

Q Can you turn to page eight of the document, sir? And I've highlighted two sentences near the bottom and I'll read those aloud. "Some ORs for specific diseases in the tables are below 1.0 (e.g., for male infertility and lupus in the

1	internal analyses of Camp Lejeune Marines). These
2	ORs are interpreted as providing no support for our
3	hypotheses that the exposures to contaminated
4	drinking water at Camp Lejeune increased the risk
5	for these diseases."

Did I read that correctly?

Yes. Α

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And going back to page 74. We mentioned this earlier, but I don't think we discussed it in any detail.

You're aware of the concept of statistical significance?

Α Yes.

And do you recall testifying about that a little bit earlier today?

Testifying what?

You recall testifying about what it is, generally?

That I know the concept of statistical Α significance? Yes.

Would you agree that finding for leukemia there is not statistically significant?

No, I cannot agree outside of the context. Like I explained you, depends on how you do the study, which variables you input. The more

Page 214 1 variables, the less variables, the statistical significance will change, also, depending what they 2 consider statistical significant, what level of 3 statistics they want. 4 Do you see the result for lymphomas that 5 I've highlighted there? 6 Yes, I do. Α And it's 1.06 with a confidence interval 8 9 of 0.75 to 1.50; is that correct? Yes, it is. 10 Α 11 Do you regard 1.06 as a elevated odds 0 12 ratio? 13 It really depends on the variables and the Α context and all that. 14 15 Taken out of context, I cannot say much. 16 Do you have any kind of -- well, strike 0 17 that. 18 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Need to put less. 19 MR. ORTIZ: That's fine. 2.0 BY MR. ORTIZ: 21 Do you know what selection bias is? 0 22 Α Yes. 23 What is it? 0 When we are biased, according to the 24 25 population that were selected.

So if we want to find out something, but we're only looking at the population that we want to, the results might be different. And, again, I'm not giving you the scientific definition. I'm just giving you a personal interpretation of that.

Q Do you agree that selection bias could have impacted some of the analyses in this study?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I think why not. BY MR. ORTIZ:

Q Okay. I'm going to -- could you please look at page 11, sir? And let me know when you're

A Page 11. Yes. I'm there now.

Q I'll read at the top, the highlighted portion. "Second, selection bias could have impacted analyses comparing Camp Lejeune to Camp Pendleton, likely biasing results away from the null (potentially overestimating the effects of the exposures) because those at Camp Lejeune with health problems may have been more likely to participate than those at Camp Pendleton with health problems. The Camp Lejeune participants with health problems may have been more likely to participate because they were aware of the contaminated drinking water

2.0

there.

1 and believe they were affected by their exposures." 2 Did I read that correctly? 3 Α I think so. And can you turn to the next page, page 4 12, sir? And it says "Given the major limitations 5 of this study, ATSDR is conducting additional 6 research of the Camp Lejeune cohorts to help further evaluate the incidence of cancer in this 8 9 population." Did I read that correctly, sir? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Seems like you're a good reader. 13 0 Thank you. 14 Can you turn to page 76 of the document? 15 And let me know when you're there. 16 I'm there now. Thank you. 17 And page 76 contains Table 7, which is odds ratios for cumulative TCE exposure in Marines 18 19 at Camp Lejeune compared with those at Camp Pendleton; is that correct? 2.0 21 Odds ratio... Α 22 Yes. 23 And I've highlighted the results for convenience for leukemia and lymphomas. 24

25

Do you see that?

Page 217 1 Α I do. 2 And there's three categories: exposure, medium exposure, and high exposure; is 3 that correct? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 Do you know whether that data shows a 7 monotonic dose response relationship or not? I did not look at that. 8 9 Okay. Can you turn to 78, please? Let me 10 know -- are you there, sir? 11 Α I'm there. Thank you. 12 0 Fantastic. 13 Do you see that page 78 sets out Table 8 which is odds ratios for cumulative PCE exposure in 14 15 Marines at Camp Lejeune compared with those at Camp 16 Pendleton? 17 Yes. And, again, there are three categories, 18 0 19 low exposure, medium exposure, and high exposure? 2.0 Α Yes. 21 And I've highlighted the results for leukemia and lymphomas for convenience. 22 23 Do you see that? 24 Α Yes. And just as before, can you tell me 25 Q

whether those results show a monotonic dose response relationship or not?

> Α No, I cannot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Are you aware that this document was never published in a peer-reviewed journal?
- Α I didn't know what happened with this document after this.
  - And what is peer review?
- It's a process in which peers review manuscripts, in general.
- Is it an important part of the process of 0 publishing scientific literature?
- For some people, it is. For some people, Α might not. But it is something that we regularly do.
- Is it an important part of the process of publishing scientific literature for you?
  - I believe it's important. Α
- 19 And why is that?
  - Α Because it allows peers to review that and give opinions. That doesn't mean that the peers will know or will detect all the nuances of the manuscript but it's at least one way to take a look by somebody more detailed before publishing it.
    - Q I'm going to show you -- am I on 19?

Page 219 1 MS. GREENWALD: 20. 2 MR. ORTIZ: 20? MS. GREENWALD: 19 was the last one. 3 This will be 20. 4 Thank you. 5 MR. ORTIZ: 6 (Exhibit 20 was marked.) BY MR. ORTIZ: 7 I'm going to show you what's been marked 8 9 as Laber Exhibit 20. And do you recognize Laber Exhibit 20 as a copy of Bove, et al., 2024 entitled 10 11 Cancer Incidence Among Marines and Navy Personnel 12 and Civilian Workers Exposed to Industrial Solvents 13 in Drinking Water at US Marines Corps Base Camp 14 Lejeune: A Cohort Study? 15 Α Yes. 16 And you independently reviewed this 17 document; correct? 18 Α Yes. 19 And it's a cohort study involving two different cohorts; is that correct? 2.0 21 Α Yes. And the first cohort consists of Marines 22 23 and Navy personnel stationed at Camp Lejeune and Camp Pendleton between 1975 and 1985; correct? 24 25 Α Yes.

- Q And the second cohort consists of civilians employed at Camp Lejeune and Camp Pendleton between October 1972 and December 1985; correct?
  - A That's what it says here on the Methods.
- Q Can you turn to page seven of the document, sir?
  - A I'm there now. Thank you.
- Q Okay. And page seven contains Table 3 which sets out a comparison of cancer outcomes at Camp Lejeune versus Camp Pendleton among the Marines/Navy personnel subgroup who began active duty and were stationed at either base between 1975 and 1985; is that correct?
- 15 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

2.0

21

- Q And you see there are results for chronic lymphocytic leukemia that I've highlighted below?
- 18 A Yes.
  - Q And you see the adjusted hazard ratio is 1.02 with a confidence interval of one point -- or I'm sorry -- of 0.79 to 1.32?
- 22 A I see that.
- Q Do you agree that an odds ratio of 1.02 means that the risk is essentially unchanged?
  - A No. By itself, no. You really need to

know	the	numbers	and	you	need	to	know	the	other
varia	ables	S.							

- Q So when you refer to the numbers, what are you referring to?
- A You need to know the -- how many patients they included. These numbers are quite small. And with the confidence intervals are quite wide. So they won't be able to make any conclusions based on that.
- Q Okay. And for the record, the number of CLL cases at Camp Lejeune was 114 and the number of CLL cases at Camp Pendleton was 122; is that correct?
- A Yes. But if you look at the confidence interval, this could be highly statistical significant at 1.3 or could be less than 1.78 so you can't tell.
- Q Okay. And can you turn -- can you turn to page 10 of the document?
- A Yes.
- Q And you see that this contains Table 5, cancer outcomes by duration stationed at Camp Lejeune compared with Camp Pendleton between 1975 and 1985?
- 25 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

Page 222 1 Q Marine/Navy personnel subgroup. Do you see that? 2 Yes, I do. 3 Α And I've highlighted the results for CLL 4 0 below. You see that? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 And there's low duration at Camp Lejeune, medium duration at Camp Lejeune, and high duration 8 9 at Camp Lejeune. 10 Do you see that? 11 Α Yes. 12 Do you know whether that data shows a 13 monotonic dose response relationship for CLL? 14 I haven't looked at that question so I 15 cannot answer that. 16 All right. I'm handing you what's been 17 marked as Laber Exhibit 21. (Exhibit 21 was marked.) 18 19 BY MR. ORTIZ: 2.0 0 Do you recognize Laber Exhibit 21 as a 21 copy of Bove, et al., 2024, "Evaluation of Mortality Among Marines, Navy Personnel, and Civilian Workers 22 23 Exposed to Contaminated Drinking Water at USMC Base Camp Lejeune: A Cohort Study"? 24 25 You see that?

Α Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- And you independently reviewed this document; correct?
  - Did I listed this on my -- this is one of Α the new ones.
    - And if you turn to page 20 of your Fiolek Q report.
      - It's this one? А Uh-huh.
      - 0 Yes. If you look at reference --
- 10 Α Then, yes.
- 11 -- 30. Okay. So you agree that this is 0 one of the ones you independently reviewed? 12
- 13 If it's listed on my references, the Α answer is yes. 14
  - Okay. And if you -- and this study concerns the same cohorts as the previous study; correct?
  - I don't know. I don't know if it's exactly the same or they have added a subset of some patients or they limit it to some with others.
  - I'm not looking at the details of how they performed this study. I don't think it would be exactly the same study because otherwise, you know, probably it's the same twice.
    - Q This is a mortality study and the previous

1 study was an incidence study; is that correct?

- Let me see. That's one of the differences. I don't know if there are more than that.
- Okay. Could you turn to page eight of the 0 mortality study, sir?
  - Page eight. Okay. Α
- And it contains Table 4, which sets out hazard ratios and 95 percent confidence intervals for the Marines/Navy personnel subgroup analysis of base location at Camp Lejeune versus Camp Pendleton?
  - Α Um-hum. Yes.
- And about two-thirds down the page -- I apologize, I didn't highlight this one, but do you see that there are results for CLL?
- Yes, I do. А
- Okay. And do you see that the adjusted HR 0 is 0.89 with a confidence interval of 0.32 to 2.48.
- 19 Do you see that?
- 2.0 Α Yes.
- 21 Do you agree 0.89 reflects an 11 percent decrease in mortality from CLL for those at Camp 22 23 Lejeune compared to those at Camp Pendleton?
- 24 Α No.
  - Q Why not?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 Α Because you have a total of 15 patients.
  - Is that number just too low to draw that 0 conclusion?
  - Right. And, plus, you have a confidence Α interval that goes as high as 2.59. So there's a chance that they will have a two and a half chance of increased mortality or they could even live longer if they have that, which would be highly unlikely to diagnosis somebody with cancer and tell them that you're going to live longer than the normal population.
  - Would you agree that result is not statistically stable?
- 14 I don't know what you mean with 15 "statistically stable." I haven't used that 16 terminology.
  - Okay. I'm going to hand you what will be marked as Laber Exhibit 22.
- 19 (Exhibit 22 was marked.)
- 2.0 BY MR. ORTIZ:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

- 21 Here you go, sir. And do you recognize 0 Laber Exhibit 22 as Cohn, et al.? And it --22
- 23 Is it Cohn, et al.?
- It's an article -- my apologies. 24 25 article written by Perry Cohn and colleagues,

entitled "Drinking Water Contamination and the Incidence of Leukemia and Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma."

Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q And you cite this document in your reports; correct? Well, let me -- let me direct you to page 11 of your Fiolek report.

A Page 11. Okay.

Q And the final -- the last paragraph on page 11 reads -- and I'll read it -- "I have reviewed the New Jersey study conducted by Cohn, et al., 1994, which reports that in areas with total volatile organic compound concentrations above 20 parts per billion, there was an observed increased risk of Non-Hodgkin lymphoma among women.

"The study further identified that exposure to trichlorethylene at levels of five parts per billion was associated with an increased risk of NHL in both women and men.

"Additionally, exposure to tetrachloroethylene at the same concentration level was linked to an increased risk of NHL, particularly high grade NHL among women."

Do you see that?

A I do.

Page 227 1 Q And did I read that correctly? 2 Yes, you did. Α Did you assume that in the Cohn article 3 that they categorized CLL as a subtype of 4 leukemia -- or sorry, as a subtype of NHL? 5 6 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 7 THE WITNESS: I did not assume anything. 8 BY MR. ORTIZ: 9 Okay. Let me direct you to page 558 of 0 the Cohn study. And let me know when you're there, 10 11 sir. 12 Α I'm there. Thank you. 13 And do you see Table 1 sets out the number 0 14 of reported cases, age-adjusted rate ratios, and 95 percent confidence intervals for leukemias in the 15 16 study by TCE exposure category. You see that? 17 Tell me again what you're trying to show. Α 18 0 Yeah. 19 Because I see that Table 1. Α 2.0 0 You see Table 1? 21 I do. Α And you see that there is data for chronic 22 23 lymphocytic leukemia. It's the third one down. Do you see that? 24 25 Α Yes, I do.

1	Q And you did not cite or discuss that data
2	in your reports; correct?
3	A Why do you say that?
4	Q On page 11 of your reports, which I just
5	read, you referred to certain data concerning
6	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; is that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And you don't refer to the data concerning
9	CLL; is that correct?
10	A No. I don't see that that's correct.
11	Q So do you refer to the CLL data in your
12	reports?
13	A Well, it's a general reference. So I'm
14	referring to the whole paper. I might quote certain
15	parts of the paper but that doesn't mean I'm not
16	quoting the other parts when I'm not using the other
17	parts. I'm referring to the whole paper.
18	Q On page 11, do you set out any of the data
19	specifically concerning CLL that's set out in
20	Table 1?
21	A I might not felt like writing this on the
22	report but I did put this as a reference on my

Can you turn to page 560 of this document,

paper.

sir?

23

24

25

Q

Page 229 1 MS. GREENWALD: You said 550? 2 MR. ORTIZ: 560. 3 MS. GREENWALD: Sixty. Sorry. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I'm there. 4 BY MR. ORTIZ: 5 And you see Table 3? 6 Q 7 Yes, I do. Α And you see that there is data concerning 8 9 CLL and PCE -- or sorry. Yes. PCE. Do you see that there? 10 11 Α I think it's TCE, isn't it? It threw me off. I didn't notice this. 12 Т 13 believe that there's a typo in the document. 14 Table 3 at the top says number of reported cases, 15 age-adjusted rate ratios and confidence interval for 16 leukemias in the northern New Jersey study area, 17 1979 to 1987, by perchloroethylene, PCE exposure 18 category and sex, all races. 19 But then you're correct, the table at the 2.0 top left says TCE exposure, but I believe that's 21 just a typo. 22 Α Okay. 23 And do you see that there's data for CLL listed down there in Table 3? 24 25 Α I see that.

Q	And,	like	as	with	the	data	from	Table	1
concerning	g CLL	, woul	d 2	ou a	gree	that	you d	didn't	
specifica	lly c	ite or	g qu	ıote	that	data	conce	erning	CLI
in Table	3?								

A No. I don't think I agree with you. I think by just me citing the paper, it was beyond the scope of my review to cite every detailed table of every paper I received on my expert report.

Q Okay.

2.0

A It was way beyond the scope of my expert report.

Q Could you turn to the bottom of page 559 of this study? And let me know when you're there.

A I'm there now.

Q It says -- I'm going to start with the last two words, "However, this," and then going to page 560. "This study is susceptible to misclassification of exposure due to the lack of individual information on long-term residence and actual exposure which varies according to the quantity of water consumed from the tap, use of home water filtration, and the amount of inhaled compounds volatilized during non-drinking uses such as showering, laundering, and dish washing."

Did I read that correctly?

- A I missed part of your reading. You read faster than I was reading.
  - Q I apologize.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A You want to read it again?
- Q You want me to read it again?
- A Yeah. That would be great.
  - Q Okay. It says "However, this study is susceptible to misclassification of exposure due to the lack of individual information on long-term residence and actual exposure, which varies according to the quantity of water consumed from the tap, use of home water filtration, and the amount of inhaled compounds volatilized during non-drinking uses such as showering, laundering, and dish washing."
    - Did I read that correctly?
- 17 A Yeah. You read it better than I would 18 have. Thank you.
  - Q Thank you. Could you look back at page 559? And do you see the part that says Other Contaminants, that header?
    - A Yes, I do.
  - Q And under it, it says "No association was detected between leukemia or NHL incidence and THMs or with other non-THM VOCs, such as benzene,

1,1,1-trichloroethylene, carbon tetrachloride, and trans-1,2-dichloroethylene."

Did I read that correctly?

A I think so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q So they found no association between leukemia or NHL incidence and benzene; correct?
- A No. I'm not here to say what they found or what they found. We're just reading from it.
- Q That's what the authors of this study say; is that correct?
- A I'm not here to argue with what the author says. If you want to take it as it says, then we'll just stay with what they say. But I'm not going to agree or disagree with what the author says.
- Q Dr. Laber, returning to page 11 of your reports, which are Laber Exhibits 3 and 4, you state "I also have read materials relating to general causation for benzene, TCE, and PCE."
  - A Tell me again where to start that, please.
  - O Page 11.
  - A Page 11. Are you at a particular part?
- Q Yeah. The paragraph, second from the bottom. And you state "I also have read materials relating to general causation for benzene, TCE, and PCE, including the general causation reports of

1 Drs. Felsher, Hu, Gilbert, Bird, and Mallon, which I rely on based on their experiences in the field of 2

- epidemiology." 3
- Do you see that? 4
- I do. 5 Α

6

7

8

9

10

- Did you review -- other than the material -- other than the studies that we've discussed so far and the general causation reports that are listed there, did you review any other materials relating to general causation?
- Α Yes.
- 12 Can you tell me what those are?
- 13 Α No.
- 14 Why not? 0
- 15 Whatever I remembered, I wrote it down.
- But at the same time, I was actually doing 16
- 17 literature search and looking at things that I
- didn't write down. So it's not that I don't want 18
- 19 to. I would love to, but I did review other things.
- 2.0 0 Okay. And did you perform any independent
- 21 literature search or review?
- Yes. As I said, I performed many 22
- 23 independent literature search and review, many
- 24 papers.
- 25 When I'm briefing through papers, most of

the time I read them online and then move on to another one.

- Okay. And did you retain a list of the search terms that you used to conduct that independent literature search?
  - No. Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

23

- And did you retain a copy of any of the results that were generated by any search terms for that independent literature search?
- Just for the records, I do multiple Α No. literature search every week, sometimes every day. But some days I actually rest from this. So it would be exhausting to keep all of them.
  - And -- are you done? 0
  - Thank you. Sorry about that. А
- And do you recall that in the general causation reports of Drs. Felsher, Hu, Gilbert, Bird, and Mallon, there were many studies cited?
- Α Yes. Each one of the reports cited studies.
- 21 Did you independently review any of those 0 studies? 22
  - Α Some of them, yes.
  - Can you tell me which ones? Q
- I don't recall. 25 Α

1	Q Did you write down anywhere which ones?
2	A I don't think so.
3	Q So is there any way to tell, now, which
4	ones you might have reviewed and which ones you
5	didn't?
6	A If I know the answer, maybe I'll remember
7	which one. But if I don't know the answer or I
8	forgot, then that would be very difficult.
9	Q There's no document or source or anything
L 0	that I could look at to determine which ones you
L1	reviewed and which ones you didn't?
L2	A Not that I know of. I did not make any
L 3	document on that.
L 4	Q So short of you remembering a specific
L 5	study, there'd be no way to tell. Is that fair?
L 6	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
L 7	THE WITNESS: No. I don't know. I don't
L 8	know exactly what would be fair or not but I
L 9	think that's how it is.
20	BY MR. ORTIZ:
21	Q Did you review any reports that were
22	authored by United States expert, Dr. Julie Goodman?
23	A I probably did.
24	Q Do you recall if you did or not?
25	A I'm almost sure I did because when I read

1	from these authors, many times I go back and I take
2	a look at what they have done. But I cannot recall
3	a particular study that I can quote at this moment.
4	Q Do you know who Dr. Julie Goodman is?
5	A I think you just said, is an
6	epidemiologist.
7	Q And do you know who Dr. Peter Shields is?
8	A I suspect another epidemiologist, but I'm
9	guessing.
10	Q Did you review the report that Dr. Peter
11	Shields disclosed on behalf of the United States in
12	this
13	A I think it's confusing for me when you
14	just quote names. If we attach the names with
15	something that happens, that will actually be more
16	helpful and I can give you a more accurate answer.
17	Q Okay. Did you review Dr. Peter Shields'
18	report in this litigation?
19	A If I documented that and I gave you as a
20	list of things, then, yes, I did.
21	Q And if it's not listed on your Materials
22	Considered list, then is it fair
23	A Then I might or might not.

ever heard of the National Academy of the Sciences?

You might have or not. Okay. Have you

24

	rage 237
1	A Yes.
2	Q What is the National Academy of the
3	Sciences?
4	A I don't know the details on that. I heard
5	of that but I don't know the details.
6	Q Are you aware that they issued a report
7	concerning Camp Lejeune in 2009?
8	A If I've listed there, then I am aware. If
9	I don't list it, then I'm not.
10	Q Have you reviewed any transcripts of the
11	depositions of any other experts in this litigation?
12	A Only the ones that I listed.
13	Q Would you agree that if there is not a
14	causal relationship between TCE, PCE, and benzene
15	exposure at Camp Lejeune and CLL, then exposure to
16	those chemicals at Camp Lejeune could not have
17	caused Mr. Fiolek's CLL?
18	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
19	THE WITNESS: I lost you.
20	BY MR. ORTIZ:
21	Q I'll repeat the question. Would you agree
22	that if there is not a causal relationship between
23	TCE. PCE, and benzene exposure at Camp Lejeune and

CLL, then exposure to those chemicals at Camp

Lejeune could not have caused Mr. Fiolek's CLL?

24

1	MS. GREENWALD: Same objection.
2	THE WITNESS: I think you read on my
3	report and my conclusions, that there is an
4	association, and so I don't know why you're
5	saying the opposite. You're trying for me to
6	say the opposite of what I wrote in my report?
7	BY MR. ORTIZ:
8	Q No, sir. I'm saying, if there is not a
9	causal relationship between TCE
LO	A But that's not what I said in my report.
L1	Q For the
L2	A The exact opposite is what I said in my
L3	report.
L 4	Q Dr. Laber, let me finish the question,
L 5	please, sir.
L 6	A Sorry about that. Apologize.
L7	Q My question is: If there is not a causal
L 8	relationship between PCE, TCE, and benzene exposure
L9	at Camp Lejeune and CLL, would you agree that
20	exposure to those chemicals at Camp Lejeune could
21	not have caused Mr. Fiolek's CLL?
22	MS. GREENWALD: Same objection.
23	THE WITNESS: I think it's pure
24	speculation. Really, I do. I don't agree with
25	your statement.

Page 239 1 BY MR. ORTIZ: And would the answer be the same as to 2 3 Mr. Gleesing? MS. GREENWALD: Same objection. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. ORTIZ: Do you all want to take a 6 7 break? I'm at a good point. That would be great. 8 THE WITNESS: 9 MS. GREENWALD: It's up to you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you. You 10 11 see me jittery. 12 VIDEOGRAPHER: One second. We are going 13 off the record at 3:21. 14 (A brief recess is had from 3:21 p.m. to 15 3:33 p.m.) 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 17 3:33. And this begins media unit number four. BY MR. ORTIZ: 18 19 Dr. Laber, did you talk to anyone about 0 2.0 the substance of your deposition during the break? 21 Α No. 22 All right. I want to go back to Laber 23 Exhibit 2, or Laber Exhibit 2, excuse me, the responses and objections to our subpoena. Do you 24 25 have that in front of you, sir?

1	A	I	do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q Can you turn to page nine of the document?
  Or sorry, excuse me. Page 13 of the document. My fault.
  - A I'm there now.
- Q And do you see -- well, before I ask that. Do you understand that we served a subpoena in this case that requested certain documents?
  - A I understand that.
- Q Okay. And one of our requests was set out here, is request number nine. Do you see that?
- 12 A I do.
  - Q And it requests a current copy of any and all medical questionnaires and/or intake forms you utilized in your medical practice with your patients or provide to your patients in your medical practice for their completion; is that correct?
    - A I see that, yes.
  - Q Does your practice utilize such medical questionnaires?
  - A I don't know.
  - Q So would you -- do you have any knowledge about what those questionnaires say on them?
  - A No.
    - Q Okay. And could you turn to page 14?

1 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

24

25

Q Well, actually, let me take that back.

Let me refer you back to request number 10 on
page 13. Do you see that?

A Yes.

all publications, articles, reports, studies, or documents including toxicological, epidemiological, or medical studies that you reviewed after February 7, 2025 and that are not identified in your reports, reference lists, or Materials Considered list; is that correct?

And request number 10 requests a copy of

A Yes.

Q And are you aware that your counsel served a supplemental Materials Considered list on July 3rd of 2025?

A Yes.

Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as -- we're on 22; correct?

CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER: 23.

MR. ORTIZ: 23. Thank you.

(Exhibit 23 was marked.)

23 BY MR. ORTIZ:

Q And do you recognize Laber Exhibit 23 as the Additional Materials Considered dated July 3rd,

Page 242 1 2025 in the case of Mr. Fiolek? 2 I see that. And it identifies four United States 3 reports and then two sets of plaintiff medical 4 records. You see that? 5 6 I see that. 7 And I'm going to hand you Laber Exhibit 24. 8 9 (Exhibit 24 was marked.) BY MR. ORTIZ: 10 11 And do you agree that -- or do you 0 12 recognize Laber Exhibit 24 as Additional Materials 13 Considered dated July 3rd of 2025 in Mr. Gleesing's 14 case? 15 Yes, I see that. 16 And there are four United -- reports of 17 United States experts listed there as well as three sets of plaintiff medical records? 18 19 Δ Yes. 2.0 Is there anything else that you considered 21 after February 7th of 2025 that is not listed on these two supplemental materials considered lists? 22

Not that I can think of, no.

23

24

25

a testifying expert in this litigation?

Dr. Laber, when were you first retained as

	A	I th	ink	I alrea	ady an	swered	that.	I	think
that	was	about	two	years	ago.				

- I believe that was in reference to the Zantac litigation. But is that the same answer in reference to this litigation?
  - Α What?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- I believe your answer before was in reference to the Zantac litigation.
- I never told you anything about that. I never told you when I was retained for the Zantac.
- You don't recall giving that testimony earlier today?
- Well, then I -- then I misunderstood your Α question.
- Okay.
  - I never -- I never answered -- as far as I know, I do not know when I was retained for the Zantac litigation.
  - Okay. How about this litigation? Do you know?
  - So if I -- let me just correct. For the record, if, in my -- in this deposition, at any given point, I made you think that I answered to when I was retained for the Zantac litigation, that was not accurate because I do not know the answer to

Page 244 1 that. When I answered in the past during this 2 deposition about when I was retained, my impression 3 was that I was asked when I was retained for this 4 Camp Lejeune litigation. 5 Okay. 6 Q 7 So my impression for the Camp Lejeune is 8 about two years ago. 9 Okay. Well, thank you. I appreciate the clarification. 10 11 Do you recall who contacted you? 12 Α No. Before your retention, do you recall what 13 14 you were told about this litigation? 15 Α No. 16 MS. GREENWALD: Again, to the extent these 17 are discussions with attorneys before -- never mind. I withdraw what I'm about to say. 18 BY MR. ORTIZ: 19 2.0 0 Do you need me to repeat the question? 21 MS. GREENWALD: He already answered it before I spouted, but... 22 23 MR. ORTIZ: Okay. I'll move on.

Before your retention, what information

24

25

BY MR. ORTIZ:

Q

	Page 245
1	were you given about this litigation?
2	A I don't remember.
3	Q Before your retention, had you read
4	anything about Camp Lejeune?
5	A I don't remember. I think the first time
6	I really read more detail was when I was contacted
7	for this case.
8	Before that, it was just regular news that
9	I might have heard in the past but not much more
10	than that.
11	Q You had never studied it in any detail
12	before your retention. Is that fair to say?
13	A I don't think so.
14	Q Okay. Why not?
15	Oh, it's correct how I said it?
16	A (No verbal response.)
17	Q You're agreeing with me?
18	Thank you.
19	And did any information you received or
20	reviewed before your retention affect your opinions
21	that you gave in your two reports?
22	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.
23	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the
24	question, honestly. What are you trying to ask
25	me?

Page 246 1 BY MR. ORTIZ: 2 I can rephrase. 0 Sure. Was there any information about Camp 3 Lejeune and the water contamination issues there 4 that you became aware of before your retention that 5 6 affected your opinions that you gave in this litigation? MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Before my retention, I don't think I had enough information to have any kind 10 11 of opinion. 12 BY MR. ORTIZ: Okay. I'm going to hand you Laber 13 Exhibit 25, sir. 14 15 (Exhibit 25 was marked.) 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 BY MR. ORTIZ: And Laber Exhibit 25 is a copy of your CV 18 0 dated January 10th of 2025; is that correct? 19 2.0 Α Yes. 21 And this is the most current copy of your CV; is that correct? 22 23 Α Yes. And it supersedes the copy of your CV that 24 25 was attached to your reports and dated August 2022?

	Page 247
1	A Yes.
2	Q And you prepared your CV?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And it accurately sets out your education,
5	training, and experience?
6	A I think so. Mistakes can happen but I
7	think it's accurate.
8	Q You're not aware of any mistakes?
9	A No. If I was aware, I would have
L O	corrected it.
L1	Q And you didn't omit anything from your CV?
L 2	A I don't know what you mean with "omit." I
L 3	do lots of things that are not included in my CV.
L <b>4</b>	Q Can you think of anything specifically
L 5	that you didn't include in your CV?
L 6	A Yeah. There are multiple lectures,
L 7	conferences that I do on a regular basis that I
L 8	don't include because it would be too much.
L 9	Q And is that why you don't include them?
20	It would just be too much?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Do you know if any of those lectures or
23	other things that you omitted had anything to do
24	with the chemicals at issue?
25	MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: I cannot recall anything important on that.

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q Did they have anything to do with CLL?
- A Yes. CLL, I speak about that all the time. So I teach fellows, residents, on a regular basis.
  - Q And is there any way to identify what those lectures or other things that are not on your CV but relate to CLL, is there any way to identify what those are?
    - A Yes. By me telling you.
      - Q Could you tell me what those are?
- A Yeah, just regular lectures about CLL.
- Q Could you tell me specifically what they

  are?
  - A Yeah. About the management, treatment, drugs, side effects.
  - Q But you couldn't -- not to be pedantic, but you couldn't tell me on a specific date that the contents of a lecture you gave on CLL, you wouldn't be able to tell me that level of detail; is that right?
  - A On a particular day? I can hardly remember what I had for breakfast today. Actually,

- 1 | I knew. I know. Nothing, but...
  - Q And you practice as an oncologist and hematologist here in Tampa, Florida; correct?
    - A Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q You're employed with the Moffitt Cancer Center here?
- A Cancer center, yes.
  - Q How many hours a week do you see cancer patients?
    - A Too many.
      - Q Do you have an estimate?
  - A Some weeks, I work 24/7 and I'm on call two weeks straight. For example, today, while we were running here, I have three nurse practitioners, each one reporting about eight to 10 patients. And tomorrow, I am going need to see all of those.
  - Monday, on top of that, I also have a clinic that I saw another 17 patients. So it depends on the week, it depends on the day.
  - Q And you've been retained before as an expert in litigation; correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q And you get paid to do all that work; is that right?
  - A Same as you, I work for money, otherwise I

		-7 -	2.1
wouldr	Ι'Τ.	ao	1 T

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q Do you have an estimate as to how much money you've received for that work since 2020?

MS. GREENWALD: Objection, to form.

THE WITNESS: No, I do not.

## BY MR. ORTIZ:

Q Can you provide any kind of estimate?

A I haven't counted that. But it is the minority of my salary. The big part of my salary is basically -- I'm a professor of medicine, tenured with 25 years of experience in oncology. So I'm at the highers salary cap for a professor at Moffitt.

Q And you've sort of anticipated my next question. Can you give me any kind of percentage of your annual income, let's say, in 2024, that came from your work as a witness in litigation?

A I haven't quantified so it would not be accurate, but I would expect maybe no -- no more than five, 10 percent, at the most.

Q Does your payment ever depend on the outcome of a case?

A No.

Q Does your payment in these cases depend on the outcome of the case?

A No.

	Page 251
1	Q I'm going to hand you Laber Exhibit 26,
2	sir.
3	(Exhibit 26 was marked.)
4	BY MR. ORTIZ:
5	Q Do you recognize Laber Exhibit 26 as a
6	copy of your fee schedule for your work in these
7	cases?
8	A Yes, I do.
9	Q Do you know how much you billed to date in
10	this litigation?
11	A No, I do not.
12	Q Do you have any ability to give me a
13	ballpark estimate?
14	A I think they have provided you the billing
15	records so you should have it instead of me
16	guessing.
17	Q And is your fee schedule in this case the
18	same as fee schedules you've used in other cases?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Before this litigation, have you ever
21	worked as an expert witness for any of the law firms
22	that are part of the PLG or Plaintiffs' Leadership
23	Group?
24	A I don't know exactly what you call the
25	leadership group

1 Q Sure
----------

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- There is a firm that even called me for a couple of cases of medical malpractice also that I think are part of this also, but I don't know what leadership group is.
- Is it -- is it fair to say that -- well, do you recall earlier testifying that you have not been an expert in a toxic tort case before?
- Well, I told you that -- that's not what I said. What I said is I was not sure what that means and what it is. Usually I don't focus exactly on the legal part. I focus more on the medical part. I leave the legal part to the attorneys.
- Are you most often retained as an expert in cases alleging medical malpractice?
  - Yes.
- And you're opining on the standard of care and whether or not that standard of care was met or not met in a particular case?
- Α Majority's more causation than standard of care.
  - More causation than standard of care? 0
- 23 Α Yes.
- And those would be cases -- do they often 24 25 involve claims about failure to diagnose cancer?

Page 253 1 Α The majority, yes. That's my thinking, 2 but... I'm going to hand you Laber Exhibit 27, 3 4 sir. (Exhibit 27 was marked.) 5 6 BY MR. ORTIZ: 7 Do you recognize Laber Exhibit 27 as a list of cases in which you've given testimony at 8 9 deposition or at trial? Α 10 Yes. 11 And there's over 40 cases listed here; is 12 that correct? 13 A Yes. I haven't counted it, but, yes. Looks like. 14 15 And there's a column that lists attorney 16 names. 17 Do you see that? T do. 18 Α 19 Are those attorneys who retained you on behalf of his or her client? 2.0 21 It might have been the one that retained me or the one that took over the case or something 22 23 like that. It's the one that I just remember. 24 The one that was responsible for your 25 engagement?

А	Yeah.	But s	someti	mes t	they	change	be	cause
attorneys	might	leave	a pra	actice	e and	anothe	er	one
takes over	r and	things	like	that	•			

Understood. 0

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

In any of these cases, can you identify any one where you were retained on behalf of a defendant?

I don't think I put there which one was defendant and which one was plaintiff, so I don't want to make mistakes.

But so I can't tell you for sure.

- Would you be surprised if I said that I 0 looked up the lawyers, many of the lawyers on this list, and what I saw online, they represent plaintiffs typically?
- I wouldn't be surprised. I think the majority that get to deposition, which is this list, this is to deposition or trial, are usually from plaintiff. So most of the time when I'm involved on the defense, they usually settle quicker and we don't get to depositions.
- Have you been retained as an expert in cases that are not on this list?
- This is not a -- this is not an Yes. extensive. That's why -- even on top, I put an

approximatel	ly because	Ι	don't	keep	а	list.	So	this
list is not	accurate.							

- So let me just make sure I can clarify. So this list contains all the cases where you gave testimony at deposition or trial; right?
  - Α No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- It does not? There are other cases where you gave testimony at deposition or trial?
- There could be. This is the ones that I could remember or I could find anything that I could call.
  - 0 Okay.
- But that's why I say it's approximate. don't keep a list so I cannot state the accuracy of I think it's pretty good, but I cannot tell you it includes all of them.
- Do you know how many times you've been retained as an expert regardless of whether or not you gave testimony?
- Α I don't know what you mean with that. Is that -- so when you say "testimony," that means that I had to give a deposition or a trial?
  - Correct.
- So that I have -- so cases that I've reviewed that never got to the deposition or trial?

	Page 256
1	Q Correct.
2	A I think the vast majority.
3	Q No. So sorry. Let me try that again.
4	Do you know how many cases you've been
5	retained as an expert at all?
6	A No.
7	Q Okay. More than 40, is it fair to say?
8	A Yes.
9	Q More than a hundred?
L 0	A I don't think so.
L 1	Q Somewhere between 40 and a hundred?
L 2	A That would be my guess. But, again,
L 3	highly inaccurate.
L 4	Q Did you prepare for this deposition?
L 5	A Yes.
L 6	Q How did you do so?
L 7	A I went back and reviewed a lot of the
L 8	material that I have reviewed in the past and I
L 9	looked at tried to search for other things, if
20	anything was happening. I discussed with the
21	attorneys.
22	Q Did you meet with the attorneys?
23	A We meet via electronically.
24	Q And I don't want to know what was said,
25	but who did you meet with?

Page 257 1 I think Attorney Greenwald and Attorney 2 Gjonaj, if I say correctly. Sorry, Diana. 3 And was there anyone else there? 4 For the preparation for the deposition, I 5 6 don't think so, unless there was somebody at their firm that I didn't identify electronically. Do you know how many times you met to 8 9 prepare for this deposition? 10 Α Two. 11 Do you know how long those meetings 0 12 lasted? 13 About an hour and a half to two hours. Α 14 Do you recall the dates of those meetings? 0 15 One was yesterday. The other one was last Α 16 week. Did you review any documents to prepare 17 for this deposition? 18 19 Δ Yes. 2.0 0 Do you recall what documents? 21 Many of the ones that are listed there. Α 22 And was that to refresh your recollection 23 about them? 24 Α Yes. Could you tell me specifically what 25 Q

- 1 documents you reviewed to refresh your recollection?
  - I think the one that are listed.
  - In your reports?
  - In my reports and in the list of documents that were provided to me.
    - Do you recall when you reviewed them? Q
  - During the last week. Well, some on Α Saturday, some on Sunday, some on Monday, some on Friday.
  - Which one, which day, I cannot tell you for sure. Sorry for that.
  - And many of those documents we've 0 discussed today?
- 14 Α Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- And in many instances, you were unable to recall details about those documents; is that correct?
- Α Well, I can never predict what you are going to ask me. If I knew what you were going to ask me, I could have actually looked at those details.
- And you've testified at trial twice; is 22 23 that correct?
- Yes. I think so. I don't remember a 24 25 third time so, so far, I can tell you that.

Q And you testified at trial in the Teresa Hendrix case in December of 2022?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q Did you testify on behalf of the plaintiff in that case?
- A On behalf of -- yes.
  - Q What was that case about?
    - A It was a colon cancer case.
    - Q Do you recall what you testified about?
- A It was I think a missed diagnosis, that they failed to diagnose a colon cancer. And by the time she was diagnosed was -- I don't remember exactly how much time later. So it was a more advanced stage than when it should have been diagnosed.
  - Q Do you have a copy of the trial transcript that contains your testimony?
    - A No, I do not.
- 19 Q And you also testified at trial in the 20 Lohman case in November of 2023; is that correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q And you testified on behalf of plaintiff
  in that case?
- 24 A I think so too.
- 25 \ Q And what was that case about?

A	I don't remember	exactly the	e details but I
think it	was a lung cancer	case. But	apologize if
I'm mista	ıken.		
•	m1		

Q That's fine.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

And what did you testify about?

A I think it was also some failure to diagnose. By the time it was diagnosed, it was later.

But, again, I cannot tell you with certainty. If I am mistaken, I apologize.

- Q Do you have a copy of the trial transcript containing your testimony?
  - A No, I do not.
  - Q Have you ever testified about CLL before?
- A I don't remember, but it could certainly be possible.
- Q Have you ever testified about leukemia before?
  - A I am almost sure, yes.
- Q Would that be in the context of a failure to diagnose-type claim?
  - A There's a good likelihood like that or maybe the wrong treatment or some complications from that that were mismanaged or something like that.
    - Q Have you ever testified about bladder

Page 261 1 cancer or UTUC before? 2 I think so. Α And do you recall -- well, was that also 3 in a failure to diagnose-type claim? 4 Most likely but I cannot tell you for 5 sure. I don't have the records in front of me to 6 tell you accurate. Have you ever testified about causation of 8 9 either of those cancers before? I think so, but, again, I'm not -- can't 10 11 tell you for sure. 12 Can you identify the case in which you 13 gave such testimony? 14 No. Α 15 You can't identify it from the list of 16 cases --17 Α No. -- in which you've testified? 18 0 19 Not by the name or the name of the А 2.0 attorney or the city. 21 So there's no way for me to discover what case that was? 22 23 The number of patients that I see every day in clinic and in the hospital, there could be a 24

similar name with different cancers that I will be

Page 262 1 afraid of my memory tricking me and giving you the 2 wrong information. 3 Can you give me to the best of your 4 recollection? MS. GREENWALD: Objection to form, asked 5 and answered. 6 7 THE WITNESS: That's what I just did. BY MR. ORTI7: 8 9 0 Is the -- okay. Strike that. Have you ever testified about TCE before? 10 11 What do you mean with TCE? Α TCE? 12 Have you ever testified about TCE before? 0 13 What do you mean with TCE? Α What --14 Trichloroethylene. 0 15 I don't remember doing that, no. Α 16 How about PCE? 0 17 Α No. How about benzene? 18 0 19 I don't think so. But if I'm mistaken, А 20 apologize for that. 21 Have you ever been sued before? 22 For what? Α 23 Anything. Q Yes. I went through a divorce. That was 24 not fun. 25

		Page 263
1	Q	Anything besides that?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Okay. Have you ever sued somebody before?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And what was that?
6	A	I sued the University of Oklahoma for not
7	paying th	ne bonuses that they owe me. And I won.
8	Q	You were an employee of the University of
9	Oklahoma	?
L O	A	Yes, I was.
L1	Q	And your employment came to an end?
L 2	A	Yes.
L 3	Q	And why did it come to an end?
L <b>4</b>	A	Because I moved to Florida.
L 5	Q	And the claim in that case related to
L 6	unpaid bo	onuses?
L 7	A	Yes. Yes. Sorry about that.
L 8	Q	Were there any other claims in that case?
L 9	A	Not that I recall.
20	Q	Do you recall a defamation claim in that
21	case?	
22	A	But that was not they actually removed
23	that and	they apologized. So we never even got to
24	that.	
25	Q	So you did allege a claim for defamation

l   in t	hat case?	)

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

- Well, they -- they actually wrote the wrong things, yes. And they had to write a letter and apologize and all that.
- But that was one of the legal claims that you made against them?
- But that was removed, I think, because Α once they -- we basically agreed.
- Have you been a plaintiff in any other lawsuits?
- Α Not that I can recall.
- 12 MR. ORTIZ: Maybe we just take a
- five-minute break so I can make sure I'm done? 13
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 15 MR. ORTIZ: We are going off the record at
- 16 3:58.
- 17 (A brief recess is had from 3:58 p.m. to
- 18 4:09 p.m.)
- VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 19
- 2.0 4:09.
- 21 BY MR. ORTIZ:
- Dr. Laber, did you talk to anybody about 22
- 23 the substance of your deposition during the break?
- 24 Α No.
- 25 Q And I want to follow up on one thing. You

Page 265 1 recall we were speaking about a defamation claim that you alleged against the University of Oklahoma. 2 Do you recall that? 3 Α I do. 4 What was the basis of the defamation 5 6 claim? I don't remember all the details, no. Α 8 Do you remember --9 Α It was too far. Apologies. Do you remember what the 10 University of Oklahoma said that you alleged to be 11 12 defamatory? 13 I don't remember the details on that. No. 14 You can't provide any details concerning 15 that event? 16 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form, asked and 17 answered. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. 18 19 BY MR. ORTIZ: 2.0 Have we discussed all your opinions as to 0 21 Mr. Fiolek? 22 As far as I can think so, yes. 23 Have we discussed all your opinions as to 24 Mr. Gleesing? 25 Α I think so, yes.

1 Are there any answers to my questions that 2 you want to change? 3 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. BY MR. ORTIZ: 4 5 You can go ahead and answer. 6 I think I already mentioned if I misstate some of that. 7 8 And I want to state, again, that my 9 opinions, at least in my expert report, if one of your questions misstated what I said, and I might 10 11 have agreed by mistake, that was just wrong, I'm 12 going back to what I wrote in my expert report. 13 0 Is there anything else you'd like to add? 14 I think that's good. А No. 15 MR. ORTIZ: And before I pass the witness, 16 I want to put on the record that it's clear 17 that Mr. -- Dr. Laber's Materials Considered 18 lists are incomplete and we're going to reserve 19 the right to hold open this deposition pending 2.0 clarification of what he actually considered. 21 So we want to put that on the record. 22 MS. GREENWALD: Okay. Well, we can take 23 that up another time. 24 Are you passing? MR. ORTIZ: 25 Yeah.

1 MS. GREENWALD: Okay. So I need a little bit of time. So -- are you in that room there? 2 3 I'll just knock on the door when we're ready. MR. ORTIZ: That's fine with me. 4 MS. GREENWALD: Okay. I don't think we'll 5 need more than 15 minutes, but you'll probably 6 want --VIDEOGRAPHER: One minute. 8 9 MS. GREENWALD: Sorry. 10 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record 11 at 4:11. 12 (A brief recess is had from 4:11 p.m. to 5:01 p.m.) 13 14 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 15 5:01. 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. GREENWALD: 18 0 Okay. So, Dr. Laber, I have a few 19 questions. I'm going to jump around a little bit. 2.0 I apologize, but it's a function of following 21 someone else's questions. 22 So you were asked today about a number of 23 documents that appear on your Materials Considered And I want to just go over some of them 24 25 generally. But before I get there, can you tell me

approximately how much time you spent reviewing documents in preparation for your deposition today?

- A During the last week?
- O No.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A Or --
- 6 Q In preparing for your -- no. I'm not
  7 talking about --
  - A Oh, just for the deposition?
  - O Yes.
    - A I think reviewing documents, like I say, for somewhere around three or four hours, give or take, approximate time. Maybe a little bit over four or something like that.
    - Q So I want to ask you whether any of these documents were documents you reviewed in preparation for your deposition. And rather than going to your pile, I'm just going to hold it up. And if you need to look at it again, we'll get your official copy; okay?
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 | MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
- 22 BY MS. GREENWALD:
- Q Do you recall whether you looked at Exhibit 8 in preparing for your deposition today?
- 25 A During the last week, no, I did not look

Page 269 1 at that. Do you know -- remember whether you ever 2 3 read this in detail in preparing anything in this 4 case? I don't --5 Α 6 MR. ORTIZ: Objection. I think I read it when this 7 THE WITNESS: 8 was provided to me, depending what you call 9 "detail." Of course, I did not really think of I skimmed through some parts, and 10 the detail. 11 some parts that I thought that were important 12 for me to understand the concepts, I read it. 13 BY MS. GREENWALD: 14 Do you recall whether you read number 9 in 15 preparation for your deposition? 16 MR. ORTIZ: Objection. 17 THE WITNESS: Not in preparation for the 18 deposition. 19 MS. GREENWALD: What's the basis of your 2.0 objection?

MS. GREENWALD: I'm asking him if he read

a deposition. What's the form objection?

I'll withdraw the objection. MR. ORTIZ:

You can go ahead.

MR. ORTIZ: Form.

21

22

23

24

Page 270 1 BY MS. GREENWALD: 2 Okay. I'm going to show you now what's been -- what was marked earlier as number 14. 3 you recall being asked questions about that today? 4 I did not read that in the last Α 5 Yeah. week when I preparing for this. 6 Okay. Same for Exhibit 15. Q I did not read in preparation for this. 8 9 Okay. Same for 16. 0 I did not read that in preparation for 10 Α 11 this deposition. 12 0 Same for 17. 13 I did not read that in preparation for 14 this deposition. 15 Same for 18. 16 I did not read that in preparation for the 17 deposition. Same for 19. 18 0 19 I did not read this in the preparation for 2.0 this deposition.

I did not read this in

21

22

23

24

25

Q

Almost done.

Same answer.

preparation for the deposition.

Twenty-one?

Twenty?

A	Same	answer	`. I	did	not	read	this	in	the
preparatio	n for	this	depos	sitio	on.				

- And last, but not least, 22.
- I did not read this in preparation for Α this deposition.
- Okay. What did you understand your task Q was as an expert witness in this case?

MR. ORTIZ: Objection.

THE WITNESS: My understanding is and was that I was an expert for what we call a specific causation, which is to look at my opinions on the CLL on these two patients, Mr. Fiolek and Mr. Gleesing, and whether their exposure to these toxic agents caused the CLL or were, as likely or not, or more likely than not, the cause of the CLL compared to other risk factors.

# BY MS. GREENWALD:

- Okay. In your three to four hours, I 0 believe you just testified preparing for the deposition for today, what -- what did you review?
- I reviewed my report. I reviewed the reports for the -- some of the general causation experts. I reviewed information about CLL. that's what I spent most of my time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- 1 O And what kind of information about CLL?
- A If there were any studies looking at other risk factors or other things that I might not have
- 4 looked in the past.
- 5 Q Okay. I want to show you what's been 6 marked as Exhibit 28.
- 7 (Exhibit 28 was marked.)
- 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 9 BY MS. GREENWALD:
- 10 Q And you have read that before?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. Did you review Exhibit 28 in
- 13 | forming your opinions in this case?
- 14 A Yes, I did.
- Q When you reviewed Exhibit 28, did you read
- 16 Dr. Reynolds' qualifications?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q Did you find her qualifications sufficient
- 19 to rely on her report for exposure calculations?
- 20 A Yes, I have.
- 21 MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
- 23 BY MS. GREENWALD:
- 24 Q You were shown Appendix 13 and 14 earlier,
- 25 | which is Exhibit 7. Do you remember that?

1	A	Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Okay. Do you know where those appendices 0 came from?
- Now, I do. At the time when I was asked Α about that, I did not know.
- What do you -- what do you now understand Q where those appendices come from?
- They came from Kelly Reynolds' cumulative expert -- exposure expert report.
  - Okay. And did you --0
  - That's why --Α
  - I'm sorry. 0
- That's why my concern when I answered the Α questions before about this, is that there were no references and there were no indications of this, where it came from and -- and any of those abbreviations or anything like that.
- 0 Okay. So now that you've reviewed -- now that you understand, excuse me, that Exhibit [sic] 13 and 14 is part of Dr. Reynolds' report, do you have an understanding of the terms that are used on -- on exhibit -- on Exhibit 7, the first page?
  - Yes. Α
  - We'll get to that in a minute. Okay. 0 Okay. Now, if you look at Exhibit 28,

	Page 274
1	please, can you turn to page four?
2	Okay. And I'd like to draw your attention
3	to a sentence in on Exhibit 28 that's in the
4	second full paragraph. It starts with "Once the
5	total number of days," that paragraph.
6	A Yes.
7	Q And the sentence, it starts "The ATSDR has
8	provided." Can you read that?
9	A "The ATSDR has provided monthly modeled
10	levels for TCE, PCE, vinyl chloride, advancing on a
11	microgram per liter month basis."
12	Q And can you read the next sentence? I'm
13	sorry.
14	A "I have used the ATSDR's peer-reviewed
15	values for each applicable month and corresponding

- A "I have used the ATSDR's peer-reviewed values for each applicable month and corresponding volatile organic compounds, VOCs, and modeled projections in my exposure analysis to calculate cumulative exposures."
- Q And did you understand that's what she was doing in her report, the time you prepared your report for Mr. Fiolek and Mr. Gleesing?
  - A Yes.
- MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
- 24 BY MS. GREENWALD:
  - Q Okay. Do you understand what Dr. Reynolds

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	used	those	model	concentrations		Ι'm	sorry.
---	------	-------	-------	----------------	--	-----	--------

Do you understand whether Dr. Reynolds used those modeled concentrations to estimate exposure over time based on an individual's service record --

- Α Yes.
- -- and reported base location?
- Α Yes, I do.
  - Okay. Is it your understanding that 0 Dr. Reynolds was looking at cumulative water ingestion?
- 12 Α Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- 13 Was she looking at dermal exposure?
- I think she looked at all of them. 14 Α She 15 looked at the dermal exposure and then the ingestions and the -- she made comments about 16 17 different things.
  - But does she calculate in Appendix 13 and 0 14, this here, Exhibit 7? Does she calculate anything other than water ingestion?
  - Α This is -- no. This is water ingestion. This is for cumulative consumption.
    - Okay. Now, if you can look at page three of Dr. Reynolds' report, please. And the last full paragraph on page three. Can you read the sentence

that says "I consulted historical documents"? It's the second full sentence.

A "I consulted historical documents, including official military personnel records such as DD-214 and reviewed deposition testimony regarding their time on base and their water consumption."

Q And what do you understand "their" to be? Those are the plaintiffs?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. So in this case, it would be Mr. Fiolek and Mr. Gleesing; right?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Okay. On page five -- on page five, in the second full paragraph, if you could read the sentence that's the second sentence, "If no activity or ingestion information was given," can you read that?

A "If no activity or ingestion information was given in the activities for a marine in training to calculate cumulative contaminant exposure concentrations (ATSDR ingestion 6 liters per day, three days per week, and 3.1 liters a day, four days per week, or a combined reasonable maximum exposure, RME, and central tendency exposure, CTE, of

- 1 4.334 liters per day)."
- Okay. And so is it your understanding 2 that Dr. Reynolds applied ATSDR's ingestion rate 3 assumptions for Marines in training? 4
- Yes.
- 6 MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
- BY MS. GREENWALD: 7

Α

- And non-training roles?
- 9 Α Yes.

5

- 10 Okay. And when you were doing your
- 11 report, did you understand that she was calculating
- 6 liters per day as the assumption on a 12
- 13 three-day-a-week schedule --
- 14 MS. GREENWALD: Objection.
- 15 BY MS. GREENWALD:
- 16 -- for exposure? 0
- Yes. My --17 Α
- 18 0 And -- keep going.
- 19 My understanding is that she was
- 2.0 estimating that for three days they were training,
- 21 so much more physical activity, much more
- requirement for water intake. And then the other 22
- 23 four days a week, they were not training as hard so
- they had less water intake. 24
- And what was the lesser amount for those 25 Q

1 days?

- 2 A For those days was 3.1 liters per day.
- Q Okay. And you considered those -- that information in forming your opinions in this case?
- 5 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Do you also understand that

  Dr. Reynolds adjusted the numbers based on whether

  someone was training, working, or at home?
- 9 MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
- 10 THE WITNESS: That was my understanding.
- 11 BY MS. GREENWALD:
- 12 Q Okay. If you want to turn to page four.
- 13 | Sorry. I have to find this. I thought I had
- 14 | highlighted it. Apologies.
- Okay. I can't find it. I'm going to have
- 16 to move on. Let's see if I can find it.
- 17 Okay. I want to talk to you about
- 18 | locations on base for a moment; okay?
- 19 A Okay.
- 20 O If someone worked at Hadnot Point but
- 21 lived at Midway Park, is it your understanding that
- 22 Dr. Reynolds would apply different contamination
- 23 | concentrations for each location?
- MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
- 25 THE WITNESS: That was my understanding.

#### BY MS. GREENWALD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q So in other words, if someone lived somewhere where there wasn't contaminated water, but worked somewhere where there was contaminated water, what do you understand Dr. Reynolds would do?
- A I understand Dr. Reynolds estimated the amount of time they were at each location and the amount of activities they did at each location. So if, for example, they spent eight hours in a place where they trained, still, the consumption was higher than the place where they lived because many of those hours would be sleeping or resting or things like that.
- Q Okay. So let's go to Exhibit 7 for a moment.
  - A Page seven?
  - Q 7. Exhibit 7. I'm sorry. And the first plaintiff here is Mr. Fiolek's exposure summary.
- Do you see that?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- Q Okay. Now I've got to get mine too.
- Now, I think you just mentioned that you now understand that these appendices are part of Dr. Reynolds' reports; right?
- 25 A Right.

1 Okay. So do you see, on the first page --2 and I'm just focusing on the first page -- under the chart two, see that, chart two on the top? 3

> Α Yes.

4

5

6

- Okay. Chart two refers to the ATSDR assumptions; is that right?
- Yes, it is. 7 Α
- Okay. And what is the cumulative value 8 9 for TCE?
- So this is -- was the one that 10 Α 11 Dr. Reynolds produced as part of the cumulative 12 exposure.
- 13 So -- and this is the cumulative 14 consumption. For TCE, was 15,900.
- 15 0 Okay.
- 16 And this is in micrograms per days. Α
- 17 And did you use that information in forming your opinions in this case? 18
- 19 Α Yes.

model?

- 2.0 Okay. And what about PCE for TechFlowMP 0
- 22 That's 26,731. Α
- 23 And what about for MT3DMS model? Q
- 24 That's 31,528. Α
- And, again, did you use this information 25 Q

Page 281 1 in forming your opinions in this case? 2 Yes, I did. Α Okay. And what about for benzene? 3 For benzene, is 625. 4 Okay. Now, let's go to 14, please, for 5 Dr. -- for doctor -- for Mr. Gleesing. Again, just 6 the first page. Okay. Again, the same column for the ATSDR. 8 9 Α For the ATSDR, cumulative consumption. What about for TCE? 10 0 11 For TCE, was 1,000 -- no, actually, Α 12 1,021,121. 13 0 Okay. And what about for PCE? 14 For PCE, was 47,376. Α 15 And benzene? 0 16 16,097. Α 17 Okay. And you used these numbers in your -- reaching your opinions in this case? 18 Yes, I did. 19 Α 2.0 Okay. So you were asked a number of 0 21 questions today. And the term "independently reviewed" was used in a number of those questions. 22 23 And frankly, I can't remember who used it first but I want to make sure that the record is clear on what 24

25

that means when you use that term; okay?

	Page 282
1	A Okay.
2	MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
3	BY MS. GREENWALD:
4	Q So when you use the word "independently
5	reviewed," what does that mean in the context of
6	your work in this case in general causation?
7	MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
8	THE WITNESS: For me, independently
9	reviewed is that I read a paper and nobody was
L 0	telling me what to read or what not to read.
L1	So I reviewed the parts of the manuscripts or
L 2	the paper that I thought that were important
L 3	for me to understand it.
L 4	BY MS. GREENWALD:
L 5	Q Okay. Did that mean that you did a
L 6	thorough evaluation of something that you reviewed?
L 7	MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
L 8	THE WITNESS: It really depends on what
L 9	you mean with thorough evaluation. But it
20	means to me I didn't do the calculations, or
21	anything like that, at least on the tables or
22	statistical analysis or anything like that.
23	BY MS. GREENWALD:
24	Q Um-hum.
25	A For me, independent review is that I am

reviewing it by myself. So I'm reading it by myself, by skimming the part that I'm not interested or think is not important for me without having somebody else next to me telling me what to do or what not to do or what not to read.

Q And if the materials that you independently reviewed relating to issues of general causation, would you have been reviewing those documents and those materials in depth to reach opinions in this case?

MR. ORTIZ: Objection.

THE WITNESS: No. No. I was not trying to reach opinions on general causation. I was just trying to independently review it which means, for me, read the parts that I found interesting or things that I need to understand a little bit more and skip the parts that I don't think that were interesting for me, so I can basically understand the concepts. So I was not trying to review what the authors did or criticized the work, or see if the numbers were correct and all that.

Independently means nobody else was sitting by me or telling me what to do.

BY MS. GREENWALD:

2.0

1 Okay. So to be clear, Dr. Laber, are you 2 offering a general causation expert opinion in this 3 case? 4 MR. ORTIZ: Objection.

> THE WITNESS: I have already answered The answer's "no."

## BY MS. GREENWALD:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Okay. I know you have down on your Materials Considered list several expert reports that you did consider, general causation expert reports. And one of them is Exhibit 29, which is the report of Dr. Bird.
- 13 (Exhibit 29 was marked.)
- BY MS. GREENWALD: 14
- 15 Do you recall reviewing Dr. Bird's report 16 in preparation for your expert report for Mr. Fiolek and Mr. Gleesing? 17
- 18 Α Yes.
- 19 And did you rely on that report in forming 2.0 your opinions in this case?
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 And did you rely on that report in reaching your own conclusions? 23
- 24 Α Yes.
- 25 Q As to specific causation or to general

				_
cau	Sa	T 1	$\alpha$ n	ر.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

22

23

24

- As to general causation. Α
- Well, you -- did you rely on his report in reaching your conclusions as to each of the plaintiffs?
  - I don't think I understand your question.
- 7 Q Okay.
  - Α I'm sorry about that.
  - So -- that's okay. No. No. No. So you relied on Dr. Bird's report? Am I correct?
- 11 Α Yes.
- 12 For general causation?
- 13 Α Yes.
- 14 Is it accurate to say that you applied 15 those principles that he and other experts put forth 16 in applying that to your opinions on specific causation in this case? 17
- 18 MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I think that's a fair
- 2.0 statement, yes.
- 21 BY MS. GREENWALD:
  - Okay. I'd like to draw your attention to pages 10 and 11 of Dr. Bird's report. And do you see on those pages -- do you recall on those pages that Dr. Bird identifies the various levels of PCE,

1 TCE, and benzene exposure and the -- and how the --

2 and studies that show those levels, either

supporting or not supporting leukemia and 3

Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. 4

MR. ORTIZ: Objection. 5

THE WITNESS: That's what my understanding 6

7 is.

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

25

## BY MS. GREENWALD:

And did you rely on the information contained not only in his report generally but on pages 10 and 11 --

Α Yes.

13 -- in assessing -- let me finish. 14 sorry.

In assessing whether exposures that were estimated by Dr. Reynolds for Mr. Gleesing and Mr. Fiolek were causally linked to their diagnoses?

MR. ORTIZ: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

#### 2.0 BY MS. GREENWALD:

21 Did you read the entirety of Dr. Bird's 0 22 report?

23 The entirety, no. There was some parts that I was -- skim through that. 24

> Q Did you also review general causation

	Page 287
1	reports of Dr. Felsher?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And Dr. Hu?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did you rely on their opinions as well in
6	their analyses in forming your opinions in this
7	case?
8	A Yes, I did.
9	MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
10	MS. GREENWALD: I might not have any more
11	questions. Can I have two minutes?
12	MR. ORTIZ: Yeah, of course.
13	MS. GREENWALD: Go off the record.
14	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the record
15	at 5:26.
16	(A brief recess is had from 5:26 p.m. to
17	5:33 p.m.)
18	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at
19	5:33.
20	BY MS. GREENWALD:
21	Q I just have one more question, Dr. Laber.
22	Did you consider spontaneous mutations as a cause?
23	MR. ORTIZ: Objection.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	MS. GREENWALD: Thank you. I don't have

Page 288 of 374

Page 288 1 any other questions. 2 EXAMINATION BY MR. ORTIZ: 3 Just a few questions, Dr. Laber. 4 0 Now, you recall earlier, before your 5 counsel began asking you questions, we took a 6 lengthy break. Do you recall that? Do you recall that, sir? 8 9 MS. GREENWALD: Objection, form. THE WITNESS: That we take a break? Yes, 10 11 I recall taking a break. 12 BY MR. ORTIZ: 13 0 And did you speak with counsel during that 14 break? 15 Α Yes. 16 And did you speak about the substance of 0 17 the deposition? 18 Α We talked about the deposition, yes. 19 Can you tell me what you said? 2.0 MS. GREENWALD: I'm not -- I'm not going 21 to let him answer those questions. I talked to 22 him about questions I was asking him. And I'm 23 not going to let him answer those questions. 24 Those are attorney-client privilege.

MR. ORTIZ: Are you going to --

25

Page 289

1 MS. GREENWALD: We didn't talk about the substance of your deposition. We talked about 2 things I was going to ask him. 3 MR. ORTIZ: Are you going to instruct him 4 5 not to answer? MS. GREENWALD: I am going to instruct him 6 7 not to answer. BY MR. ORTI7: 8 9 And are you going to follow that instruction? 10 11 Α Yes. Okay. And you referenced earlier that in 12 preparation for this deposition, you reviewed some 13 additional studies about CLL that identified some 14 15 new risk factors that you might not have been aware 16 Do you recall that testimony? That's not how I said it. 17 18 I reviewed papers that was CLL to see if 19 they have any things that I haven't identified. 2.0 0 And can you tell me what studies those 21 were? 22 A particular study on top of my head? No. 23 But I review studies every day so it's difficult for me to tell you one or the other. 24

And do you know if those studies are on

25

Q

Page 290

1 | your Materials Considered list?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A No. I don't think I find anything that was worthwhile adding to my material list.
- Q And none of those are on your supplemental Materials Considered list that we looked at earlier in this deposition?
- A None of them made any change on my opinions.
  - Q So you're not relying on any of those?
  - A Correct. I'm not relying on any of that.
  - Q Can I direct your attention to Laber
    Exhibit 29, which is the general causation report of
    Dr. Bird? And let me know when you have that in
    front of you.
  - A Yes, I have it.
- Q And counsel directed you to page 10 to 11.

  Could you flip to that page, please?
  - A Yes. I'm there.
- 19 Q And your testimony, do you recall
  20 testifying earlier that you used these levels that
  21 are identified here to form your opinions that
  22 Mr. Fiolek and Mr. Gleesing's exposures at Camp
  23 Lejeune caused their CLL.
- Do you recall that testimony?
- 25 A I do recall that.

Page 291

1	Q How did you use these levels to inform
2	those opinions?
3	A I think they were very these levels
4	were very important to document the general
5	causation that these agents caused CLL, or are a
6	strong risk factors of CLL. So I applied that to my
7	differential etiology and I considered these when I
8	was forming my opinions.
9	MR. ORTIZ: I don't think I have any
10	further questions.
11	MS. GREENWALD: I do not either.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	VIDEOGRAPHER: This this concludes the
14	video-recorded deposition of Damian Laber,
15	M.D., FACP on June 9th, 2025. And we're going
16	to go off the video record at 5:37 p.m.
17	CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER: Can I get your
18	transcript orders, though, before we conclude?
19	MS. GREENWALD: Ours is whatever the
20	standing order is. I don't know. I'm sorry.
21	MR. ORTIZ: We'll order a rough draft,
22	please.
23	CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHER: Do you need a
24	rough draft?
25	MS. GREENWALD: I don't think so.

```
Page 292
                 (The reading and signing of the deposition
 1
 2
           is not waived.)
                 (At 5:37 p.m. the deposition was
 3
 4
           concluded.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 293
1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA )
4	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH )
5	
6	I, the undersigned authority, certify that
7	DAMIAN LABER, M.D. appeared before me remotely and
8	was duly sworn.
9	WITNESS my hand and official seal this 18th day
10	of July 2025.
11	
12	
13	
	DAWN A. HILLIER, RMR, CRR, CLR
14	Notary Public - State of Florida
	My Commission No.: HH 300744
15	Expires: 12-15-2026
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 294
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA )
4	COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH )
5	I, DAWN A. HILLIER, RMR, CRR, CLR certify that
6	I was authorized to and did stenographically report
7	the deposition of DAMIAN LABER, M.D.; that a review
8	of the transcript was requested; and that the
9	transcript is a true and complete record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	I further certify that I am not a relative,
13	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the
14	parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of
15	the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the
16	action, nor am I financially interested in the
17	action.
18	
19	DATED this 18th day of July 2025.
20	
21	
22	
	DAWN A. HILLIER, RMR, CRR, CLR
23	
24	
25	

Page 295 1 July 18, 2025 3 IN RE: CAMP LEJEUNE WATER LITIGATION 4 5 Dear Dr. Laber: 6 Herein, you will find the transcript of your deposition taken on July 9, 2025, and a Errata Sheet 7 for your use in entering any changes to the 8 deposition. 9 Please do not edit or make marks on the transcript itself. If you desire to make any changes, they should be noted on the Errata Sheet. 10 If no changes are to be noted, please indicate that 11 on the Errata Sheet so counsel are aware that no changes were made. 12 After reading the transcript, please execute the Errata Sheet, including date and place of 13 signing and return to Golkow, a Veritext Company at the address indicated below. 14 15 Thank you for your prompt attention. 16 17 18 Sincerely, 19 20 21 Dawn A. Hillier, RMR, CRR, CLR 2.2 GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES, LLC 1650 Market Street, Suite 5150 Philadelphia, PA 23 877.370.3377

2.4 25

877-370-3377

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	I, DAMIAN LABER, M.D., have read the foregoing
3	pages of my deposition given on July 9, 2025 in the
4	case of IN RE: CAMP LEJEUNE WATER LITIGATION, Case
5	No. 7:34-CV-00897, and wish to make the following
6	additions, deletions or corrections:
7	PAGE LINE CHANGE AND REASON FOR CHANGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
16	
L7	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	In all other respects, the transcript is true
	and accurate.
25	and accurace.
- 0	DAMIAN LABER, M.D.

[& - 14] Page 1

	0000= 1.0	4 = 0 0140	444 001 11
&	00897 1:2	<b>1.50</b> 214:9	<b>114</b> 221:11
<b>&amp;</b> 2:4,9 6:16	296:5	<b>1.52.</b> 212:6	<b>11:07</b> 87:4,6
0	09-00006156	<b>1.62.</b> 188:1	<b>11:55</b> 132:4,5
	4:4	<b>1.78</b> 221:16	<b>11th</b> 67:6 88:7
0 29:4 64:5,14	<b>0s</b> 81:25	<b>1/26/25</b> 6:11	<b>12</b> 4:9 75:14,20
64:15 65:20,24	1	<b>10</b> 3:7,9 4:6 6:5	144:14,15,16
72:21 73:1	<b>1</b> 3:7 10:12,13	39:14 111:1,2	149:16,21
79:14,18,21	10:15 64:19,19	113:8 117:22	150:13 151:15
81:24	65:20,24 70:10	141:6 201:8	151:15 157:23
<b>0.2mg</b> 122:24	70:19 86:9,15	221:19 241:3,6	216:5
<b>0.32</b> 224:18	92:21 227:13	249:15 250:19	12-15-2026
<b>0.56</b> 187:22	227:19,20	285:23 286:11	293:15
<b>0.61</b> 212:6	228:20 230:1	290:16	<b>122</b> 4:7 221:12
<b>0.75</b> 188:1	<b>1,000</b> 281:11	<b>100</b> 29:4	<b>12:06</b> 132:6,8
214:9	1,021,121	<b>10003</b> 2:5	<b>12:47</b> 167:20
<b>0.79</b> 220:21	281:12	<b>10:07</b> 53:11,12	167:21
<b>0.81</b> 187:21	<b>1,1,1</b> 232:1	<b>10:16</b> 53:13,15	<b>13</b> 3:15,23 4:12
<b>0.82</b> 187:18	<b>1,1,1</b> 232.1 <b>1,2</b> 232:2	<b>10:57</b> 87:2,3	6:6 47:6 48:10
<b>0.89</b> 224:18,21	'	<b>10th</b> 10:17	55:9 56:5
<b>0.97</b> 212:6	<b>1.0</b> 169:4,11,18 169:23 170:7	246:19	135:12 146:7,9
0000000013 6:5	170:11 171:1	<b>11</b> 3:12 4:7	150:13 151:15
0000000067	170.11 171.1	122:5,6 175:8	199:20 201:6
6:11	212:25	181:25 198:2	201:14 240:3
<b>0000000069</b> 6:8		198:10,18	241:4 272:24
0000000214	<b>1.02</b> 220:20,23	215:12,14	273:20 275:18
5:11	<b>1.05</b> 187:17	224:21 226:7,8	<b>132</b> 95:19
0000044276 5:3	<b>1.06</b> 214:8,11	226:10 228:4	96:16
0000141103	<b>1.11</b> 187:25	228:18 232:15	<b>14</b> 3:21,23 4:14
4:17	<b>1.18.</b> 187:22	232:20,21	43:12,22 54:15
<b>0000221326</b> 4:1	<b>1.3</b> 221:16	285:23 286:11	54:20 76:21
0000291324	<b>1.32</b> 220:21	290:16	127:24 151:16
4:24	<b>1.33.</b> 187:18	<b>1100</b> 2:21	183:22 184:1,2
<b>0062</b> 44:11	<b>1.5</b> 120:23	<b>111</b> 4:6	184:5,16
			185:14 189:11
			100.11.107.11

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Document 509-5 Filed 08/26/25

www.veritext.com

[14 - 2013] Page 2

	1		1
189:14,16	160:3,6 163:5	68:16 69:7	<b>1985</b> 219:24
190:3 192:11	199:12,13,16	70:12,21,22	220:3,14
196:5 240:25	205:7 249:18	71:11 82:19	221:24
270:3 272:24	270:12	<b>1966</b> 63:22	<b>1987</b> 155:21
273:20 275:19	<b>18</b> 5:5 208:6,7	64:2	156:4 229:17
281:5	208:16 270:15	<b>1967</b> 84:11,12	<b>199</b> 5:1
<b>141116</b> 4:18	295:1	85:18 86:8	<b>1994</b> 226:12
<b>144</b> 4:9	<b>184</b> 4:14	87:15 88:2	<b>1997</b> 122:14
<b>146</b> 4:12	<b>18th</b> 293:9	<b>1968</b> 84:11	<b>1:02</b> 147:5
<b>14th</b> 38:9	294:19	85:19 86:8	<b>1:33</b> 167:22,24
<b>15</b> 4:19 66:8	<b>19</b> 5:8 40:1	87:15 88:7	<b>1st</b> 147:9
74:2,14 192:23	65:7,8,12	<b>1969</b> 119:16	2
193:3,9 225:1	145:5 182:20	<b>1970</b> 80:13	<b>2</b> 3:9 4:19
267:6 270:7	211:9,10,12	119:16	
<b>15,900</b> 280:14	218:25 219:3	<b>1970s</b> 120:24	10:22,23,25 86:9,10,10,15
<b>15th</b> 147:13,23	270:18	<b>1971</b> 73:11	123:5,13
<b>16</b> 4:21 41:6,7	<b>19103</b> 295:23	<b>1972</b> 220:3	'
41:20 75:14,20	<b>192</b> 4:19	<b>1975</b> 219:24	192:11,15 239:23,23
87:20 107:10	<b>1940</b> 167:5,6	220:13 221:23	<b>2,000</b> 123:14
107:12,14,20	<b>1953</b> 155:21	<b>1979</b> 72:4,12,20	<b>2.48.</b> 224:18
109:15 110:2	156:4	73:4,10,23	<b>2.59.</b> 225:5
153:7,20,21	<b>1956</b> 120:24	74:24 76:11,18	
154:4 196:21	<b>196</b> 4:21	77:3 79:12,19	<b>20</b> 5:7,12 91:3 182:5,8 219:1
196:22,24	<b>1964</b> 63:21,22	80:9,12,23	,
270:9	64:1,3,6,7,10	81:2,22 229:17	219:2,4,6,9,10
<b>16,097</b> 281:16	65:5,18 66:2	<b>1980s</b> 52:9,15	223:6 226:13
<b>162</b> 82:10	68:16 69:7	53:3	<b>20005</b> 2:22
<b>1650</b> 295:22	70:12 71:11	<b>1981</b> 72:4,5,12	<b>2003</b> 18:20
<b>17</b> 4:13 5:1	82:19	72:20 73:4,23	<b>2008</b> 4:6 111:9
109:15 110:2	<b>1965</b> 63:21	74:25 76:12,18	<b>2009</b> 237:7 <b>201</b> 1:15
112:23,25	64:4,10 65:5	79:13,20 80:9	
120:1 125:14	65:19 66:3,19	80:12,25 81:2	<b>2010</b> 18:20
130:2 135:21	66:19 67:6,20	81:23	<b>2013</b> 4:1,4
154:4 159:2,5	67:21 68:1,6,8		
<u> </u>	, ,		

[2014 - 333] Page 3

2014 1046	205 1 5 20 6 2	100 0 11 04	2.20. 20.1.21
<b>2014</b> 184:6	295:1,7 296:3	182:2,11,24	<b>2:38</b> 204:24
192:15 195:4,5	<b>2027</b> 5:7	183:1,7 246:14	205:1
195:13 196:25	<b>208</b> 5:5	246:15,18	<b>2mg</b> 122:23
<b>2015</b> 146:22	<b>21</b> 5:16 65:10	250:11	123:5,13
147:5 150:1	222:17,18,20	<b>251</b> 6:7	<b>2nd</b> 67:20 68:8
157:17,19,20	<b>21-341</b> 38:11	<b>253</b> 6:10	88:1 167:5,6
<b>2016</b> 159:25	<b>211</b> 5:8	<b>25th</b> 67:20 77:3	3
<b>2017</b> 199:21	<b>212</b> 2:6	<b>26</b> 6:7 65:7,9	<b>3</b> 3:12 4:9 5:23
<b>2018</b> 5:10	<b>214</b> 276:5	65:12 69:5	6:2 11:12,13
157:25 158:2	<b>219</b> 5:12	82:14 83:5	11:15 12:19
158:10 162:3,7	<b>22</b> 5:20 39:11	180:25 251:1,3	14:1 20:11
211:13	65:10 182:18	251:5	24:21 41:1
<b>202</b> 2:22	182:23 225:18	<b>26,731</b> 280:22	
<b>2020</b> 158:15	225:19,22	<b>267</b> 3:3	43:23 146:15
250:3	241:19 271:3	<b>268</b> 75:14,20	220:9 229:6,14
<b>2022</b> 34:11	<b>22.7</b> 190:8	<b>27</b> 3:18 6:10	229:24 230:4
246:25 259:2	<b>222</b> 5:16	253:3,5,7	232:16
<b>2023</b> 3:21	<b>2221527</b> 4:2	<b>272</b> 6:12	<b>3.1</b> 276:23
38:10 183:18	<b>225</b> 5:20	<b>27607</b> 2:15	278:2
259:20	<b>23</b> 5:22 39:25	<b>277-9299</b> 2:16	30 72:13,18
<b>2024</b> 4:10,13	65:10 182:23	<b>28</b> 6:12 182:18	91:3 95:23
6:17 76:2	241:20,21,22	182:24 183:6	96:17 223:11
134:22 135:13	241:24	272:6,7,12,15	<b>300</b> 2:15
141:21 142:7	<b>24</b> 6:1 65:10	273:25 274:3	<b>300744</b> 293:14
151:23 219:10	182:23 242:8,9	<b>284</b> 6:15	<b>3011</b> 2:10
222:21 250:15	242:12	<b>288</b> 3:3	<b>31</b> 181:21
<b>2025</b> 1:12 5:23	<b>24/7</b> 249:12	<b>28cv</b> 38:11	182:3,11 183:2
6:3,5,14 8:4	<b>241</b> 5:22	<b>29</b> 6:15 284:11	183:7
10:17 11:24	<b>242</b> 6:1	284:13 290:12	<b>31,528</b> 280:24
13:14 15:12	<b>246</b> 6:4	<b>291336</b> 4:25	<b>313</b> 2:11
241:10,16	<b>24th</b> 2:10	<b>293</b> 3:4	<b>31st</b> 157:19
242:1,13,21	<b>25</b> 6:4 47:19,21	<b>294</b> 3:4	<b>333</b> 146:18
246:19 291:15	65:10 74:24	<b>2:18</b> 204:21,22	147:8
293:10 294:19	76:2 181:21	204:23	
2/3.10 2/4.1/	70.2 101.21	207.23	

[335 - a.m.] Page 4

	1	1	
<b>335</b> 147:17	5	<b>615744</b> 4:5	75:8 78:16
<b>33602</b> 1:16	<b>5</b> 3:18 27:16,17	<b>616-8367</b> 2:22	80:8,17 87:13
<b>340</b> 5:11	84:24 85:4,21	<b>625</b> 281:4	217:13 268:24
<b>36</b> 66:20	187:6,8 221:21	<b>631</b> 73:6,7,17	<b>80</b> 208:22
<b>369</b> 28:3,4	<b>50</b> 34:17,23	<b>64</b> 64:8	<b>800-4170</b> 2:11
<b>38</b> 3:20 4:5	35:13 85:17	<b>65</b> 6:6 167:7	<b>83</b> 4:3
<b>3:21</b> 239:13,14	123:1 156:17	<b>68</b> 6:11 84:16	<b>84</b> 166:25
<b>3:33</b> 239:15,17	<b>5150</b> 295:22	84:17	<b>85</b> 167:1
<b>3:58</b> 264:16,17	<b>53</b> 205:6,7,8	<b>69</b> 84:15	<b>87</b> 122:17
<b>3rd</b> 15:12 68:1	<b>54</b> 205:10,12	7	877.370.3377
68:6 241:15,25	<b>55</b> 206:5	<b>7</b> 3:23 6:14	295:23
242:13	<b>550</b> 229:1	. 2.22 3.1	<b>8a.5</b> 81:14
4	<b>550</b> 229.1 <b>558</b> 227:9	46:19,20 53:18	9
	<b>558-5500</b> 2:6	216:17 241:10	-
<b>4</b> 3:15 13:4,5,7	<b>559</b> 230:12	272:25 273:22	9 1:12 4:3 6:17
14:1 21:4		275:19 279:14	41:12 72:22,25
41:21 74:3	231:20	279:17,17	74:25 83:15,17
84:24 85:21	<b>560</b> 228:24	<b>70</b> 6:9	93:12 109:14
185:18 224:8	229:2 230:17	<b>700</b> 2:5	269:14 295:7
232:16	<b>5:01</b> 267:13,15	<b>71</b> 73:5,11,17	296:3
<b>4.334</b> 277:1	<b>5:26</b> 287:15,16	<b>712</b> 1:15	<b>9.4</b> 190:13
<b>40</b> 167:6	<b>5:33</b> 287:17,19	<b>7360161</b> 1:19	9/3 157:20
253:11 256:7	<b>5:37</b> 1:13	<b>74</b> 133:8	90 145:2,5
256:11	291:16 292:3	211:18 213:8	<b>901</b> 146:18
<b>412</b> 111:10,19	<b>5th</b> 3:19 27:24	<b>751</b> 2:15	<b>919</b> 2:16
<b>44427</b> 5:4	6	<b>76</b> 216:14,17	<b>95</b> 188:11
<b>46</b> 3:23	6 3:20 38:3,4	<b>78</b> 217:9,13	224:9 227:15
<b>47,376</b> 281:14	75:15,21	<b>7:34</b> 1:2 296:5	<b>9:21</b> 1:13 8:5
<b>48202</b> 2:10	211:21 276:22	<b>7th</b> 11:24 13:14	<b>9th</b> 8:4 291:15
<b>49</b> 86:2	277:12	242:21	a
<b>4:09</b> 264:18,20	<b>60</b> 85:18 86:2,2	8	<b>a.m.</b> 1:13 8:5
<b>4:11</b> 267:11,12	<b>60s</b> 167:11	8 3:2,2,25	53:12,13 87:3
<b>4th</b> 66:19	<b>61</b> 3:25	61:19,20 69:4	87:4 132:5
146:22 147:5	<b>GI</b> 3.23	71:23 74:23	07.7 132.3

[a162 - affect] Page 5

<b>a162</b> 62:21,24	200:19 226:13	acknowledge	266:20 281:11
63:6 82:6	absolute	131:9	acute 126:2
a167 71:22	156:10	acknowledging	190:9 196:9
74:22 75:8,19	absolutely	211:2	add 188:8
a174 78:15	37:15 39:21	act 34:11	266:13
<b>a174</b> 76.13 <b>a178</b> 81:12,13	<b>absorbed</b> 50:12	action 294:16	added 178:22
<b>a2</b> 84:5 87:13	50:16 51:9	294:17	223:19
<b>a7</b> 63:15	57:23 58:2,2	active 4:8	<b>adding</b> 159:6
<b>a8.1</b> 78:19	58:10,12,14,17	122:14 220:12	290:3
<b>a8.2</b> 79:24	58:18,21,22,23	activities	addition 136:6
<b>a87</b> 84:1	59:12,17 60:18	276:20 279:8	additional 4:19
abandon	absorption	activity 276:17	5:23 6:2 39:16
145:13 147:21	50:19,21	276:19 277:21	192:11,15
abbreviations	<b>abstract</b> 122:15	actual 52:8	216:6 241:25
273:17	122:20	230:20 231:10	242:12 289:14
abel 9:4	academic	actually 16:2	additionally
ability 159:14	166:12	16:20 23:3	226:20
159:20 160:21	academy	34:21 48:17	additions 296:6
161:3,19	236:25 237:2	54:13 79:8	address 295:14
251:12	access 43:4,10	89:9 105:6	adjusted
<b>able</b> 32:22 33:6	accident 47:1	107:7,16	188:12 220:19
40:5 43:9	accuracy	115:23 116:11	224:17 227:14
101:4,21	255:14	120:8,17	229:15 278:7
107:17 126:19	accurate 89:6	135:17 136:22	administration
130:6,11,19	91:7,22,23	138:16 139:18	119:22 165:5
131:3 150:4,12	134:15 149:15	139:24 140:22	<b>adult</b> 126:4
151:14 172:13	236:16 243:25	145:11,25	210:10
189:6 221:8	247:7 250:18	150:19 184:22	<b>adults</b> 126:8
248:22	255:2 261:7	186:14 196:16	advanced
abnormal	285:14 296:24	198:14 205:10	259:14
107:5	accurately	233:16 234:12	advancing
<b>above</b> 41:12	247:4	236:15 241:2	274:10
159:11 160:11	achieve 136:13	248:25 258:20	<b>affect</b> 59:23
170:7 172:14		263:22 264:2	101:5,22

[affect - amended] Page 6

120.25 245.20	102.4	162.2.22.169.9	155.16.266.5
139:25 245:20	aging 103:4	162:2,22 168:8	155:16 266:5
affected 216:1	ago 18:9 22:15	168:15,20	269:25
246:6	22:25 23:17	169:4 170:6,11	<b>al</b> 3:22 38:11
affecting 60:6	90:10 183:17	170:25 171:3,4	122:12 184:6
affects 139:25	189:20 196:15	171:14,20,23	196:25 219:10
affirmative 7:7	198:7 243:2	176:22 177:2	222:21 225:22
afraid 62:14	244:8	177:25 178:24	225:23 226:12
169:20 262:1	<b>agree</b> 27:3 35:1	179:13,15	alcohol 120:6
<b>african</b> 103:14	35:9,12 37:6	180:18 181:19	allegations
<b>age</b> 103:1	37:13,17 46:15	189:11,14,17	22:2
134:11 156:17	51:15,24 56:12	194:6,17,19	<b>allege</b> 263:25
156:22 227:14	58:13 59:22	195:3,5 197:9	alleged 22:1
229:15	63:10 64:18	197:21 198:3	97:21 99:18
agency 45:11	65:6 66:1 68:4	201:24 202:6	134:11 152:3
89:16 91:18	69:3,9 70:8,18	205:25 206:4	154:23 156:22
110:21	70:24 71:8	207:10 209:22	265:2,11
<b>agent</b> 4:6 24:17	72:11,19 73:3	209:24 210:3	allegedly 42:14
31:13 32:7	76:10,16 78:18	212:9,13	42:18
50:16 58:3,11	79:5,13 80:7	213:21,23	alleging 252:15
58:14,16 59:17	81:9,23 83:7	215:6 220:23	allergic 145:16
60:3 104:5	84:4 85:15	223:11 224:21	145:19
110:9,14,19	86:7 87:14	225:12 230:2,5	<b>allow</b> 196:12
111:8 112:11	88:13 89:3	232:14 237:13	allowed 198:4
112:14,16	92:12 93:3	237:21 238:19	<b>allows</b> 218:20
113:3,11,21,23	94:23 96:16,23	238:24 242:11	<b>aloud</b> 24:21,22
114:3,6,13,21	97:18 106:3,9	agreed 264:8	145:5 176:23
115:14 116:3	115:14 118:13	266:11	182:2,17
116:11,24	120:12 123:22	agreeing 202:8	212:23
117:11,18	124:2 125:2	245:17	alternative
118:6 119:6,9	126:7 127:5	agreement	110:6 125:10
119:23 176:12	128:18 130:18	138:12	130:24 133:17
agents 54:9	138:10 148:3	ahead 27:7	157:7
63:17 271:14	151:21 156:16	37:2 46:11	amended 3:7
291:5	156:19 161:17	119:13 155:8	3:10 10:16

11:2	Onountion	290.5 7	analogizad
america 3:13	aneurysm 166:6	289:5,7 answer's 284:6	apologized 263:23
3:16 4:11			
	annual 250:15	answered 29:7	<b>appear</b> 62:1
11:17 13:9	answer 7:3	32:24 39:1	144:22 267:23
144:25	9:14,22 12:24	100:14 124:24	appearances
aml 207:6	13:19 27:7	165:13 178:24	2:1
amount 42:12	28:24 33:10	181:17 190:23	appeared 293:7
42:16 58:2	37:2 39:19	192:5 243:1,16	appears 21:7
70:4,5 230:22	40:7 49:10	243:23 244:2	34:8
231:12 277:25	51:19 53:7	244:21 262:6	appended
279:7,8	55:5,8,24	265:17 273:13	11:21
amounts 92:13	62:15,17 64:25	284:5	appendices
analyses 98:4	68:9,23 73:13	answers 30:23	47:20,25 76:1
207:1 213:1	73:15,18 85:11	56:4 266:1	273:2,7 279:23
215:7,17 287:6	86:17 88:15	antibody	appendix 3:23
analysis 20:18	89:2 93:7,16	142:14	3:23 47:6,10
36:11 41:13	97:3 104:2,3	anticipated	48:10 54:15,20
71:5,7 105:5	119:13 124:22	250:13	55:9 56:5
105:11 106:9	126:19 131:7,7	anxiety 163:8	63:15 84:5
108:9,14	131:12,13	anybody 14:24	87:13 272:24
109:15,16,18	134:8 138:8	15:2 25:12	275:18
109:18 110:1	140:4,5 142:21	87:9 204:11	applicable
125:8 137:5	145:21 153:1	205:3 264:22	274:15
153:11,24	153:15 155:8	aortic 166:6	applied 36:19
154:3,21 189:8	155:16,16	apologies 54:10	119:20 277:3
203:12 212:19	170:13 191:24	225:24 265:10	285:14 291:6
224:10 274:17	192:7 194:25	278:14	<b>apply</b> 36:22
282:22	201:9 211:6	apologize 46:12	105:18 116:7
analyze 138:19	222:15 223:14	76:8 90:16	172:3,8 186:12
138:23 139:24	235:6,7 236:16	179:25 224:14	278:22
140:3	239:2 243:4,7	231:3 238:16	applying 69:15
analyzed 98:12	243:25 266:5	260:2,10	285:16
124:9 199:7	270:23 271:1	262:20 264:4	appointment
	288:21,23	267:20	149:1

• 4	4.1 22.15	• 4 15 1	4 1 2 25 4 2
appreciate	articles 33:15	assistance 15:1	atsdr 3:25 4:3
153:19 244:9	241:7	assistant 14:23	5:1,5 45:9,19
appropriate	ascending	associated	45:20 52:22
49:16 128:13	166:6	136:14 207:3	60:23 62:9
149:12 162:24	<b>asian</b> 103:14	226:18	64:5 65:6,19
appropriately	aside 11:8	association	69:3 70:9,18
91:16	199:10 208:10	110:19 112:4	71:8 72:12,21
approved	asked 29:6	112:17 113:4	73:5 78:13
165:4	31:10 32:8,23	117:2 121:5	79:13 80:7
approximate	40:22 44:22	169:5 170:7,9	81:23 82:2
6:10 255:13	82:25 100:13	170:12 172:14	83:21,24 85:16
268:12	152:8 165:12	172:15 231:23	86:8 88:16,21
approximately	192:4 199:8	232:5 238:4	88:23 89:20,21
85:17 86:1,3,9	244:4 262:5	association's	92:12 93:3,11
120:23 150:1	265:16 267:22	168:20	96:24 175:13
255:1 268:1	270:4 273:4	associations	177:11 181:14
<b>april</b> 4:13 5:10	281:20	168:16	199:15,18
157:25 158:2	asking 31:18	assume 9:19	201:20 202:18
167:5,6 211:13	32:12 33:4	19:4 91:6	202:19 205:18
<b>aral</b> 91:1	85:25 191:23	131:17 132:12	205:25 206:16
area 23:5	192:17 269:22	166:15 193:13	207:4,10 216:6
229:16	288:6,22	193:16 227:3,7	274:7,9 276:22
areas 23:4	<b>aspirin</b> 166:11	assuming 51:15	280:5 281:8,9
45:16,20 52:23	assess 166:3	91:11	atsdr's 62:2
78:21 80:2	assessed 71:19	assumption	90:7 91:7
81:17 226:12	assessing	91:9 94:12	175:14 177:12
<b>argue</b> 26:20	286:13,15	123:8 148:16	199:16 201:25
103:21 232:11	assessment 5:1	150:20 277:12	202:14 208:17
artery 166:8	5:5 113:22	assumptions	211:13 274:14
<b>article</b> 18:9,12	175:15 177:6	55:19 277:4	277:3
18:15 23:25	177:12 199:16	280:6	<b>attach</b> 236:14
24:2 198:12	199:18 208:17	atlanta 3:25	attached
225:24,25	<b>assist</b> 14:5,7,19	4:3	246:25
227:3			

attack 60:21	authority 26:24	161:7,9,10,13	background
<b>attempt</b> 106:24	293:6	161:24 163:16	97:5,8,12,15,19
attempted 92:6	authorized	163:18 172:22	98:2,8 103:11
attention 73:9	294:6	173:4,6,23	186:24
160:2 274:2	authors 195:12	174:4 175:5	backpack 10:7
285:22 290:11	198:13,14,16	176:14,16	ballpark 30:20
295:15	232:9 236:1	186:10 192:10	251:13
attorney 9:6	283:20	192:14 200:2,5	bananas 95:18
14:19,25 17:10	availability	200:6 213:11	barracks 66:18
39:4,9 253:15	166:13	215:25 218:4	<b>base</b> 4:16,23
257:1,1 261:20	available	237:6,8 241:14	5:6,10,15,18
288:24 294:13	105:19	246:5 247:8,9	41:14 61:16
294:15	avocados 95:22	289:15 295:11	67:4,11,17
attorneys 14:8	95:24	b	68:17 94:13,18
14:12,13,16	aware 10:1	<b>b</b> 3:19 6:15	184:8 197:2
15:14 16:3,5	15:11 26:15	112:6	219:13 220:13
16:10 44:23	45:15 46:7	<b>back</b> 11:9	222:23 224:11
244:17 252:13	50:1,4 51:1		275:7 276:6
253:19 254:2	52:7,14,18,18	,	278:18
256:21,22	52:21 53:1		based 22:2
august 67:20	64:21 67:24		34:18 95:4
68:1,6,8 72:4	68:2,15 77:11	,	100:11 119:22
72:12,20 73:4	78:3,6 95:8,11		122:21 124:15
73:23 74:25	95:18,21,22,25		132:24 175:19
76:11,18 79:13	110:17,21		206:25 221:8
79:20 80:9,12	119:20,25		233:2 275:4
80:14,25 81:2	120:18 121:15		278:7
81:2,23 157:19	121:17 123:16		<b>bases</b> 12:20
246:25	125:21 134:16		13:22 116:23
<b>author</b> 200:2,5	134:24 140:8	/	basically 18:5
232:11,14	141:24 143:13	′	25:7 38:1
authored	145:24 146:1		50:15 60:16
235:22	153:4 159:23	207.17 207.10	170:19 177:6
	159:25 160:1		250:10 264:8
253:19 254:2 256:21,22 <b>august</b> 67:20 68:1,6,8 72:4 72:12,20 73:4 73:23 74:25 76:11,18 79:13 79:20 80:9,12 80:14,25 81:2 81:2,23 157:19 246:25 <b>author</b> 200:2,5 232:11,14 <b>authored</b>	52:7,14,18,18 52:21 53:1 64:21 67:24 68:2,15 77:11 78:3,6 95:8,11 95:18,21,22,25 110:17,21 119:20,25 120:18 121:15 121:17 123:16 125:21 134:16 134:24 140:8 141:24 143:13 145:24 146:1 153:4 159:23	24:20 41:1,4 53:14 62:22 69:1 82:6 84:2 87:5 92:7,24 117:22 120:1 127:7 130:2 132:7 163:4 167:23 170:5 195:4 196:18 204:25 213:8 231:19 236:1 239:16,22 241:2,3 256:17 264:19 266:12 267:14 287:18	278:18 based 22:2 34:18 95:4 100:11 119:22 122:21 124:15 132:24 175:19 206:25 221:8 233:2 275:4 278:7 bases 12:20 13:22 116:23 basically 18:5 25:7 38:1 50:15 60:16 170:19 177:6

283:19	46:19 54:2,14	75:5,15,21	<b>beyond</b> 166:20
<b>basing</b> 129:20	54:19 55:7	81:15,24 86:21	230:6,10
<b>basis</b> 101:1	57:16 77:20	86:23 92:14,20	<b>bias</b> 214:21
112:2 116:3,6	91:8,15,17	93:5,21,24	215:6,16
116:7 117:5	93:17 94:16	94:6,10,15	<b>biased</b> 214:24
121:25 247:17	95:15 97:8	95:1,12,13,19	<b>biasing</b> 215:18
248:7 265:5	100:8,9 102:14	95:23 96:18	<b>big</b> 250:9
269:19 274:11	102:24 103:16	97:20 100:3	<b>billed</b> 251:9
<b>bates</b> 4:1,4,17	103:22,25	109:23 114:22	<b>billing</b> 251:14
4:24 5:3,10 6:5	104:7 105:7	116:18 117:5	<b>billion</b> 64:20
6:8,11 44:11	115:10 121:10	121:7,12,16,18	95:19,23 96:17
<b>bcg</b> 135:15	122:14 132:22	121:23 122:13	96:17 226:14
136:7,14	141:22 143:4,6	122:21,23	226:18
<b>beat</b> 209:10	143:6 167:5,12	123:1,18,23	<b>bills</b> 162:25
<b>began</b> 67:24	191:8 216:1	125:3 131:18	<b>biopsy</b> 158:9
68:5 220:12	218:18 229:13	132:13 154:1	<b>bird</b> 6:15
288:6	229:20 243:3,7	154:23 175:16	175:18 177:17
beginning	271:20	175:23 176:24	178:20 233:1
12:11 155:13	<b>bell</b> 2:14 173:7	178:7,17 179:6	234:18 284:12
<b>begins</b> 43:15	192:16	190:20 191:7	285:25 290:13
44:2 87:6	belllegalgrou	194:14 207:2,6	<b>bird's</b> 284:15
167:24 190:6	2:16	207:11,19	285:10,23
239:17	<b>bells</b> 78:8,9	210:19 231:25	286:21
<b>behalf</b> 1:11 2:3	bellwether	232:6,18,24	<b>birth</b> 167:2,13
2:18 38:21	47:21	237:14,23	167:15
180:10 236:11	bendamustine	238:18 262:18	<b>bit</b> 29:24 42:24
253:20 254:6	143:16	281:3,4,15	57:9,23 73:25
259:4,6,22	benefits 119:21	286:1	82:25 205:17
<b>belief</b> 91:12,14	benzene 4:7	benzenes 96:11	213:15 267:2
141:19 150:11	19:22 22:3,7	<b>best</b> 149:14	267:19 268:12
150:17	32:18 42:13,17	262:3	283:17
believe 27:12	52:23 59:7,8	<b>better</b> 126:19	bladder 127:6
28:22 29:11	65:15,19 70:10	231:17	128:4,17
30:1 31:12	70:20 72:21		134:17,21

[bladder - camp] Page 11

135:1,18 136:1	<b>born</b> 103:12	<b>brief</b> 53:12	california
136:17,23	bottom 28:2	87:3 132:5	67:25 68:6
137:5,10,13,21	63:1,8 66:12	204:23 239:14	<b>call</b> 14:7 27:8
138:3,5,7,14,17	79:7 108:2,12	264:17 267:12	70:2 86:18
138:20,21	135:12 146:19	287:16	131:21 142:14
139:3,19,23	212:23 230:12	briefing 233:25	174:13 249:12
140:1,3,10,17	232:23	<b>briefly</b> 9:11	251:24 255:11
140:25 141:7,9	<b>boulevard</b> 1:15	<b>bring</b> 10:5	269:8 271:10
141:16,20	62:3 78:1,4,21	broadway 2:5	<b>called</b> 8:21 22:8
142:6 151:22	80:1 81:16	<b>brother</b> 126:25	26:11 60:14
151:24 152:5	<b>bove</b> 184:6	127:2	142:13 168:23
152:12,12,23	192:15 195:5	<b>building</b> 2:9	179:23 252:2
153:3 260:25	195:12 196:25	<b>built</b> 92:5,9	<b>camp</b> 1:5 4:16
<b>blame</b> 151:25	199:25 219:10	byproducts	4:23 5:2,5,6,10
<b>blank</b> 129:22	222:21	84:6	5:15,18 8:8 9:8
131:14 138:12	<b>box</b> 165:7	c	22:4 34:10,24
171:3	203:14	calculate 42:12	41:3,17 42:14
blocked 166:8	brackets 79:10	42:16 51:9	42:18 45:4,16
<b>blood</b> 26:4	<b>bradford</b> 172:5	106:10 167:3	45:16 52:8,15
107:5,7,10,12	brain 85:8	274:17 275:18	52:23 61:6,14
128:20 129:2	98:24 137:8,17	275:19 276:21	68:7 77:5,7,12
129:15,16	139:8	calculated 50:2	82:4 94:10
135:14 165:25	break 9:21	50:20,24	95:1 96:19
<b>blvd</b> 2:10	57:22 60:22	122:22 123:17	97:22 98:14
<b>bm</b> 79:16	86:16,18,24	210:9	99:18 100:3
board 81:8	87:10 100:1	calculating	108:5,18
<b>body</b> 50:16	132:11 154:7,9	106:15 277:11	109:24 121:8
58:3,12,15,20	154:13,16	calculations	121:11 123:19
58:24 59:17,24	168:3 204:17	46:4 47:10	123:25 131:18
60:5,18 150:6	205:4 239:7,20	49:19 56:24	132:14 134:12
<b>bone</b> 158:9	264:13,23	70:7,25 71:15	152:4 154:1,24
bonuses 263:7	288:7,10,11,14	272:19 282:20	155:20 156:3,6
263:16	breakfast		156:23 175:23
	248:25		176:24 178:7

Page 12 [camp - cases]

178:18 179:7	105:23 106:2	128:20 129:2	38:10,19,22
184:8 187:9,9	106:12 125:19	130:15 141:7,8	40:4,14,16,23
187:10 190:12	127:6 128:4,10	152:20 185:23	41:22 42:1
190:13,20	128:17 129:15	187:12,17	47:14 90:6
191:7 194:14	129:16 130:17	189:5 194:1	98:21 116:25
197:2,13,16	133:2 134:17	199:20 211:22	130:16 131:9
199:3,19 203:4	134:21,22	261:9,25	137:7 144:24
210:19 211:23	135:1,18 136:1	<b>cap</b> 250:12	153:7 154:5
211:23 212:11	136:3,17,24	capable 70:4	155:24 156:1
213:1,4 215:17	137:5,10,14,21	capecitabine	156:10 163:5
215:17,20,22	138:3,6,7,15,18	60:15	164:4,21,22
215:23 216:7	138:20,21	<b>carbon</b> 232:1	166:5 174:14
216:19,19	139:4,19,23	carcinogens	176:18,19
217:15,15	140:1,3,10,17	95:9	179:20,22
219:13,23,24	140:25 141:9	carcinoma 19:1	180:17,19
220:2,2,11,11	141:16,21	135:14 136:16	240:8 242:1,14
221:11,12,22	142:7 151:22	cardiac 166:11	245:7 250:21
221:23 222:7,8	151:24 152:5	cardiologists	250:24 251:17
222:9,24	152:12,23,23	166:13	252:8,19
224:11,11,22	153:1,3 166:4	care 4:12 24:19	253:22 259:2,5
224:23 237:7	166:9 176:13	57:9 146:1	259:7,8,20,23
237:15,16,23	209:11,23	147:24 252:17	259:25 260:2
237:24 238:19	210:8,11 216:8	252:18,21,22	261:12,22
238:20 244:5,7	219:11 220:10	carolina 1:1	263:15,18,21
245:4 246:3	221:22 225:9	2:15 4:12 5:7	264:1 269:4
290:22 295:3	249:5,7,8	8:10 68:7	271:7 272:13
296:4	252:25 259:8	<b>carry</b> 173:24	276:11 278:4
cancer 5:12	259:11 260:2	case 1:2 3:21	280:18 281:1
18:10,13 22:20	261:1	4:20 11:16	281:18 282:6
23:19 26:4	cancers 5:2	12:3,21 13:8	283:10 284:3
27:14 28:13	6:16 18:16	13:18,23 16:23	284:20 285:17
30:15 57:1	23:1,5,12,16	24:13 25:21	287:7 296:4,4
60:21 103:17	60:16 106:3	34:5 35:5	<b>cases</b> 11:20
103:22 105:13	112:19 113:6	36:20,23 37:3	25:15,17 28:18

[cases - chance] Page 13

29:5,5,21 30:5	201:1 202:1	31:22 32:22	140:3 157:13
30:18,18 32:22	203:3 210:18	33:2,7 36:12	197:22 198:20
107:1,2 165:23	237:14,22	36:14 37:7	causing 27:13
221:11,12	238:9,17	39:18 40:10	134:13 156:24
227:14 229:14	causally 36:16	57:19 60:4,11	<b>ceased</b> 120:10
250:23 251:7	121:8 286:17	60:20 93:25	145:20
251:18 252:3	causation 3:13	94:7,11 95:2	<b>cell</b> 18:25 112:6
252:15,24	3:16 6:15	100:4,10	206:15 207:4
253:8,11 254:5	40:17 101:23	115:16 116:11	<b>cells</b> 60:21
254:23 255:4,7	134:1 157:7	116:24 117:18	150:6
255:24 256:4	168:21 171:24	125:10 130:24	center 2:15
261:16	175:16,17	131:19 132:14	166:12 249:6,7
cataloged	176:5,12,15,23	132:21 133:2	<b>central</b> 276:25
195:18	177:8,16,20	133:17,24	certain 32:14
categorical	178:19 179:17	134:3 151:24	45:15 55:21
4:19	201:21 202:20	157:8,11 165:1	107:6,7 173:23
categories	206:1,17,18	207:12,20	174:20,20
185:22 186:3	207:5 232:18	271:16 287:22	177:11 189:7
187:12 217:2	232:24,25	<b>caused</b> 22:5,23	193:25 228:5
217:18	233:8,10	24:7,17 27:14	228:14 240:8
categorize	234:17 252:20	28:23 34:24	certainly
195:13	252:22 261:8	106:3 109:23	260:15
categorized	271:11,23	135:1,18	certainty
118:9 227:4	282:6 283:8,13	136:23 152:4	156:10 260:10
category	284:2,10,25	153:25 156:6	certificate 3:4,4
152:20 195:6	285:1,2,12,17	164:18 176:13	293:1 294:1
227:16 229:18	286:25 290:12	237:17,25	certified 7:13
caucasian	291:5	238:21 271:14	8:24 183:21
133:11	<b>cause</b> 22:19	290:23 291:5	241:20 291:17
<b>causal</b> 112:18	24:9 27:3,10	causes 20:24	291:23
113:4 117:2	27:11 28:13	31:7,22 108:3	certify 293:6
175:22 178:6	29:12,12,14,14	108:16 110:6	294:5,12
178:16 179:5	29:15,17,18,21	113:17 118:3	<b>chance</b> 41:25
190:19 191:6	30:1,2,6 31:7	118:15 135:3	107:10 158:17

[chance - clear] Page 14

474 4 6 227 6 6	1 1 2 22 4	1.10.1	100 0 010 10
171:16 225:6,6	chemicals 22:4	149:1	199:8 219:12
change 17:21	22:8 50:9 52:5	<b>choose</b> 188:13	222:22
96:6 103:3,3	55:25 69:21	188:14,14	civilians 197:10
106:7 108:24	97:22 98:3,13	chronic 21:17	197:19 199:3
129:8 150:7	103:17 112:5	26:2,13 112:6	220:2
188:15 214:2	115:6 117:3	147:18 220:16	<b>cl</b> 6:5,8,11
254:1 266:2	134:12 156:23	227:22	<b>claim</b> 37:7 40:9
290:7 296:7,7	237:16,24	cigarette	260:21 261:4
changed 31:1	238:20 247:24	121:19 122:22	263:15,20,25
<b>changes</b> 31:3,4	chemotherapy	122:24 125:3	265:1,6
142:13 160:17	135:15 136:4,8	cigarettes	claims 22:1
169:21 295:7	141:20 142:6	121:24 123:23	252:25 263:18
295:10,10,11	142:11,15,18	citation 46:15	264:5
changing 96:8	145:9 148:12	citations	clarification
chapter 3:25	148:12 151:22	203:12	244:10 266:20
4:3 62:1 85:4,5	158:4	<b>cite</b> 18:15 44:10	<b>clarify</b> 139:22
characteristics	<b>child</b> 210:10	119:3 164:25	255:3
174:22	childhood	165:7,9,11	classification
<b>chart</b> 4:12	126:11	168:8,11	3:18 27:24
53:25 65:5	chimney	184:15,20	196:11
66:11 72:1,13	140:18	226:5 228:1	classifications
72:21 73:5	chlorambucil	230:3,7	26:19 27:1
74:19 75:7	144:3,11	<b>cited</b> 15:18,19	classified 26:5
88:10 92:19	147:12,22	16:1,9,14	190:9 196:9
151:13 280:3,3	148:4,20,25	181:15 234:18	classify 26:21
280:5	149:11 150:2	234:19	clause 118:1
<b>charts</b> 78:24	150:24	<b>citing</b> 230:6	<b>clean</b> 208:10
<b>check</b> 183:3	chloride 56:11	citizens 123:1	cleaning
chemical 19:18	56:13 63:13	<b>city</b> 261:20	206:12
52:2 59:13,23	75:5,14,20	<b>civil</b> 2:21	<b>clear</b> 12:15
71:20 112:18	274:10	180:25	31:11 98:11
113:5 132:22	<b>cho</b> 4:9 144:23	civilian 4:21	113:14 129:2
140:13,14,24	145:24 146:16	5:13,17 196:25	179:16 192:22
	147:14,23	197:12,14,17	266:16 281:24

[clear - comment] Page 15

284:1	116.7 10 117.5	224.15.22	achanta 216.7
	116:7,18 117:5	224:15,22	cohorts 216:7
clearly 117:17	117:18 118:9	227:4 228:9,11	219:20 223:16
144:6 181:18	118:15,24	228:19 229:9	colleague 9:7
<b>client</b> 253:20	119:22 120:7	229:23 230:2,3	colleagues
288:24	121:2,4,6,8,11	237:15,17,24	225:25
clinic 148:9	124:17 125:10	237:25 238:19	<b>colon</b> 259:8,11
249:18 261:24	125:21,23	238:21 248:4,5	column 28:8
clinical 15:2	128:21 129:1,5	248:10,14,21	48:16,19,20
21:13 30:10	129:6,10,16	260:14 271:12	49:4 65:16
33:5 35:18,21	130:7,12,20,25	271:14,16,24	81:4,25 85:21
104:19 158:3	131:11,19	272:1 289:14	86:4,14 87:24
158:11	132:15 133:9	289:18 290:23	88:1 190:5
<b>clja</b> 4:1,4,17,24	134:13,25	291:5,6	253:15 281:7
5:3,11	135:1,17,17	<b>cll's</b> 194:6	columns 48:14
<b>cll</b> 21:19,22	136:1,4,10,23	<b>close</b> 128:9	48:17,18 49:11
22:5 26:1,9,16	136:23 137:9	<b>clot</b> 107:7,10	66:14 75:4
26:24 27:4	137:11 141:3	107:12	84:18,23 85:16
28:17 29:5,20	150:6,7 151:12	<b>clots</b> 107:5	85:22 86:21
30:11,18 31:7	152:11,16,22	<b>clr</b> 293:13	93:15
31:8,22 32:1	153:2,25	294:5,22	combination
32:22 33:15,16	154:22 155:20	295:21	144:11 150:2
33:18,20 93:21	156:5,7,24	<b>cml</b> 207:7	164:9
93:25 94:7,11	157:8 158:11	coastal 4:12	combined
94:16 95:2	159:13 161:18	<b>coffee</b> 69:25	276:24
96:4 100:4,10	161:18 162:2	cohn 225:22,23	combining
101:23 102:9	164:1 175:23	225:25 226:11	158:3
102:13,22,25	176:25 178:7	227:3,10	<b>come</b> 11:9
104:6 108:4,17	178:18 179:7	<b>cohort</b> 4:16,23	13:21 39:16
109:22 110:6	190:20 191:7	5:15,19 174:9	69:1 75:7,9
110:15,20	194:8,14 195:5	174:13 184:9	77:19 189:6
112:5,12 114:6	195:8,13 203:5	190:12,14	263:13 273:7
114:8,13,15,21	207:6 210:20	197:2 207:1	comes 12:5
114:23 115:6	221:11,12	219:14,19,22	comment 198:8
115:13,14,16	222:4,13	220:1 222:24	
, ,			

	1	T	T
comments	complete 12:2	79:25 81:15	concluded
124:14 275:16	13:17 102:6	83:8 85:17	110:18 201:20
commission	104:3 136:13	86:1 226:13	205:25 292:4
293:14	145:11 149:9	275:1,3 276:22	concludes
committee	150:4,12 158:6	278:23	112:3 205:19
112:3	294:9	concept 50:11	206:16 207:4
common	completed	107:21 170:1,3	291:13
102:25 107:4	200:6	176:4,8 213:11	conclusion
164:21 165:10	completely	213:19	112:1 177:8
commonly	9:15 31:3	concepts	178:11,11
103:6	116:10 153:2	168:15 269:12	179:2,4,8
communicati	158:15	283:19	189:7 191:5,18
15:14	completion	<b>concern</b> 273:13	194:13 201:25
company	158:10 240:17	concerned	202:19 205:15
179:23 295:13	complications	96:10,14	205:18 206:8
compare 97:21	60:12 163:6	107:14	206:10,25
99:17 107:11	260:23	concerning	207:14 210:17
115:23	component	55:9 87:13	210:23 211:3
compared	103:25	88:21 109:17	225:3
107:9 122:23	compound	114:3,4,6,7,13	conclusions
136:12 171:16	226:13	114:14,21,22	171:24 191:9
190:12 216:19	compounds 4:8	115:5,12,14	191:13 221:8
217:15 221:23	22:8 122:13	117:1,4 141:16	238:3 284:23
224:23 271:16	230:23 231:13	142:1 194:13	285:4
comparing	274:16	228:5,8,19	condition 40:18
186:13 187:8	computer 90:3	229:8 230:2,3	125:20 163:17
211:22 215:17	92:3	237:7 265:14	conditions 40:3
comparison	concentration	concerns 90:7	<b>conduct</b> 153:16
114:10,18	80:16 82:14	163:9 223:16	189:12,15,18
115:1,3 117:4	93:11 210:12	conclude 36:13	195:23 234:4
220:10	226:21	175:21 178:5	conducted
comparisons	concentrations	200:25 202:1	153:10 190:7
99:22	63:11,16,18	203:2 291:18	196:8 226:11
	69:20 78:20		
	69:20 78:20		

		I	
conducting	consider 26:22	204:5 212:20	contain 12:19
108:13 109:21	27:2 96:3	236:22 241:11	13:17 15:6
153:23 216:6	97:19 98:3,20	241:15,25	contained
conferences	102:21 104:5	242:13,20,22	286:10
247:17	104:24 110:13	266:17,20	containing
confidence	112:11 121:1	267:23 278:3	47:10 260:12
170:15,25	124:10,16	284:9 290:1,5	contains 12:2
187:17,21	126:16 129:24	291:7	13:22 109:15
188:1,12	130:1,4 133:16	considering	121:15 216:17
197:23 198:21	133:25 134:4,7	108:3,16	220:9 221:21
212:6 214:8	137:12,15,20	115:24 157:11	224:8 255:4
220:20 221:7	137:23,24	consistent	259:17
221:14 224:9	138:1 139:2,6	112:17 113:4	contaminant
224:18 225:4	140:7 142:18	114:7,14,22	52:1,5 92:6
227:15 229:15	157:6,13	115:5,13	276:21
<b>confirm</b> 80:19	165:20 169:10	consists 219:22	contaminants
149:10,13	188:23 214:3	220:1	5:2 34:24 41:3
177:24	284:10 287:22	constantly	152:4 199:19
confirmation	considerable	26:19	210:8 231:21
149:5,14	190:8 196:8	consultation	contaminated
confirming	considerations	32:2	4:15,22 5:9,18
185:13	172:6	consulted	45:4,17,21
<b>confuse</b> 127:18	considered	276:1,3	88:14 108:5,18
164:7	5:23 6:2 15:7	consumed	184:7 197:1
confused 73:25	15:12 26:4	230:21 231:11	212:11 213:3
82:25	28:14 98:11,19	consumption	215:25 222:23
confusing	99:4,13 102:14	49:5 55:11	279:3,4
236:13	110:11 115:25	275:22 276:7	contamination
confusion	124:8,10 130:2	279:10 280:14	5:20 226:1
144:5	132:17 137:17	281:9	246:4 278:22
connected	139:7 140:9	contact 16:11	content 14:14
294:15	142:10 193:12	contacted	contents
conservatism	193:19,20	244:11 245:6	248:21
209:16	199:6 203:24		

Page 18 [context - correct]

context 37:20	187:11 193:25	21:17,20 22:5	104:11 105:13
37:25 38:1	205:18 216:24	22:9 25:11	105:15 106:12
56:2,7 169:24	217:22	28:5,5,14	106:15,18
171:21 172:4	convention	30:11,15 34:2	108:10 109:17
172:18 174:2	171:5,7	34:5,11,14,19	109:24 110:10
186:19,20	conversations	35:19 39:5	110:15 112:19
187:1 191:10	14:11	41:3,9,22 42:4	113:6,7 116:18
213:23 214:14	conversion	42:5,7,8,21	118:10,16,19
214:15 260:20	65:2	43:3 47:17	118:21 119:6
282:5	<b>copy</b> 10:15	48:22 50:18	119:17 120:7
continuation	11:1,16,19	51:6 52:24	120:20 121:2,9
71:25 147:24	13:8 38:8,13	54:23 58:3,20	121:12 123:6
continue 11:10	111:7 122:11	58:24 59:24	123:14 124:7,9
149:3	219:10 222:21	60:24 61:3	124:17 125:5
continues	234:7 240:13	63:5,21,24	125:11 126:2
63:23	241:6 246:18	64:15,16 65:13	126:11,14,22
continuing	246:21,24	65:21 66:3,24	128:10 129:25
65:4 105:19	251:6 259:16	67:2,7,9,15	130:8,12 133:9
contribute 24:5	260:11 268:18	68:18 69:20	133:12,14,18
contributed	corner 63:1	70:1 71:17	133:25 134:1
106:11 130:16	146:23	72:1,4,6,14,22	134:18,22
134:13 156:24	coronary 166:8	73:6,23 74:15	135:10,16
contributes	corporate 2:15	75:5,21 78:11	137:14,22
106:2	<b>corps</b> 5:6,10,15	79:17,19 80:2	138:4,9,22
contributing	219:13	80:5,10,17	139:4,5,8,17
20:23 105:12	correct 11:22	81:3,6,20	140:1 141:4,12
105:22 106:1	11:25 12:17	82:11,16 84:11	141:17 143:8
contributors	13:12,15 14:3	84:14 86:22	143:11 151:6
108:6,19	16:9,15 17:8	87:16 88:11	151:20 152:2,5
<b>control</b> 162:13	17:10,11,12,13	89:23,24 90:1	153:12 154:1
controlled	17:14,15,16,19	90:2,3,4,22	156:24 157:8
162:3 174:14	17:19,20,21	91:1 92:3,4,21	157:25 158:1,7
convenience	18:2 19:7,16	93:5,18 94:21	158:18,24
112:1 185:21	19:23 20:15,18	96:4 98:14,16	159:5,8 160:13

Page 19 [correct - daily]

		T	
160:21 162:11	241:12,19	274:15	123:18 216:18
162:16 163:6	243:21 245:15	corroborate	217:14 273:8
163:10,14,15	246:19,22	78:6,9	274:18 275:10
164:1,5 165:2	247:21 249:3	counsel 8:12	275:22 276:21
165:11 166:16	249:21 253:12	15:11 180:22	280:8,11,13
166:25 168:6	255:23 256:1	180:23 209:4	281:9
168:10 172:11	258:17,23	241:14 288:6	<b>cups</b> 69:25,25
176:2 177:13	259:20 283:22	288:13 290:16	current 106:7
177:18,22	285:10 290:10	294:13,15	106:13,13,14
179:11 180:19	corrected	295:11	113:16 118:1
181:16 182:24	247:10	counted 250:8	118:14 240:13
183:2 184:9,12	corrections	253:13	246:21
184:16 185:2	296:6	<b>country</b> 103:12	currently
185:16,19	correctly 21:1	<b>county</b> 23:19	158:23
187:9 189:23	28:11 36:17	293:4 294:4	curriculum 6:4
190:16 192:3	39:23 40:12	couple 252:3	<b>cut</b> 101:16
193:20,21,22	41:18 47:15	<b>course</b> 186:25	<b>cv</b> 1:2 11:20
195:24 196:15	76:14 77:9	269:9 287:12	19:3 24:1
197:6,10,14,17	105:24 112:8	<b>court</b> 1:1 8:9	141:11 246:18
198:25 199:23	113:18 118:4	8:18 9:16	246:22,24
202:4,15,21	123:3 125:24	12:11	247:2,11,13,15
203:5 204:6	142:20 145:22	<b>courts</b> 25:19	248:10 296:5
207:12 208:3	147:15,25	<b>cover</b> 53:21	<b>cycle</b> 147:10,20
208:20 211:16	150:9 161:5	criticized	cyclidophosp
214:9 216:20	175:24 190:15	283:21	143:20
217:4 219:17	198:22 201:4	<b>crr</b> 1:17 293:13	cyclophosph
219:20,24	205:23 206:19	294:5,22	143:21 164:23
220:4,14	207:8 209:20	295:21	d
221:13 223:3	213:6 216:2,10	<b>csfs</b> 209:17,22	<b>d</b> 1:8,8 3:22
223:17 224:1	227:1 230:25	cte 276:25	38:10
226:6 228:2,6	231:16 232:3	cumulative	<b>d.c.</b> 2:22
228:9,10	257:2	4:19 6:13 46:8	daily 41:15
229:19 232:6	corresponding	48:19,21 49:5	121:24 122:23
232:10 240:17	182:10 210:11	50:2 55:11	121,27 122,23

damage 60:4	76:2 146:22	dear 295:5	define 33:2
damages 22:2	241:25 242:13	<b>death</b> 4:20	34:16 42:3
57:17	246:19,25	136:16 197:23	51:22 174:11
damian 1:10	294:19	198:20	174:17 175:2
3:2,8,11,14,17	<b>dates</b> 75:1	decades 92:7	defined 34:20
3:20 5:22 6:1,4	80:11 119:19	120:10	34:22
6:7 8:11,20 9:4	257:14	december 6:17	<b>definitely</b> 193:6
11:3 291:14	<b>david</b> 2:19 8:16	63:22 64:2	definition
293:7 294:7	9:6 172:20	72:5 146:22	28:15,20 29:9
296:2,25	david.ortiz	147:5,9,13,23	29:16 174:18
<b>data</b> 88:21	2:23	150:1 220:3	175:1 202:15
99:19 101:8	<b>dawn</b> 1:17 8:18	259:2	215:4
113:16 118:2	293:13 294:5	decided 147:21	definitively
118:14 164:25	294:22 295:21	decrease 150:6	113:16 118:2
175:5 184:15	<b>day</b> 68:11 91:3	224:22	118:14
184:21 185:5	95:9 120:23	decreased	degradation
188:21 191:16	122:24 145:6	101:21	84:6
193:9,25	234:11 248:24	defamation	<b>degree</b> 101:22
194:12 195:18	249:19 258:10	263:20,25	125:22 134:10
196:11 217:6	261:24 276:22	265:1,5	156:21
222:12 227:22	276:23 277:1	defamatory	deletions 296:6
228:1,5,8,11,18	277:12,13	265:12	demonstrated
229:8,23 230:1	278:2 289:23	defendant	112:17 113:4
230:3	293:9 294:19	254:7,9	125:20 150:5
<b>date</b> 1:12 8:4	days 28:25	defendant's	153:3
12:8,16 66:15	66:15,20 68:25	3:10 11:2	denote 7:14
66:15,19,19	234:12 274:5	defendants	department
67:19,20 88:1	276:23,23	1:11 2:18	2:20 9:6 45:7
88:5 167:2,13	277:20,23	<b>defense</b> 180:11	depend 169:7
167:15 248:20	278:1,2 280:16	180:12 254:20	170:13 172:17
251:9 295:13	<b>dce</b> 63:12	<b>defer</b> 45:20	250:20,23
<b>dated</b> 5:23 6:2	<b>dd</b> 276:5	51:9,12 69:10	dependents 5:9
6:5,10,13,17	<b>dead</b> 208:13	69:13 158:20	depending
11:24 13:14		167:14 171:11	51:20 52:12

		T	
70:2 97:7	256:14 257:5,9	213:10 245:6	devastating
126:16 214:2	257:18 264:23	245:11 248:22	127:19
269:8	266:19 268:2,8	269:3,9,10	developed
depends 14:7	268:16,24	detailed 71:5	135:25 150:3
27:8 28:19	269:15,18,23	218:24 230:7	developing
29:8,25 33:1	270:11,14,17	details 11:7	107:6,10
37:19,24 58:16	270:20,24	22:17 23:24	130:17 159:19
102:10 169:14	271:2,5,21	24:9 46:13	160:20 161:2
170:8 171:2,13	276:5 288:17	48:6 49:21	210:7
202:11 213:24	288:18 289:2	55:22 56:1	development
214:13 249:19	289:13 290:6	57:5 68:3 69:2	20:23 105:12
249:19 282:18	291:14 292:1,3	77:14 95:17	105:23
deployments	294:7 295:7,8	120:25 123:20	develops
68:18	296:3	126:6 129:21	159:21
deponent 8:11	depositions	142:8 175:7	dgjonaj 2:11
deposed 9:10	43:9 237:11	188:25 189:1	diagnose
51:2 173:4	254:21	191:23 206:3	252:25 259:11
deposition 3:7	<b>depth</b> 283:9	212:15 223:21	260:7,21 261:4
3:11,21 4:10	deriving	237:4,5 258:16	diagnosed 24:6
8:6 10:6,16	209:16	258:21 260:1	24:16 125:22
11:3 37:23	<b>dermal</b> 275:13	265:7,13,14	133:9 134:17
38:9,14,24	275:15	detect 89:9	134:20 157:16
39:5 51:4,6	<b>descent</b> 103:13	218:22	163:17,19
82:23 87:9	103:14 133:11	detected	259:12,15
104:10 119:4	describe	231:24	260:7
120:20 141:2,2	104:10	determination	diagnoses
144:23 146:4	described	108:25	18:25 104:21
146:15 148:10	20:20	determine 89:4	286:17
163:20 168:2	designs 173:24	105:21 171:8	diagnosis 24:11
205:4 239:20	173:25 174:5	235:10	104:15,20
243:22 244:3	desire 295:9	determining	120:11 136:2
253:9 254:17	despite 135:14	105:11	141:20 142:6
254:18 255:5,8	detail 48:3	detroit 2:10	151:23 163:9
255:22,25	89:13 138:7,7		225:9 259:10

<b>diana</b> 2:8 257:3	108:14 109:16	236:11	diseases 5:3
dichloroethyl	109:21 125:8	discontinue	107:8 112:7
232:2	137:4 153:11	165:22	126:14 130:15
<b>died</b> 128:4	153:16,24	discover	199:20 212:24
138:17,20	154:20 291:7	261:21	213:5
139:23	difficult 57:9	discovery	<b>dish</b> 230:24
<b>diet</b> 96:3	57:10 102:16	90:13	231:14
166:18,20	103:3,10	discuss 14:11	disorder 166:1
<b>differ</b> 103:13	188:17 235:8	15:10 18:7	disprove 40:6
difference	289:24	110:11,12	distributed
188:22	difficulty 40:5	147:24 184:15	78:20 80:1
differences	<b>direct</b> 73:9 74:2	184:20 228:1	81:16
224:3	122:21 198:10	discussed 14:13	district 1:1,1
different 13:20	226:6 227:9	92:1 198:16	8:9,9
23:1,2,12,15,19	290:11	213:9 233:8	division 2:21
23:20 27:2	directed 290:16	256:20 258:13	8:3
40:8 47:7	directly 117:13	265:20,23	<b>divorce</b> 262:24
50:17 54:9	117:21 119:6	discussing 14:9	<b>doctor</b> 281:6
63:3 77:12	director 141:6	82:22 132:10	document 7:14
78:24 96:11	disability	133:5	10:19 11:5
113:14 116:14	119:21	discussion	18:21 27:19
170:4 171:9	disagree 37:18	31:23 198:12	28:2 43:20
172:1 173:16	70:25 93:2,18	discussions	46:22,25 47:4
173:17 174:4	129:17 198:3	14:13,16	61:22 62:7
178:10 186:17	202:7 206:4	244:17	83:19,22 111:4
186:18 194:22	232:14	disease 20:23	111:6,8,11
199:3 215:3	disagreeing	26:3,7,10,11	122:8,10 127:1
219:20 261:25	202:8	31:20 34:25	144:19 146:11
275:17 278:22	disagreement	36:13,16 45:11	184:21 187:4,5
differential	25:10	107:6,7 126:9	189:17 190:2,3
20:17,21 36:11	disclose 180:15	126:12 165:17	190:17 191:4,8
98:4 104:10,15	180:15,20	165:18,20	191:17 192:3
104:20,25	disclosed	166:3 211:22	193:2 194:10
105:10 106:8	180:13,19		195:5 200:13

[document - edit] Page 23

201:7 203:3	<b>door</b> 267:3	242:24 264:22	<b>drug</b> 60:10
207:19 208:2	<b>dose</b> 50:2,5,12	266:17 267:18	165:4
208:19 210:17	50:17 51:9	272:16 273:20	<b>drugs</b> 60:10
211:2,3,16,19	57:23,24 58:2	274:25 275:2	248:18
212:22 216:14	58:10 59:12	275:10,24	<b>dry</b> 206:12
218:4,7 219:17	144:7 173:12	277:3 278:7,22	<b>due</b> 136:9
220:7 221:19	173:18 210:10	279:5,6,24	163:8 171:15
223:3 226:5	217:7 218:1	280:11 281:6	230:18 231:8
228:24 229:13	222:13	284:1,12,15	duly 8:21 293:8
235:9,13 240:2	<b>doses</b> 173:16	285:10,23,25	duration
240:3 291:4	<b>double</b> 107:6	286:16,21	221:22 222:7,8
documentatio	doubled 101:3	287:1,3,21	222:8
44:24	<b>dr</b> 3:11 5:22	288:4 290:13	<b>duty</b> 220:13
documented	6:1 9:2 11:3	295:5	dysregulation
120:8,18 121:3	46:5,7,18	<b>draft</b> 291:21,24	136:10
127:8,20	47:10,17 49:15	<b>drank</b> 69:16,24	e
158:18 236:19	50:1,20 51:1	69:25	<b>e</b> 1:8,8
documents 3:8	51:16 53:17	<b>draw</b> 105:18	<b>e.g.</b> 212:25
15:18,21,24,25	56:16 71:4	225:2 274:2	earlier 52:22
16:5,12 17:4	87:8 89:1	285:22	82:13,22 104:9
44:15 46:23	90:23 91:1	drinking 4:15	110:13 168:5
61:1 78:13	95:8 102:3	4:22 5:1,6,9,14	213:9,15
99:12 148:2	123:17 127:9	5:18,20 77:19	243:12 252:7
192:9 203:16	132:10 141:15	77:21 184:8	270:3 272:24
240:8 241:8	142:1 144:23	197:1 199:19	288:5 289:12
257:17,20	145:24 146:16	205:20 212:11	290:5,20
258:1,4,12,16	147:14,23	213:4 215:25	early 120:24
267:23 268:2	149:1 168:1	219:13 222:23	east 1:15
268:10,15,15	172:20 183:25	226:1 230:23	east 1.13 eastern 1:1 8:9
276:1,3 283:9	199:25 202:14	231:13	ecological
<b>doing</b> 39:15	205:3 232:15	<b>drive</b> 2:15	174:24
163:2 173:10	235:22 236:4,7	<b>drs</b> 175:18	edit 295:9
233:16 262:15	236:10,17	177:17 178:19	Cuit 293.9
274:20 277:10	238:14 239:19	233:1 234:17	

27:24 education 89:4 188:13,14 ex 247:4 effect 163:9	else's 267:21 emergencies 154:18 emergency 154:15,17 201:11 employed 171:5 220:2	environmental 2:21 106:5 epidemiologic 174:5 epidemiologi 94:20 95:5 99:19 168:9,14	296:1 error 171:16 esquire 2:4,8 2:13,19,20 essentially 220:24
education 89:4 188:13,14 247:4 effect 163:9	154:18 emergency 154:15,17 201:11 employed 171:5 220:2	epidemiologic 174:5 epidemiologi 94:20 95:5	esquire 2:4,8 2:13,19,20 essentially 220:24
188:13,14 est 247:4 effect 163:9	154:15,17 201:11 employed 171:5 220:2	174:5 <b>epidemiologi</b> 94:20 95:5	2:13,19,20 essentially 220:24
247:4 <b>effect</b> 163:9	154:15,17 201:11 employed 171:5 220:2	94:20 95:5	essentially 220:24
	201:11 employed 171:5 220:2	94:20 95:5	220:24
168:17 169:6 <b>e</b> :	171:5 220:2	99:19 168:9,14	4 1 10 1
	171:5 220:2		establish
198:21		168:25 171:5	188:18
effective 136:8	249:5	173:23 175:14	established
effects 18:1	employee 263:8	181:15 205:19	148:11
19:13,22 57:20	294:13,14	206:11 241:8	estimate 6:10
59:11 60:2,20 <b>e</b> :	employees 4:22	epidemiologist	50:15 92:6
165:6 209:12	5:8 197:1,12	17:12 89:9	98:8 209:17
215:19 248:18 <b>e</b> :	employment	168:6 172:23	249:11 250:2,7
<b>eight</b> 81:7,9	263:11	236:6,8	251:13 275:3
187:3,5 189:25 <b>e</b> :	ends 87:2	epidemiologi	estimated
190:2 196:5	167:20	168:15,18	210:7 279:6
212:21 224:5,7 <b>e</b> :	engagement	171:23	286:16
249:15 279:9	253:25	epidemiology	estimates 157:2
eighty 167:1,7 e	engagements	171:12 175:20	168:24 170:6
167:8 208:25	71:20	233:3	estimating
either 26:8 42:4 e	engineer 89:23	<b>equal</b> 26:7 36:2	277:20
42:7 61:9,11	92:2	equipoise 35:23	et 3:22 38:11
127:13 161:24 <b>e</b> :	england 140:18	200:19,20,24	122:12 184:6
163:1 220:13 <b>e</b> :	entering 295:7	201:20 202:15	196:25 219:10
261:9 286:2 <b>e</b> :	entire 45:4 77:7	202:20 205:22	222:21 225:22
291:11	79:19	206:17	225:23 226:11
electronically	entirety 45:3	equivalent	ethnicities
39:7 256:23	286:21,23	64:19 123:11	103:8,9
257:7 <b>e</b>	entitled 20:14	123:13	etiology 18:25
elevated 130:16	34:5 111:8	<b>erba</b> 142:1	20:18,21 27:3
188:24 214:11	122:12 219:10	erik 2:25 8:2	28:8,9 36:11
eliminating	226:1	<b>errata</b> 295:7,10	98:4 104:11
20:24		295:11,13	105:1,10 106:9

100.14 100.16	201.17 21 25	240.12 270.0	6.10 12 15
108:14 109:16	201:17,21,25	249:13 279:9	6:10,12,15
109:21 125:8	202:12,18,20	exceed 96:24	10:12,13,15,22
137:5 153:11	202:25 205:19	exceedingly	10:23,25 11:12
153:16,24	206:1,17,18	166:10	11:13,15 12:19
154:21 291:7	207:5	exceeds 96:17	13:4,5,7 14:1
european	exact 7:14	excellent 153:4	20:11 21:4
103:13	27:10,10	<b>excerpt</b> 146:16	24:21 27:16,17
evaluate 169:9	119:18 167:3	148:10	38:3,4 40:25
216:8	238:12	excerpts 4:10	41:1,21 43:23
evaluated	exactly 15:13	27:23 62:5,18	46:19,20 53:18
191:13	16:20 18:19	83:24 111:8	61:19,20 69:4
evaluation 4:14	25:3 27:11,21	144:22 146:15	71:23 74:3,23
5:16 105:20	44:23 47:24	exclude 130:6	75:8 78:16
184:6 189:12	57:15 70:4	130:11,13,19	83:15,17 87:13
189:15,18	73:20 82:24	131:8	111:1,2 122:5
190:6 195:23	90:16 93:14	excluded 116:3	122:6 144:13
196:7 222:21	95:16 96:9	116:10,24	144:16 146:7,9
282:16,19	97:9 106:15	excluding	146:15 183:20
<b>event</b> 36:11	111:14 145:12	130:24	184:1,2,5,16
136:15 265:15	155:25 158:16	excuse 64:9	185:14 189:11
everybody	158:19 159:16	114:3 205:9	189:14,16
29:12	183:16 203:7	239:23 240:3	190:3 192:11
everyday 97:6	223:19,23	273:19	192:23 193:3,9
97:8	235:18 251:24	execute 295:12	195:4 196:21
evidence 5:1	252:11 259:13	exercise 166:18	196:22,24
102:12 105:20	260:1	166:20	199:12,13,16
106:13 109:4,8	examination	exhausting	205:7 208:6,7
109:10 110:19	3:2,3,3 8:25	234:13	208:16 211:9
112:2,4 129:12	267:16 288:2	<b>exhibit</b> 3:7,9,12	211:10,12
129:21 132:25	examined 8:22	3:15,18,20,23	219:6,9,10
133:3,4 158:11	19:25 20:5	3:25 4:3,6,7,9	222:17,18,20
175:15 177:7	example 60:8	4:12,14,19,21	225:18,19,22
177:13 199:17	60:13 95:12,14	5:1,5,8,12,16	239:23,23
199:18 200:25	103:20 107:3	5:20,22 6:1,4,7	241:22,24
		, , - , -	. ,— .

242:8,9,12	141:15 142:1	22:3 24:19	112:15,18
246:14,15,18	148:10 162:21	32:7,10,14,17	113:5,12,15,17
251:1,3,5	171:11 172:23	41:14 42:14,18	113:21 115:15
253:3,5,7	179:19,21	50:9,16 55:17	116:4,24 118:3
268:24 270:7	180:7,10	58:12,14 69:4	118:7,15
272:6,7,12,15	183:14 207:23	70:9,19 71:9	119:23 121:8
272:25 273:19	230:8,10	83:9 92:13,20	121:10 122:25
273:22,22,25	235:22 242:25	93:4 94:10,17	123:18 131:17
274:3 275:19	249:21 251:21	94:24 95:1,9	132:13 156:6
279:14,17	252:8,14	95:11,13	156:23 168:16
284:11,13	254:22 255:18	117:13,21	169:6 175:23
290:12	256:5 266:9,12	119:6,9 121:14	178:7,17 179:6
exhibits 3:6,21	271:7,10 273:9	123:23 140:19	190:20 191:7
7:13 11:11	273:9 284:2,9	140:20 184:7	194:14 203:4
150:13 232:16	284:10,16	197:1 219:12	207:11,20
expect 58:17	expertise 51:25	222:23	210:8,10,11,19
104:3 250:18	experts 56:20	exposure 4:7	212:10 216:18
expectancy	69:10 71:2	6:13 17:16	217:3,3,3,14,19
56:23 57:17	89:15 90:6,12	31:12 41:2,16	217:19,19
experience	91:16 94:15	42:3,7,9 43:16	226:17,20
34:19 89:4	99:20,23	44:3 46:4,9	227:16 229:17
247:5 250:11	177:21 237:11	50:2,5,17,19,21	229:20 230:18
experiences	242:17 271:24	54:6 55:12,20	230:20 231:8
175:19 233:2	285:15	57:23 59:11	231:10 237:15
experiment	<b>expires</b> 293:15	69:11 70:5	237:15,23,24
102:18	<b>explain</b> 104:21	71:1,19 93:21	238:18,20
<b>expert</b> 3:13,16	explained	93:24 94:15	271:14 272:19
6:5,7,8,10,11	29:23 213:24	97:9,12,15	273:9 274:17
6:13,15 24:13	explanation	98:9 100:3,6	275:4,13,15
36:20 38:19	102:5	100:10 101:3	276:21,24,25
57:12 71:12,16	exponentially	101:21 103:16	277:16 279:18
76:1,4 89:8,25	107:8	104:5 108:4,17	280:12 286:1
90:13 92:2	exposed 4:15	109:23 110:9	exposures 4:20
97:10 129:14	4:22 5:9,13,17	110:14 112:5	18:11 22:5,21

97:6,19,22	<b>factor</b> 36:15	<b>failed</b> 178:14	<b>far</b> 12:4,22
98:2,13 99:18	94:16 96:4,5	259:11	13:19 14:4
99:20 100:7,9	102:3,7,14,19	<b>failing</b> 179:12	18:3 29:11
116:1 117:6	103:2,22 104:6	<b>failure</b> 136:13	96:6 111:17
122:13 132:22	104:7 105:12	252:25 260:6	121:4 129:7,12
134:12 140:13	105:12,18,21	260:20 261:4	233:8 243:16
140:14 152:3	105:22 106:1	<b>fair</b> 16:4 21:9	258:25 265:9
153:25 154:23	106:11 110:14	21:11 30:9	265:22
175:6 199:3	112:11,15	32:16,19 40:16	<b>fast</b> 81:1
206:13 207:3	113:21 116:4	40:21 48:2	<b>faster</b> 231:2
213:3 215:20	121:2 124:17	51:14 61:15	<b>fatty</b> 165:17,18
216:1 274:18	125:21 130:7	86:3 90:21	165:19 166:3
286:15 290:22	130:12,20,25	91:6 94:12	<b>fault</b> 240:4
express 36:14	137:13,21	96:8 101:20	fcr 158:3
56:12 179:14	138:2,14,21	113:20 166:2	164:10
expressing	210:11	235:15,18	<b>february</b> 6:13
176:23 177:5	factories 22:24	236:22 245:12	11:24 13:14
extensive 32:3	23:4	252:6 256:7	241:10 242:21
254:25	factors 18:11	285:19	federal 25:19
extent 101:4	20:23 27:13	<b>fairly</b> 126:13	180:25
244:16	36:14 102:9,15	<b>fall</b> 65:12	<b>fee</b> 6:7 11:20
f	102:17,22	<b>familiar</b> 104:14	251:6,17,18
<b>f</b> 1:9 85:4	103:19,20	168:23 170:15	<b>feel</b> 165:9
<b>f.a.c.p.</b> 3:14,17	106:4,5,18,25	<b>family</b> 31:20	<b>feet</b> 163:25
6:4	120:7 121:4	77:5 78:21	164:19 165:2
facility 16:11	133:1 139:3	80:2 81:17	fellows 248:6
facp 3:8,11 6:7	140:9 154:22	102:12,24	<b>felsher</b> 175:18
8:11 291:15	156:4 170:14	125:5,9,13,19	177:17 178:19
fact 129:9	170:20 171:2	127:15,22	233:1 234:17
135:18 136:23	172:5 209:23	128:18 129:1,5	287:1
138:19 139:25	271:17 272:3	138:2,13 140:8	<b>felt</b> 228:21
142:5 151:5	289:15 291:6	163:10	<b>field</b> 49:17
	<b>facts</b> 180:2	fantastic	117:12,20
		217:12	119:4 175:20

[field - first] Page 28

233:2	findings 3:25	68:5,15 69:4	265:21 271:13
<b>fifteen</b> 66:10	4:3 14:9 28:22	69:24 70:9,19	274:21 276:12
<b>figure</b> 27:9	50:7 62:2	71:9 74:8,10	284:16 286:17
<b>file</b> 4:19 192:11	172:1 206:4	82:3 87:14,18	290:22
192:15	<b>fine</b> 17:23	87:19,20 88:10	<b>fiolek's</b> 98:12
<b>files</b> 49:25	62:20 64:12	92:13,20 94:9	99:17 108:4,17
<b>filled</b> 148:22	70:17 72:16	96:19 97:20	109:22 110:6
filtration	73:15 74:13	99:25 100:2	115:16 116:25
230:22 231:12	83:23 85:14	107:20,23,24	119:3 123:18
<b>final</b> 27:11	86:20 90:17	109:14,17	125:10 126:1
28:24 29:12	127:10 132:1	110:10 112:14	126:21 127:5
105:10 125:17	143:25 176:21	113:22 116:4	128:3 129:9
159:8 207:14	180:3 198:17	119:8,20 120:1	130:7,15
226:9	201:10 208:10	120:12 123:22	131:19 132:11
<b>finally</b> 105:17	214:19 260:4	125:18 129:25	132:15 134:11
financially	267:4	130:3,9 133:8	134:25 136:22
294:16	<b>finish</b> 86:17	134:16 135:8	137:5,13,21
<b>find</b> 16:2,24	238:14 286:13	135:25 136:9	138:3,14,20
72:18 73:12	finished 63:12	141:19 142:2,5	139:3 141:16
81:11 99:10	63:16,19 69:19	142:23,25	146:16 152:3
102:25 103:2	78:20 80:1	144:3,24 145:6	162:2 237:17
111:20 121:5	81:16 84:7	147:9,19 148:3	237:25 238:21
127:4 151:14	134:8	149:16,22	279:18
151:19 153:14	<b>fiolek</b> 3:12,24	151:1,12,21	<b>firm</b> 252:2
157:12 172:4	4:10,13 5:24	153:12,14,14	257:7
173:16 174:21	11:16 12:3,21	160:3,6,8,19	<b>firms</b> 251:21
175:1 215:1	16:8 20:1,11	161:7,10 162:6	<b>first</b> 3:7,10
255:10 272:18	21:16 33:23	162:14 164:13	8:21 10:16
278:13,15,16	41:2,7,15	166:23,24	11:2 30:14
290:2 295:6	42:13 43:12,16	175:9 181:20	38:16 48:8,16
<b>finding</b> 206:15	43:22 44:3,11	181:23,25	48:18 53:24
207:2 212:9	45:5 47:6,11	182:5 183:2,7	63:7 77:1
213:21	50:3 55:9 56:5	197:13 223:6	85:20 105:16
	66:1,4,9 67:24	226:7 242:1	105:17 113:1

[first - four] Page 29

125:18,22	149:1 181:2	86:13 89:7	197:24 200:9
147:10,20	183:13 264:25	91:10,14 92:15	202:5 203:6
163:8 182:1,16	289:9	93:6 94:1	210:21 211:4
185:22 209:14	followed 45:6	96:12,20,25	215:8 227:6
210:1,6,7	<b>following</b> 20:21	97:23 98:5,15	235:16 237:18
219:22 242:24	47:20 136:1	99:14 100:22	245:22 246:8
245:5 273:22	149:3 267:20	101:6,24 105:2	247:25 250:4
279:17 280:1,2	296:5	106:19 109:6	262:5 265:16
281:7,23	follows 8:22	112:20 113:24	266:3 269:21
fisher 2:9	<b>food</b> 165:4	114:9,16,24	269:23 288:9
<b>five</b> 48:17,18	<b>foods</b> 95:12,14	115:7,17,21	290:21
167:7,8 201:9	95:15,16 96:11	116:8,19 117:7	<b>format</b> 34:9
226:17 250:19	footnote 84:24	118:25 119:11	<b>formed</b> 93:22
264:13 276:14	85:1,3,4	120:15 121:20	94:2
276:15	181:20	122:1 124:1,18	former 5:8
<b>flip</b> 290:17	footnotes 43:17	124:23 126:10	forming 15:7
<b>floor</b> 2:10	44:7,10,17,21	126:15,25	272:13 278:4
<b>florida</b> 1:16,18	84:25 181:23	127:2 128:11	280:18 281:1
8:7 249:3	182:2,4,18	128:22 129:18	284:19 287:6
263:14 293:3	foregoing	131:20 132:16	291:8
293:14 294:3	296:2	135:20 136:25	<b>forms</b> 240:14
fludarabine	<b>forgot</b> 235:8	139:9 150:14	<b>forth</b> 24:11
142:24 143:10	<b>form</b> 16:18	152:6 155:2,7	77:22 133:7
164:22	25:1 27:5 29:6	155:22 156:8	149:6 170:22
<b>focus</b> 63:24	29:22 32:23	156:25 157:9	285:15
200:24 252:11	36:25 37:9,16	160:14,22	<b>found</b> 16:17
252:12	40:19 46:10	161:20 162:4	102:11 111:23
focused 18:13	48:4 51:17	165:12 171:18	158:11 232:5,7
focusing 18:5	52:10,16 53:4	172:16 174:1	232:8 283:15
64:3 138:5	55:11 57:14	177:1 178:21	<b>four</b> 33:22 34:1
141:3 280:2	58:4 59:25	179:9 181:1	36:10 48:14
<b>follow</b> 45:19	65:22 68:19	188:6 190:21	81:7,7 85:3
130:21 132:12	69:8 70:23	191:19 192:4	104:11 105:9
147:14,23	72:23 83:10	193:15 194:15	105:14 167:1

[four - gleesing] Page 30

186:23 190:24	g	285:2,12	187:1 195:20
200:17,20	<b>g</b> 85:5	286:25 290:12	209:4 218:21
239:17 242:3	ga 3:25 4:3	291:4	236:16 250:14
242:16 268:11	gastrointestinal	generally 31:1	251:12 255:22
268:13 271:19	60:16	33:5 66:2 86:1	262:3 268:11
274:1 276:23	gathered	170:6 171:24	<b>given</b> 35:16
277:23 278:12	100:18	173:24 186:10	41:13 68:4
fourth 28:1	<b>geiger</b> 45:17	186:11 213:18	125:2 216:5
<b>frank</b> 199:25	gemcitabine	267:25 286:10	243:23 245:1
<b>frankly</b> 281:23	136:8	generated	253:8 276:17
<b>freely</b> 192:12	general 6:15	234:8	276:20 296:3
<b>friday</b> 258:9	15:23 32:11	genetic 27:13	<b>gives</b> 69:14
<b>front</b> 46:14	37:10,18 40:7	29:14 103:11	107:13,14
49:21 83:1	40:21 49:23	106:4	<b>giving</b> 37:22
127:17 139:14	89:11 103:20	genevieve	62:15 149:7
153:8,18 160:7	103:21,23	128:4	165:22 178:2
164:15 200:4	110:22 123:9	genital 141:7	178:25 179:3
239:25 261:6	123:10 126:8	george 3:22	215:4,5 243:11
290:14	126:17 128:23	38:10	262:1
<b>full</b> 9:3 15:24	152:20,25	<b>getting</b> 131:21	gjonaj 2:8
62:9 77:1	169:3,11	gilbert 175:18	257:2
105:15 125:18	171:19 172:2	177:17 178:20	gleesing 3:15
130:3 149:25	174:19 172:2	233:1 234:17	3:23 6:3 13:8
274:4 275:24	175:17 176:4	<b>give</b> 29:3 41:20	13:18,23 16:8
276:2,15	176:12,23	46:25 55:24	20:6 21:16
fuller 62:6	177:8,16,20	56:4 60:8,13	34:8 41:8,21
<b>fun</b> 262:25	178:19 179:17	73:25 75:24	42:17 43:25
function	218:10 228:13	91:4 92:23	45:5 50:3
267:20	232:17,24,25	93:16,17 102:5	54:16 55:17,21
<b>further</b> 147:21	233:8,10	107:8,9,12	57:6 73:22
216:7 226:16	234:16 271:23	109:9 126:19	74:5,9,10 75:2
291:10 294:12	282:6 283:7,13	129:21 131:14	76:10,17,21
future 96:6	284:2,10,25	138:11 140:16	77:4 83:9
	201.2,10,23	145:15 148:7,8	87:17 93:4,12

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377 Case 7:23-cv-00897-RJ

Document 509-5 Filed 08/26/25

www.veritext.com Page 327 of 374

	1	1	
94:24,25 96:19	155:3,8,16	204:20,21	<b>grand</b> 2:10
97:21 100:21	167:17 176:18	208:5 211:8	<b>great</b> 231:6
107:22,23	186:8 191:22	213:8 215:11	239:8
153:11,13	191:22 192:25	218:25 219:8	greater 34:23
154:22 156:16	194:5 205:10	225:10,17	greenwald 2:4
156:19 157:16	206:21 212:17	230:15,16	3:3 8:14,14
159:2 160:13	225:21 236:1	232:13 239:12	14:10 16:18
161:11 162:15	239:22 266:5	241:18 242:7	25:1 27:5 29:6
163:16 164:4	267:24 269:25	246:13 249:16	29:22 32:23
164:13,16	279:14 281:5	251:1 253:3	33:8 36:25
167:10 175:9	287:13 291:16	258:19,19	37:9,16 40:19
182:13,21	goes 225:5	264:15 266:12	46:10 48:4
183:6 197:16	<b>going</b> 10:11,21	266:18 267:10	51:17 52:10,16
239:3 265:24	11:10 12:6	267:19 268:16	53:4 57:13
271:13 274:21	13:3 24:20	268:17 270:2	58:4 59:25
276:12 281:6	27:15 37:7	277:18 278:15	65:22 68:19
284:17 286:16	38:2 39:14	287:14 288:20	69:8 70:23
gleesing's	40:1,9 46:12	288:23,25	72:23 83:10
98:12 99:18	46:18 47:13	289:3,4,6,9	86:13 89:7
153:6,8,25	50:22 51:12	291:15	91:10 92:15
154:5 156:22	53:10 61:18	golkow 8:3	93:6 94:1
157:8 159:13	81:1 82:17	295:13,22	96:12,20,25
163:5 242:13	83:14 86:16	<b>good</b> 8:1 9:23	97:23 98:5,15
290:22	87:1 92:7	41:25 97:3	99:14 100:13
<b>go</b> 25:2 27:7	102:17,18	104:2 158:17	100:22 101:6
32:4 37:2 38:6	110:25 120:1	165:21 173:11	101:12,24
41:4 46:11	122:4 124:14	177:23 209:6	105:2 106:19
51:23 53:8	127:11 132:3	216:12 239:7	107:23,25
68:25 72:15	134:2 144:12	255:15 260:22	109:6 111:16
80:18 82:6	145:16 146:6	266:14	111:20,22
92:24 100:15	151:25 162:5	goodman	112:20 113:24
117:8 119:13	167:19 170:5	235:22 236:4	114:9,16,24
127:7,12	183:25 194:25	<b>grade</b> 226:23	115:7,17,21
131:23 150:15	196:20 199:11		116:8,19 117:7

118:17,25   257:1 262:5   19:11 120:15   265:16 266:3   266:2 267:1,5   267:9,17   268:22 269:13   122:16 124:1   269:19,22   270:1 271:18   269:19,22   270:1 271:18   131:20 132:16   272:9,23   274:24 277:7   139:9 150:14   277:14,15   152:6 155:1,7   282:3,14,23   283:25 284:7   284:14 285:21   161:20 162:4   165:12 171:18   177:1 178:21   287:25 288:9   279:18   279:11,19,25   270:12 179:18   191:19 192:4   193:15 194:15   197:24 200:9   202:5 203:6   279:22   290:1,6 210:21   211:4 215:8   229:1,3 235:16   237:18 238:1   238:22 239:4,9   244:16,21   245:22 246:8   247:25 250:4   245:22 246:8   247:25 250:4   245:22 246:8   247:25 250:4   245:22 246:8   247:25 250:4   245:22 246:8   247:25 250:4   245:22 246:8   247:25 250:4   245:22 246:8   247:25 250:36   247:25 250:4   245:22 246:8   247:25 250:4		I	I	
121:20 122:1   266:22 267:1,5   122:16 124:1   267:9,17   268:22 269:13   126:15 128:11   269:19,22   270:1 271:18   270:1 271:18   277:23   135:20 136:25   274:24 277:7   139:9 150:14   277:14,15   155:14,22   282:3,14,23   165:12 171:18   287:10,13,20   287:25 288:9   179:9 181:1   279:11   171:17 178:21   171:17 178:21   179:1 188:6 190:21   191:19 192:4   193:15 194:15   197:24 200:9   202:5 203:6   219:13 227:6   229:1,3 225:16 237:18 238:12 239:4,9   244:16,21   245:22 246:8   251:16   277:25   288:22 29:4,9   244:16,21   245:22 246:8   251:16   277:25   2	118:17,25	257:1 262:5	h	happening
121:20   122:1   266:22   267:1,5   267:9,1,7   268:22   269:1,3   269:19,22   279:18   131:20   132:16   277:9,23   135:20   136:25   274:24   277:7   155:14,22   156:8,25   157:9   160:14,22   161:20   162:4   177:1   178:21   177:1   178:21   177:1   178:21   179:1   288:20   289:1,6   299:1,6   199:15	119:11 120:15	265:16 266:3	<b>h1</b> 76:1	256:20
122:16 124:1   267:9,17   268:22 269:13   269:19,22   128:22 129:18   270:1 271:18   272:9,23   135:20 136:25   274:24 277:7   139:9 150:14   277:14,15   282:3,14,23   156:8,25 157:9   282:3,14,23   165:12 171:18   287:10,13,20   287:10 179:9 181:1   177:1 178:21   177:1 178:21   191:19 192:4   193:15 194:15   197:24 200:9   202:5 203:6   208:13,23   209:1,6 210:21   236:15   237:18 238:1   238:22 239:4,9   244:16,21   245:22 246:8   251:16   262:3 63:13   66:2,18,24   67:1,9,14 69:6   69:19 70:11,21   71:10 73:22,24   76:23 82:4   89:5 278:20   harms 22:19   harry 142:1   hat 188:20   hate 95:24   hazard 187:8   187:16 188:23   220:19 224:9   hcl 112:6   head 175:4   289:22   header 231:21   health 4:12 5:5   122:4 144:12   163:13   163:17 168:17   169:6 208:17   209:12 215:20   215:22,23   health are 16:11   healtheffects   4:1,4,17,24 5:3   59:18,20 77:25   149:4 218:6   149:4 218	121:20 122:1	266:22 267:1,5		happens 17:23
124:18,23   268:22 269:13   269:19,22   269:19,22   270:1 271:18   270:1 271:18   272:9,23   274:24 277:7   277:14,15   278:11 279:1   282:3,14,23   283:25 284:7   160:14,22   284:14 285:21 161:20 162:4   286:8,20   287:10,13,20   287:25 288:9   177:1 178:21   177:1 178:21   179:9 181:1   179:9 181:1   191:19 192:4   193:15 194:15   197:24 200:9   202:5 203:6   208:13,23   209:1,6 210:21   211:4 215:8   229:1,3 235:16   238:22 239:4,9   244:16,21   245:22 246:8   251:16   277:12 46:2   245:22 246:8   259:1,20   249:42 202:9   244:16,21   245:22 246:8   251:16   277:12 46:2   259:18,20 77:25   289:18,20   277:24   277:24   277:24   277:27   278:11 279:1   278:12 179:1   2	122:16 124:1	267:9,17		102:19 236:15
126:15 128:11	124:18,23	268:22 269:13		<b>happy</b> 9:18
128:22 129:18	126:15 128:11	269:19,22	, ,	25:11 46:15
131:20 132:16   272:9,23   274:24 277:7   76:23 82:4   89:5 278:20   harms 22:19   h	128:22 129:18	270:1 271:18	, ,	83:3 127:12,23
135:20 136:25   274:24 277:7   277:14,15   277:14,15   278:11 279:1   282:3,14,23   3:19   hat 188:20   hate 95:24   hazard 187:8   187:16 188:23   220:19 224:9   half 66:12   101:16 225:6   257:13   half 10:11,21   13:3 27:15   288:20 289:1,6   291:11,19,25   gross 89:10   groundwater   191:19 192:4   193:15 194:15   197:24 200:9   202:5 203:6   209:1,6 210:21   211:4 215:8   229:1,3 235:16   229:1,3 235:16   237:18 238:1   238:22 239:4,9   244:16,21   245:22 246:8   275:125   251:16   275:124 6:2   245:22 246:8   275:126   275:12   246:2   245:22 246:8   275:126   275:12   275:22   275:25   255:12   275:12   275:22   275:25   275:13   hatmolymp   3:19   hatmolymp   4:21:1   hatmolymp   4:21:1   hatmolymp   2:20:19 224:9   hat	131:20 132:16	272:9,23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>hard</b> 277:23
139:9 150:14   152:6 155:1,7   278:11 279:1   282:3,14,23   283:25 284:7   284:14 285:21   286:8,20   287:10,13,20   287:25 288:9   172:16 174:1   288:20 289:1,6   291:11,19,25   179:19 181:1   291:11,19,25   191:19 192:4   197:24 200:9   214   200:5 203:6   220:1,3 235:16 237:18 238:1 238:22 239:4,9 244:16,21 245:22 246:8   277:14,15   278:11 279:1   178:21   278:116   278:11   278:116   27	135:20 136:25	274:24 277:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	harms 22:19
152:6 155:1,7   278:11 279:1   282:3,14,23   3:19   half 66:12   101:16 225:6   257:13   220:19 224:9   hcl 112:6   head 175:4   289:22   header 231:21   health 4:12 5:5   191:19 192:4   groundwater   197:24 200:9   202:5 203:6   209:1,6 210:21   208:13,23   209:1,6 210:21   208:13,23   209:1,6 210:21   211:4 215:8   219:1,3 227:6   229:1,3 235:16   237:18 238:1   238:22 239:4,9   244:16,21   245:22 246:8   278:21   268:23,14,23   3:19   half 66:12   half 66:12   101:16 225:6   257:13   hand 10:11,21   101:16 225:6   257:13   hand 10:11,21   13:3 27:15   288:20 289:1,6   257:15   28:7 61:18   65:16 110:25   122:4 144:12   146:6 183:25   190:5 196:20   199:11 208:5   22:19 26:15,22   59:11 163:13   163:17 168:17   169:6 208:17   209:12 215:20   215:22,23   healthcare   16:11   healtheffects   4:1,4,17,24 5:3   5:11   health 66:12   101:16 225:6   220:19 224:9   half 66:12   101:16 225:6   220:19 224:9   half 66:12   101:16 225:6   257:13   hand 10:11,21   13:3 27:15   28:7 61:18   65:16 110:25   122:4 144:12   146:6 183:25   122:4 144:12   146:6 183:25   190:5 196:20   199:11 208:5   22:19 26:15,22   59:11 163:13   163:17 168:17   169:6 208:17   209:12 215:20   215:22,23   healthcare   16:11   healtheffects   16:11   healtheffects   16:11   healtheffects   16:11   health 66:12   101:16 225:6   220:19 224:9   half 66:12   101:16 225:6   257:13   287:01:10; 102:16 225:6   287:01:10; 103:3 27:15   28:7 61:18   65:16 110:25   122:4 144:12   146:6 183:25   190:5 196:20   199:11 208:5   163:17 163:17 163:17 163:17 163:17   169:6 208:17   209:12 215:20   215:22,23   healthcare   16:11   healtheffects   16:11   healtheffects   16:11   healtheffects   16:11   healtheffects   16:11   healtheffects   16:11   healtheffects   16:11   health 4:12 5:5   16:11   health 4	139:9 150:14	277:14,15		<b>harry</b> 142:1
155:14,22 156:8,25 157:9 160:14,22 161:20 162:4 165:12 171:18 172:16 174:1 177:1 178:21 179:9 181:1 191:19 192:4 193:15 194:15 197:24 200:9 202:5 203:6 209:1,6 210:21 209:1,3 227:6 229:1,3 235:16 229:1,3 235:16 237:18 238:1 238:22 239:4,9 244:16,21 245:22 246:8  282:3,14,23 283:25 284:7 284:14 285:21 101:16 225:6 257:13 half 66:12 101:16 225:6 257:13 hand 10:11,21 13:3 27:15 288:20 289:1,6 257:13 hand 10:11,21 13:3 27:15 288:22 29:1,6 257:13 hand 10:11,21 13:3 27:15 288:22 29:1,6 21:18 65:16 110:25 122:4 144:12 146:6 183:25 190:5 196:20 199:11 208:5 120:18 225:17 246:13 251:1 253:3 293:9 handing 11:11 222:16 happened 27:12 46:2 149:4 218:6  187:16 188:23 220:19 224:9 hcl 112:6 head 175:4 289:22 header 231:21 health 4:12 5:5 22:19 26:15,22 59:11 163:13 163:17 168:17 169:6 208:17 209:12 215:20 215:22,23 healthcare 16:11 healtheffects 4:1,4,17,24 5:3 5:11 heard 35:22,22 59:18,20 77:25	152:6 155:1,7	278:11 279:1		<b>hat</b> 188:20
156:8,25 157:9       283:25 284:7       half 66:12       hazard 187:8         160:14,22       284:14 285:21       286:8,20       257:13       187:16 188:23         165:12 171:18       287:10,13,20       287:25 288:9       half 0:11,21       hcl 112:6         172:16 174:1       287:25 288:9       hand 10:11,21       hcl 112:6         177:1 178:21       288:20 289:1,6       29:11,19,25       28:7 61:18       65:16 110:25         179:9 181:1       29:11,19,25       65:16 110:25       heade 175:4         188:6 190:21       29:0000       29:11       146:6 183:25       190:5 196:20       199:11 208:5         197:24 200:9       2000       2:14       174:12,13       191:12 251:23       211:8 225:17       209:12 215:20         208:13,23       191:12 251:23       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9       half 66:12       hcl 112:6         10:14,4 215:8       29:21       10:11,21       hcader 231:21       header 231:21         146:6 183:25       190:5 196:20       199:11 208:5       211:8 225:17       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9         211:4 215:8       256:12       253:3 293:9       handing 11:11       222:16       half hand 10:11,21         246:13 25:1       253:22:1       253:22:2	155:14,22	282:3,14,23		hate 95:24
160:14,22       284:14 285:21       286:8,20       101:16 225:6       187:16 188:23         165:12 171:18       287:10,13,20       287:10,13,20       287:25 288:9       220:19 224:9         172:16 174:1       287:25 288:9       288:20 289:1,6       287:15       288:20 289:1,6       289:22         179:9 181:1       291:11,19,25       288:7 61:18       65:16 110:25       289:22       65:16 110:25       289:22       162:4 144:12       16:6 183:25       19:12:4 144:12       16:6 183:25       190:5 196:20       199:11 208:5       22:19 26:15,22       59:11 163:13       163:17 168:17       169:6 208:17       169:6 208:17       209:12 215:20       209:12 215:20       209:12 215:20       215:22,23       162:11       209:12 215:20       215:22,23       16:11       1	156:8,25 157:9	283:25 284:7		hazard 187:8
161:20 162:4       286:8,20       287:10,13,20       287:10,13,20       287:25 288:9       287:25 288:9       hcl 112:6       head 175:4       1286:325       288:20 289:1,6       289:22       head 175:4       289:22       head 175:4       289:22       header 231:21       head	160:14,22	284:14 285:21		187:16 188:23
165:12 171:18       287:10,13,20       hand       10:11,21       head       175:4         177:1 178:21       288:20 289:1,6       288:20 289:1,6       288:7 61:18       289:22         179:9 181:1       291:11,19,25       65:16 110:25       header 231:21         188:6 190:21       gross 89:10       146:6 183:25       122:4 144:12       header 231:21         191:19 192:4       groundwater       146:6 183:25       22:19 26:15,22         193:15 194:15       group 2:14       190:5 196:20       59:11 163:13         197:24 200:9       174:12,13       191:12 251:23       241:18 242:7       209:12 215:20         208:13,23       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       209:12 215:20         209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9         211:4 215:8       groups 27:2       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9         229:1,3 235:16       256:12       handing 11:11       122:16         238:22 239:4,9       251:16       happened       4:1,4,17,24 5:3         241:18 242:2       5:11       5:11         256:12       249:4 218:6       5:11	161:20 162:4	286:8,20		220:19 224:9
172:16 174:1       287:25 288:9       13:3 27:15       289:22         177:1 178:21       288:20 289:1,6       291:11,19,25       28:7 61:18         179:9 181:1       291:11,19,25       65:16 110:25       122:4 144:12         191:19 192:4       193:15 194:15       89:25 92:2       190:5 196:20       190:5 196:20         197:24 200:9       174:12,13       191:12 251:23       211:8 225:17       241:18 242:7       209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       169:6 208:17       209:12 215:20       215:22,23         211:4 215:8       groups 27:2       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9       16:11	165:12 171:18	287:10,13,20		<b>hcl</b> 112:6
177:1 178:21       288:20 289:1,6       291:11,19,25       28:7 61:18       289:22         179:9 181:1       291:11,19,25       65:16 110:25       122:4 144:12       146:6 183:25       122:4 144:12       146:6 183:25       122:19 26:15,22       122:11 20:12       123:12 12       12	172:16 174:1	287:25 288:9	,	<b>head</b> 175:4
179:9 181:1       291:11,19,25       65:16 110:25       header 231:21         188:6 190:21       gross 89:10       21:2:4 144:12       health 4:12 5:5         191:19 192:4       groundwater       146:6 183:25       22:19 26:15,22         193:15 194:15       89:25 92:2       190:5 196:20       59:11 163:13         197:24 200:9       174:12,13       199:11 208:5       163:17 168:17         208:13,23       191:12 251:23       241:18 242:7       209:12 215:20         209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9         219:1,3 227:6       guess 33:23       78:12 167:15       256:12       handing 11:11         238:22 239:4,9       256:12       guessing 236:9       4:1,4,17,24 5:3         244:16,21       251:16       59:18,20 77:25	177:1 178:21	288:20 289:1,6		289:22
188:6 190:21       gross 89:10       122:4 144:12       health 4:12 5:5         191:19 192:4       89:25 92:2       146:6 183:25       22:19 26:15,22         197:24 200:9       group 2:14       199:11 208:5       59:11 163:13         202:5 203:6       174:12,13       191:12 251:23       211:8 225:17       209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5         209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       209:12 215:20       215:22,23         211:4 215:8       groups 27:2       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9       health 4:12 5:5         211:8 225:17       241:18 242:7       209:12 215:20       215:22,23         211:4 215:8       groups 27:2       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9       health 4:12 5:5         241:18 242:7       241:18 242:7       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9       health 4:12 5:5         246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9       health 4:12 5:5       169:6 208:17         22:16       handing 11:11       222:16       4:1,4,17,24 5:3         241:18 242:7       4:1,4,17,24 5:3       5:11         241:18 242:7       246:13 251:1       25:11         241:18 242:7       246:13 251:1       25:11         241:18 242:7       246:13 251:1       25:11         241:18 242:1	179:9 181:1	291:11,19,25		<b>header</b> 231:21
191:19 192:4       groundwater       146:6 183:25       22:19 26:15,22         193:15 194:15       89:25 92:2       190:5 196:20       59:11 163:13         197:24 200:9       174:12,13       199:11 208:5       163:17 168:17         208:13,23       191:12 251:23       241:18 242:7       209:12 215:20         209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       209:12 215:20         211:4 215:8       groups 27:2       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9         219:1,3 227:6       guess 33:23       handing 11:11       16:11         229:1,3 235:16       256:12       happen 247:6       4:1,4,17,24 5:3         238:22 239:4,9       251:16       251:16       59:18,20 77:25	188:6 190:21	<b>gross</b> 89:10		health 4:12 5:5
193:15 194:15       89:25 92:2       190:5 196:20       59:11 163:13         197:24 200:9       174:12,13       199:11 208:5       163:17 168:17         208:13,23       191:12 251:23       211:8 225:17       209:12 215:20         209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       209:12 215:20         211:4 215:8       200 comps 27:2       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9         211:4 215:8       211:8 225:17       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9         229:1,3 235:16       78:12 167:15       256:12       222:16         238:22 239:4,9       256:12       256:12       4:1,4,17,24 5:3         244:16,21       251:16       251:16       59:18,20 77:25	191:19 192:4	groundwater		22:19 26:15,22
197:24 200:9       group       2:14         202:5 203:6       174:12,13         208:13,23       191:12 251:23         209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5         211:4 215:8       groups       27:2         219:1,3 227:6       guess       33:23         229:1,3 235:16       78:12 167:15       256:12         238:22 239:4,9       guessing       236:9         244:16,21       251:16         245:22 246:8       251:16            199:11 208:5       169:6 208:17         241:18 242:7       209:12 215:20         246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9         handing       11:11         222:16       happen         247:6       happened         27:12 46:2       59:18,20 77:25	193:15 194:15	89:25 92:2		59:11 163:13
202:5 203:6       174:12,13       211:8 225:17       169:6 208:17         208:13,23       191:12 251:23       241:18 242:7       209:12 215:20         209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       215:22,23         211:4 215:8       groups 27:2       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9         219:1,3 227:6       guess 33:23       handing 11:11       16:11         229:1,3 235:16       256:12       happen 247:6       4:1,4,17,24 5:3         238:22 239:4,9       251:16       251:16       5:11         244:16,21       251:16       27:12 46:2       59:18,20 77:25	197:24 200:9	<b>group</b> 2:14		163:17 168:17
208:13,23       191:12 251:23       241:18 242:7       209:12 215:20         209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       215:22,23         211:4 215:8       groups 27:2       246:13 251:1       253:3 293:9         219:1,3 227:6       guess 33:23       handing 11:11       16:11         237:18 238:1       256:12       happen 247:6       4:1,4,17,24 5:3         238:22 239:4,9       251:16       251:16       5:11         245:22 246:8       251:16       251:14       259:18,20 77:25	202:5 203:6	174:12,13		169:6 208:17
209:1,6 210:21       251:25 252:5       246:13 251:1       215:22,23         211:4 215:8       groups 27:2       253:3 293:9       healthcare         219:1,3 227:6       guess 33:23       handing 11:11       16:11         229:1,3 235:16       256:12       happen 247:6       4:1,4,17,24 5:3         238:22 239:4,9       251:16       251:16       5:11         245:22 246:8       251:16       27:12 46:2       59:18,20 77:25	208:13,23	191:12 251:23		209:12 215:20
211:4 215:8       groups       27:2         219:1,3 227:6       guess       33:23         229:1,3 235:16       78:12 167:15       handing       11:11         237:18 238:1       256:12       happen       247:6         238:22 239:4,9       251:16       251:16       happened       5:11         245:22 246:8       251:16       27:12 46:2       59:18,20 77:25	209:1,6 210:21	251:25 252:5		215:22,23
219:1,3 227:6 229:1,3 235:16 237:18 238:1 238:22 239:4,9 244:16,21 245:22 246:8  219:1,3 227:6 78:12 167:15 256:12 256:12 30essing 236:9 251:16  251:16  handing 11:11 222:16 happen 247:6 happened 27:12 46:2 149:4 218:6  handing 11:11 222:16 happen 247:6 happened 27:12 46:2 149:4 218:6	211:4 215:8	groups 27:2		healthcare
229:1,3 235:16 237:18 238:1 238:22 239:4,9 244:16,21 245:22 246:8  78:12 167:15 256:12 guessing 236:9 251:16  happen 247:6 happened 27:12 46:2 149:4 218:6  healtheffects 4:1,4,17,24 5:3 5:11 heard 35:22,22 59:18,20 77:25	219:1,3 227:6	<b>guess</b> 33:23		16:11
237:18 238:1 238:22 239:4,9 244:16,21 245:22 246:8  256:12 guessing 236:9 251:16  happen 247:6 happened 27:12 46:2 149:4 218:6  4:1,4,17,24 5:3 5:11 heard 35:22,22 59:18,20 77:25	229:1,3 235:16	78:12 167:15		healtheffects
238:22 239:4,9 <b>guessing</b> 236:9 <b>happened</b> 5:11 <b>heard</b> 35:22,22 245:22 246:8 27:12 46:2 149:4 218:6	237:18 238:1	256:12		4:1,4,17,24 5:3
244:16,21 245:22 246:8	238:22 239:4,9	guessing 236:9		5:11
245:22 246:8   149:4 218:6   59:18,20 77:25	244:16,21	251:16		heard 35:22,22
247.25.250.4 236.25.237.4	245:22 246:8			59:18,20 77:25
217.23 230.1	247:25 250:4		177.7 210.0	236:25 237:4

2.17.0		<b>.</b>	
245:9	<b>higher</b> 83:7,8	historically	hour 257:13
heavy 208:14	123:24 160:20	92:7	<b>hours</b> 133:5
heightened	161:1 279:11	history 22:22	207:24 249:8
130:14	<b>highers</b> 250:12	32:4 43:16	257:13 268:11
<b>held</b> 8:7 147:12	highest 72:17	102:24 120:13	271:19 279:9
147:22 148:25	73:7,18 80:16	125:5,9,13,19	279:12
<b>help</b> 18:4 44:16	81:9 83:4	127:15,21,22	house 69:24
44:20,23 83:3	85:24 92:19	128:19 129:1,5	housing 78:21
216:7	93:10 206:13	138:2,14 140:9	80:2 81:17
<b>helpful</b> 236:16	highlight	149:23	<b>hpi</b> 145:7
helping 23:23	224:14	<b>hit</b> 9:11	<b>hr</b> 224:17
78:14	highlighted	<b>hodgkin</b> 194:1	<b>hu</b> 175:18
hematological	111:25 112:1	194:2 195:10	177:17 178:19
128:19	145:4 147:7,18	226:15	233:1 234:17
hematologist	185:21 187:11	hodgkin's 5:21	287:3
17:8 21:14	193:24 205:17	6:16 26:6,17	<b>huh</b> 7:7,8 182:7
35:19 249:3	206:7,22 212:1	226:2 228:6	196:6 223:8
hematopoietic	212:4,22 214:6	286:4	<b>hum</b> 7:7 79:23
6:16 185:22	215:15 216:23	holcomb 62:3	205:9 224:12
187:12,17	217:21 220:17	77:25 78:4,21	282:24
189:5 193:25	222:4 278:14	80:1 81:16	<b>human</b> 46:1
hematoprolif	highly 68:6	<b>hold</b> 12:16	humanity
112:7	148:15 221:15	80:14,24,24	22:23
hendrix 259:2	225:8 256:13	266:19 268:17	<b>humans</b> 102:19
<b>hennet</b> 90:23	<b>hill</b> 172:5	<b>home</b> 230:21	hundred 256:9
heparin 39:18	hillier 1:17	231:12 278:8	256:11
hepatologist	8:19 293:13	honestly 59:20	hundreds
165:24	294:5,22	68:9 70:24	207:25
hess 146:22	295:21	121:17 245:24	<b>hydrology</b> 90:1
<b>hh</b> 293:14	hillsborough	<b>hope</b> 73:14	92:2
<b>high</b> 9:12	293:4 294:4	hopefully 194:5	hypotheses
135:25 217:3	<b>hired</b> 89:16	hospital 154:8	213:3
217:19 222:8	historical 276:1	201:9 261:24	hypothesis
225:5 226:23	276:3		212:10

[i.e. - indicating] Page 34

i	idiopathy	247:18,19	128:20 136:10
	133:17,19	included 13:25	136:17 213:4
i.e. 207:6 ibrutinib	<b>immune</b> 136:9	18:10,11 25:23	225:7 226:14
	<b>impact</b> 166:3	33:17 221:6	226:18,22
142:20 151:1	impacted 215:7	247:13	increases
158:3,14 164:10,11,24	215:17	includes 32:5	129:15 152:11
idea 173:11	important	63:20 72:3,6	152:16,22
identical 26:8	59:12,14	84:10 109:18	153:1 212:12
176:1	122:25 162:19	171:1 255:16	independent
identifiable	166:22 169:10	including 106:4	178:8 179:2,8
29:21 30:6	169:24 188:15	112:6 115:24	233:20,23
identified	188:21 218:11	121:12 125:19	234:5,9 282:25
226:16 241:10	218:16,18	128:20 129:16	independently
289:14,19	248:2 269:11	130:15 170:20	17:2 42:12,16
290:21	282:12 283:3	175:17 177:16	152:4 175:13
identifies 242:3	291:4	232:25 241:8	177:11 178:16
285:25	impossible	276:4 295:13	181:14 183:10
identify 8:12	121:13	<b>income</b> 250:15	184:12 189:22
20:22 32:22	impression	incomplete	192:2,8 197:5
33:6 36:7	244:3,7	148:15 149:7	199:22 203:17
140:24 148:18	inaccurate	266:18	208:3,20
157:21 174:7	150:18,19,20	inconsistent	210:16 211:15
196:3 204:8,11	256:13	113:13,23	219:16 223:2
204:13 207:11	incidence 5:12	116:5,12 117:1	223:12 234:21
207:18,19	5:21 23:1,18	incorrect 62:15	281:21 282:4,8
211:2 248:8,10	216:8 219:11	137:15 138:23	283:7,14,23
254:5 257:7	224:1 226:2	207:13	index 3:1
261:12,15	231:24 232:6	increase 103:17	indicate 130:10
idiopathic	incidences 23:5	103:18 129:5	146:25 148:19
28:14,15,18	incidentally	129:10 131:10	156:20 295:10
29:5,10 31:22	96:2 104:14	153:3	indicated
133:22,24	include 118:15	increased	295:14
134:1 157:7,11	118:24 141:7	101:20 102:13	indicating
,	165:6 247:15	113:15,15	143:16,19

:	70.2.2.74.1	:h-alag 122.22	•4 a 4 20.1 <i>C</i>
indication	70:3,3 74:1	inhales 122:23	<b>interpret</b> 29:16
142:22	75:24 92:23	inherent	103:15 169:2
indications	99:11 100:18	209:16	170:23 186:22
273:15	106:20,22	initial 32:2	188:4
indirect 60:2	148:8 149:8,15	initially 140:17	interpretation
individual	150:16 178:3	144:4	35:14 215:5
106:10,17,24	189:2 191:12	initials 79:3	interpreted
175:6 230:19	195:16,20	<b>input</b> 213:25	213:2
231:9	196:13 202:9	<b>inserts</b> 165:3,8	interruption
individual's	230:19 231:9	insisting 40:17	7:4
105:13,23	244:25 245:19	instances	interval 170:25
275:4	246:3,10 262:2	258:15	187:18,22
induction	271:24 272:1	institute 110:17	188:1,12 212:6
136:14	276:17,19	111:9	214:8 220:20
industrial 5:14	278:4 280:17	institution 31:4	221:15 224:18
22:23 219:12	280:25 286:9	instruct 289:4	225:5 229:15
industries	informations	289:6	intervals
22:18,19 23:21	189:9	instruction	170:16 197:23
industry 32:15	informed 201:3	289:10	198:21 221:7
infection	202:3	instructs	224:9 227:15
159:21	infusion 147:11	155:15	intravesical
infections	147:11,20	<b>intake</b> 240:14	135:15,15
159:19 160:20	150:3	277:22,24	136:7,7
161:3,18	ingestion	interaction	invasive 135:14
infertility	275:11,20,21	27:12 106:4	136:1
212:25	276:17,19,22	interchangea	investigator
influence	277:3	105:6	23:22
106:16	ingestions	interest 112:5	involve 252:25
influenced 17:3	275:16	interested	involved 24:10
25:5	inhalation	283:2 294:16	117:12,20
<b>inform</b> 291:1	210:4,12	interesting	119:4 165:23
informal 102:5	<b>inhale</b> 121:24	283:16,18	254:19
information	inhaled 230:22	internal 213:1	involvement
26:20 69:15,23	231:13		166:2

. 1. 24.12	. 1 210 7	100.05.004.10	10 10 40 00 07
involving 24:13	journal 218:5	190:25 234:13	43:10 48:23,25
219:19	<b>jr</b> 3:21 38:10	255:1,14	49:8 50:11
isolated 20:25	<b>judge</b> 9:16	277:18	51:11,22 52:1
issue 34:25	judgment	<b>kelly</b> 6:12 46:5	52:4 56:10
247:24	166:5 201:3	273:8	57:7,18 59:1
<b>issued</b> 237:6	202:4	kennedy 1:15	59:16,21 61:5
issues 246:4	<b>julie</b> 235:22	kentucky 23:3	61:7,13,16
283:7	236:4	23:17	62:13 68:10,20
iurs 209:17	<b>july</b> 1:12 4:3	<b>kept</b> 190:25	68:24 69:2
210:3	5:23 6:2 8:4	<b>key</b> 7:2	70:13 73:24
j	15:12 63:21	<b>kidney</b> 18:9,13	74:15 77:14,16
<b>j</b> 3:23 19:25	64:4,7,8,10	kimberly	77:18,23 80:18
47:6 76:1	65:5,19 66:3	146:22	82:24 85:6,9
january 5:7 6:5	67:20 68:16	<b>kind</b> 26:3 31:25	88:15 90:16,23
63:22 64:1	69:7 70:12,22	32:10 57:18	91:5 97:5,9
67:6 72:4,12	71:11 82:19	62:25 92:10	101:18 103:1
72:20 73:4,23	241:15,25	104:25 163:13	103:15,21
74:24 76:11,17	242:13 293:10	214:16 246:10	107:5 111:11
77:3 79:12,19	294:19 295:1,7	250:7,14 272:1	111:12,18
80:9,12,13,22	296:3	kinds 152:20	115:4 116:6
80:23 81:2,22	<b>jump</b> 267:19	knew 127:21	117:5 119:14
199:20 246:19	<b>june</b> 10:17	249:1 258:19	120:2,16
jersey 226:11	84:11,12 85:18	knock 267:3	121:13,18,23
229:16	86:8 87:15	<b>know</b> 9:10,10	123:7 124:12
<b>jittery</b> 239:11	88:1 291:15	12:5 14:21,22	124:13 125:6
joanie 128:16	<b>jury</b> 9:16	15:13 18:3	126:4 127:14
job 1:19	<b>justice</b> 2:20 9:7	20:12 22:24	129:7,19
john 4:9 144:23	34:11	26:25 27:11	131:10 139:20
145:24	k	28:3,24 29:11	140:20,23
joseph 3:15,23	<b>k</b> 76:1	29:14,15 30:1	143:17 144:5
6:3 13:8 20:5	<b>kates</b> 141:15	30:1 31:12	145:8 146:2
54:16		32:14 33:16,25	148:14,23
J4.10	<b>keep</b> 17:22 26:19 28:21	34:20 39:12	149:19 151:7
	20.19 20.21	41:23 43:1,1	153:7 155:13
	Collrow To	· · ·	

[know - leave] Page 37

155:23,24	243:17,20,25	46:18,19 53:17	293:7 294:7
158:16 160:15	247:12,22	53:17 61:19	295:5 296:2,25
161:21 167:10	249:1 251:9,24	69:4 71:22	<b>laber's</b> 266:17
168:11 169:2	252:4 255:17	74:2,22 75:8	lack 51:24 89:3
169:24 170:23	255:20 256:4	78:16 83:14	175:5 230:18
171:6 172:5,20	256:24 257:8	87:8,13 89:1	231:9
173:6,12,18	257:11 269:2	95:8 102:3	<b>lacking</b> 189:10
174:9,14,24	273:2,5 284:8	111:1 122:5	lance 122:12
175:7,10 176:8	289:25 290:13	127:9 132:10	language 21:6
178:10 180:16	291:20	144:13 146:7	24:20,22,24
181:8 183:3,16	knowing	150:13 168:1	34:13 67:25
184:17,22	188:25	183:25 184:1,5	68:5
185:6 186:5,22	knowledge	184:15 185:14	<b>large</b> 1:18
188:4 189:1,19	100:17 105:19	189:11,14,16	179:22 211:3
192:13 193:22	108:23 110:22	190:3 192:11	<b>lasted</b> 257:12
195:12,15	165:10 240:22	193:3,9 195:4	<b>late</b> 167:11
197:19 199:25	known 29:17	196:20,24	laundering
200:1,14 203:7	102:9,11	199:12,16	230:24 231:14
203:19,23,25	130:14 136:3	202:14 205:3,7	law 251:21
204:13 207:21	1	208:6,16 211:9	lawsuits 264:10
207:24 209:24	1 2:21 76:2	211:12 219:9,9	<b>lawyer</b> 90:15
210:5 211:19	laber 1:10 3:2,8	222:17,20	<b>lawyers</b> 254:13
213:19 214:21	3:11,14,17,20	225:18,22	254:13
215:12 216:15	5:22 6:1,4,5,7,8	232:15,16	<b>layout</b> 61:16
217:6,10 218:6	6:10 8:11,20	238:14 239:19	<b>lead</b> 23:22
218:22 221:1,1	9:2,4 10:12,15	239:22,23	leadership
221:5 222:12	10:22,25 11:3	241:24 242:7	251:22,25
223:18,18,23	11:11,15 12:19	242:12,24	252:5
224:3 225:14	13:4,7 14:1	246:13,18	leading 155:1
227:10 230:13	20:11 21:4	251:1,5 253:3	<b>learn</b> 22:16
235:6,7,12,17	24:20 27:16	253:7 264:22	learned 22:22
235:18 236:4,7	38:3 40:25	267:18 284:1	<b>leave</b> 68:17
237:4,5 238:4	41:1,21 43:23	287:21 288:4	252:13 254:2
240:21 243:17	11.1,21 13.23	290:11 291:14	
			•

[lecture - limit] Page 38

<b>lecture</b> 248:21	156:23 175:23	126:13,25	96:10,18 97:12
lectures 247:16	176:24 178:7	127:2 147:19	97:15 100:7
247:22 248:9	178:18 179:7	189:5,12,15,18	123:24 207:17
248:14	184:8 187:9,10	190:7,9 194:1	226:17 274:10
<b>left</b> 28:7 63:1,2	190:12,20	195:13,24	285:25 286:2
87:12 111:13	191:7 194:14	196:7,10	290:20 291:1,3
111:14,15	197:2,13,16	202:21 205:20	<b>life</b> 56:22 57:17
146:23 229:20	199:4,19 203:4	206:16 207:6	97:6,8 161:4
legal 2:14 6:7	210:20 211:23	212:2,5,12	<b>light</b> 36:23
25:14,17 35:2	212:11 213:1,4	213:21 216:24	likelihood
35:9,15,16	215:17,20,23	217:22 220:17	34:18,23
155:15 176:11	216:7,19	226:2 227:5,23	260:22
176:20 178:9	217:15 219:14	231:24 232:6	likely 34:14,17
180:1,4 252:12	219:23 220:2	260:17 286:3	34:22 35:1,5,8
252:13 264:5	220:11 221:11	leukemias	36:14,15,23
lejeune 1:5	221:23 222:7,8	103:18,24	37:4 57:6
4:16,23 5:2,5,6	222:9,24	104:8 185:24	105:22 109:22
5:10,15,18 8:8	224:11,23	187:13,25	123:22 130:16
9:8 22:4 34:10	237:7,15,16,23	190:9 195:11	131:19 132:14
34:24 41:3,17	237:25 238:19	196:9 201:19	132:21,23
42:14,18 45:4	238:20 244:5,7	201:25 203:14	136:6 159:14
45:16 52:8,15	245:4 246:4	206:2,18	159:19 160:21
52:23 61:6,14	290:23 295:3	207:12,20	161:3 163:25
68:7 77:5,8,12	296:4	227:15 229:16	171:15 175:21
94:11 95:2	lengthy 288:7	<b>level</b> 52:2 92:20	178:5 179:5
96:19 97:22 <b>I</b>	lesser 277:25	93:20,24 94:6	190:18 191:5
98:14 99:18 <b>I</b>	letter 264:3	94:10 95:1	201:1 202:2
100:4 108:5,18 <b>I</b>	letters 56:8	109:11 172:13	206:13 210:18
109:24 121:8 <b>I</b>	leukemia 3:24	207:11,19	215:18,21,24
121:11 123:19	5:21 6:16 18:1	214:3 226:21	261:5 271:15
123:25 131:18	19:13,23 21:17	248:22	271:15
132:14 134:12	24:7,9,17 26:2	levels 52:5,22	<b>limit</b> 159:14,19
152:4 154:1,24	26:5,14 47:6	80:8 82:2 83:8	160:21 161:3
155:21 156:3,6	54:16 126:2,11	92:7 93:4	161:19 223:20

	I	T	I
limitation	91:2 102:23	114:5,7,12,14	213:15 267:1
197:21 198:9	128:12 141:11	114:20,22	267:19 268:12
198:19	151:18 192:9	115:4,5,12,13	283:17
limitations	193:12,19	116:5,12,25	live 225:7,10
198:13,15,24	194:7,8 195:6	117:4 129:8,13	<b>lived</b> 41:14
216:5	195:8 197:7	133:4 218:12	61:11 76:10,17
<b>limited</b> 206:11	203:23 204:1,5	218:17 233:17	77:7 87:14
<b>line</b> 39:14 40:1	223:4,13	233:21,23	278:21 279:2
117:18 145:5	229:24 233:9	234:5,9,11	279:11
202:22 206:22	236:21 237:8	<b>liters</b> 276:22,23	<b>liver</b> 60:19
296:7	237:12 242:17	277:1,12 278:2	165:17,18,19
lines 19:2 72:15	242:21 253:11	litigation 1:5	166:3
<b>linked</b> 113:12	257:21 258:2	2:21 8:8 9:9	<b>living</b> 69:6
140:25 226:22	<b>listen</b> 76:14	10:9 14:3	70:11,20 71:10
286:17	<b>lists</b> 241:11	24:12 47:21	llc 295:22
<b>list</b> 6:10 11:20	242:22 253:15	51:2 56:20	<b>lm</b> 48:24
15:6,12 24:3	266:18	71:17 90:13	local 135:14
47:7 91:2	<b>liter</b> 48:21	172:24 173:5	<b>locate</b> 44:23
104:4 163:5	63:19 64:5,15	177:21 180:5,8	location 23:20
165:5 191:14	64:19 65:7,13	180:14 181:9	61:6 68:12,12
193:13,20	65:20 69:5	181:12 183:15	68:14 69:21
198:13 203:24	70:10,20 72:13	236:18 237:11	224:11 275:7
204:5 234:3	72:22 73:6	242:25 243:4,5	278:23 279:7,8
236:20,22	78:25 80:8,17	243:8,18,19,24	locations 23:2
237:9 241:12	82:15 83:5	244:5,14 245:1	23:6,12,15
241:15 253:8	85:18 86:2,11	246:7 249:21	69:1 77:14
254:14,17,23	92:21 93:13	250:16 251:10	278:18
255:1,2,4,14	274:11	251:20 295:3	<b>lohman</b> 259:20
258:4 261:15	literature	295:22 296:4	<b>long</b> 166:9
267:24 284:9	16:24 18:1	<b>little</b> 29:24	189:20 198:7
290:1,3,5	19:13,22 39:15	42:24 57:9,23	200:10 230:19
<b>listed</b> 19:3 24:1	39:17 105:20	73:25 82:25	231:9 257:11
24:4,5 43:8	106:14 112:16	86:2,10,10	longer 225:8,10
55:25 90:18,20	113:3,23 114:3	103:6 205:17	

[look - make] Page 40

<b>look</b> 16:23 17:2	110:23 123:20	195:2 256:17	217:22
24:8 33:12	162:1 163:19	lots 145:9	
41:11,23 47:3	184:17 191:20	247:13	m
48:6 50:25	211:5 212:15	love 233:19	<b>m</b> 4:9 20:5
53:17 65:4	222:14 254:13	low 93:4	144:23
75:18 77:16	256:19 258:20	209:18 217:2	<b>m.d.</b> 1:10 3:2,8
			3:11,14,17,20
79:12 80:21	268:23 272:4	217:19 222:7	4:9 6:4,7,16
81:4,22 82:21	275:14,15	225:2	8:11,20 38:11
85:23 86:3	290:5	lowest 73:8,12	291:15 293:7
91:21 98:7	looking 23:11	73:17 85:24	294:7 296:2,25
100:8 104:19	23:15,20 39:19	luncheon	<b>made</b> 91:24
107:17,19	39:20 41:5	167:21	169:21 243:23
112:23 125:14	53:5 72:1 74:8	lung 23:18	264:6 275:16
129:20 135:12	74:22 75:19	260:2	290:7 295:11
163:4 165:3	85:15 87:12	lupus 212:25	<b>main</b> 60:10
167:13 169:8	107:22 122:20	luxenberg 2:4,9	135:3
171:13 174:12	146:24 157:22	lymphocytic	mainstream
174:25 180:1	167:2 177:6	21:17 26:2,12	122:22
182:13 184:22	195:4 206:4	26:13 126:2	<b>major</b> 216:5
186:7 196:12	207:22 215:2	147:19 220:17	majority 253:1
196:18 200:10	223:21 233:17	227:23	254:17 256:2
202:12 215:12	272:2 275:10	lymphoid	majority's
217:8 218:23	275:13	112:19 113:6	252:20
221:14 223:9	looks 27:25	207:4	make 30:22
231:19 235:10	29:24 56:6	lymphoma 5:21	45:25 55:19,22
236:2 268:18	57:7 65:23	6:17 26:6,12	105:3 124:14
268:25 271:11	69:22 72:17	26:13,17 194:2	127:17 148:15
273:25 275:23	80:6,15 91:22	195:10,13	169:16 201:2
looked 30:7	91:23 147:3	226:2,15 228:6	202:3 221:8
52:17,19 59:3	253:14	286:4	235:12 254:10
59:5,9 64:22	<b>lost</b> 237:19	lymphomas	255:3 264:13
80:5 81:20	<b>lot</b> 36:5 60:11	103:18,24	281:24 295:9,9
83:20 95:16	94:14 102:15	104:8 212:2	296:5
97:2,24 101:25	140:19 141:10	214:5 216:24	

Page 41 [makes - means]

		225.10.241.10	16.6
makes 98:6	marcus 2:20	225:19 241:18	mean 16:6
108:24 188:21	8:16	241:22 242:9	25:16 27:10
making 14:17	marcus.tubin	246:15 251:3	34:17,23 44:21
73:14	2:23	253:5 270:3	49:13 51:21
male 133:14	<b>marine</b> 5:6,10	272:6,7 284:13	52:12 53:25
212:25	5:14 222:1	market 295:22	55:4 63:11,16
malignancies	276:20	marks 295:9	75:9 78:6,19
136:5,11	marines 4:14	<b>marrow</b> 158:9	79:25 81:15
152:17,19	5:8,13,17	maslia 76:2	85:16 89:1
malignancy	61:11 184:7	mass 46:8	97:7 102:10
128:19 165:25	197:20 199:4	<b>match</b> 174:22	106:1 108:22
<b>mallon</b> 175:19	211:23,24	material 233:7	125:7 130:13
177:18 178:20	213:1 216:18	256:18 290:3	140:6 152:14
233:1 234:18	217:15 219:11	materials 5:23	168:12 171:6
malpractice	219:13,22	6:2 15:7,11	176:17 180:20
252:3,15	220:12 222:22	16:14 25:22	186:17 188:10
<b>man</b> 103:5	224:10 277:4	90:18 175:16	204:2 218:21
156:16	mark 27:15	177:7,16	225:14 228:15
<b>manage</b> 165:24	54:16 184:1	193:12,20	247:12 255:20
management	<b>marked</b> 10:12	203:24 204:5	262:11,13
24:10 248:17	10:13,22,23	232:17,23	282:5,15,19
manifesting	11:13 13:4,5	233:10 236:21	meaning 169:5
104:23	27:17 38:2,4	241:11,15,25	meaningful
manner 7:13	46:20 61:19,20	242:12,22	189:8
manuscript	83:17 111:1,2	266:17 267:23	meaningfully
218:23	122:4,6 144:12	283:6,9 284:9	188:24
manuscripts	144:16 146:7,9	290:1,5	means 35:12
218:10 282:11	184:2 192:23	matter 8:7	37:14 39:21
map 23:3	193:3 196:20	max 141:15	58:21 89:12
march 4:1	196:22 199:11	maximum 52:1	104:20 120:17
84:11,13,17	199:13 208:5,7	52:4 72:25	127:3 130:23
85:19 86:8	211:9,10 219:6	276:24	131:1 133:24
87:15 88:7	219:8 222:17	mcl 52:2	143:3,13
157:25	222:18 225:18		157:13 166:19

173:22 186:12	medication	met 9:5 20:3,8	93:12 123:11
197:8 220:24	164:17	252:18,19	123:14 280:16
252:10 255:21	medications	257:8	<b>mid</b> 167:11
281:25 282:20	10:2 142:12	meta 203:11	<b>middle</b> 206:23
283:15,23	151:11 163:25	207:1	midway 76:11
meant 26:18	164:3 165:1,4	metabolite 60:4	76:17,19,24
169:23	165:22 166:11	metabolites	77:4,6,7,11,19
measurement	medicine 40:2	60:11,20	78:3 79:5,10
54:6 55:12	60:14 110:18	metabolized	278:21
measurements	111:9 250:10	58:19,21 59:2	military 16:8
122:21 134:15	medicines 60:9	60:19	42:20,22 43:5
measures	<b>medium</b> 217:3	methodology	43:11 44:25
198:21	217:19 222:8	20:15,20 21:10	276:4
measuring	meet 256:22,23	21:12 49:15,22	milligram
57:17	256:25	51:16 55:14	123:10,10
<b>media</b> 87:2,6	meetings 14:8	89:14 104:11	milligrams
167:20,24	14:23 257:11	104:25 171:9	123:5,13
239:17	257:14	186:25 188:8	<b>million</b> 123:1
medical 16:7	<b>member</b> 31:20	methods 186:8	<b>mind</b> 13:1
22:14 23:10,13	102:13	220:5	244:18
32:4 40:18	<b>memory</b> 46:16	metric 46:9	<b>mine</b> 155:7
43:9 44:18,19	47:14 50:23	michigan 2:10	279:21
126:21 127:17	66:6 173:10	microgram	minority 250:9
127:21 130:9	262:1	64:19 65:20	<b>minute</b> 127:11
142:23 146:16	men 103:6	70:10,19 92:21	264:13 267:8
146:21 148:17	226:19	274:11	273:24
149:10,22	<b>mental</b> 163:13	micrograms	<b>minutes</b> 154:12
151:19 158:21	163:17	46:8 48:21	201:9,9,12
162:25 167:14	mentioned	63:19 64:5,15	267:6 287:11
180:2 240:14	25:24 38:12	65:7,12 69:5	mischaracteri
240:15,16,19	52:22 213:8	72:13,22 73:6	118:18
241:9 242:4,18	266:6 279:22	78:25 80:8,17	misclassificat
252:3,12,15	mentioning	82:14 83:5	230:18 231:8
	137:2 180:16	85:18 86:2,10	

• .	00.7.01.24	40 1	70.0.10.15
misconstrue	80:7 81:24	montford	mp 79:3,10,15
155:6	82:3,14 85:16	45:16 87:25	79:16 81:5,25
misconstruing	86:8 92:13,20	88:11,13,21	<b>msph</b> 6:12
117:9	93:4,11 96:24	<b>month</b> 48:22	<b>mt3dms</b> 85:7
mismanaged	274:9,16 275:3	65:8,9,23	280:23
260:24	modeling 60:23	274:11,15	multiple 106:4
<b>missed</b> 231:1	61:2 62:2	<b>monthly</b> 63:11	194:1 234:10
259:10	78:13 83:25	63:16 78:19	247:16
misstate 266:6	88:21 89:5	79:25 81:15	multiplying
misstated	90:7 91:7 92:5	85:16 274:9	210:9
266:10	92:9	<b>months</b> 65:24	munday 3:22
misstating	<b>modest</b> 172:14	78:24	38:11
190:25	<b>moffitt</b> 249:5	morbidity 5:8	<b>mustafa</b> 91:1,4
mistake 45:25	250:12	211:13	91:5
73:14 105:4	moment 12:4	morning 8:1	mutations
179:25 266:11	12:18 173:7	morris 76:2	27:13 29:14
mistaken 92:25	175:4 191:12	mortality 4:14	287:22
260:3,10	191:25 196:15	4:21 5:16	myeloid 207:4
262:19	236:3 278:18	184:6 185:19	myeloma 194:1
mistakes 89:10	279:15	186:2,6,17	n
247:6,8 254:10	<b>monday</b> 249:17	196:25 197:11	<b>n</b> 1:8
misunderstood	258:8	222:21 223:25	<b>n.w.</b> 2:21
243:13	money 22:2	224:6,22 225:7	name 9:3,5
<b>mixed</b> 103:11	249:25 250:3	<b>mother</b> 127:6	38:15 57:16
206:12	monoclonal	128:3,17	
<b>model</b> 85:7,9	142:14	138:17,20	173:2 192:12
92:6 96:18	mononuclear	139:19,23	261:19,19,25
275:1 280:21	206:15	<b>move</b> 9:12	name's 8:2
280:23	monotonic	83:13 125:4	names 91:3
modeled 52:22	173:18,22	157:14 234:1	177:23 236:14
64:5 65:6,19	217:7 218:1	244:23 278:16	236:14 253:16
69:4 70:9,19	222:13	<b>moved</b> 77:4,5	nara 44:11
71:9 72:13,21	monterey 67:25	263:14	national 236:25
73:5 79:14	68:5		237:2
	Collrow To		

[navy - numbers] Page 44

novy 1.14 5.12	255.25 250.10	nongongo 124.5	97.6 02.19
navy 4:14 5:13	255:25 258:18	nonsense 134:5	87:6 93:18
5:17 184:7	263:23	normal 107:9	128:13 167:3
219:11,23	nevertheless	161:2,4 169:4	167:20,24
220:12 222:1	205:21	225:11	170:21 183:20
222:22 224:10	new 2:5,5 12:7	normally 46:1	189:4 206:11
nc 2:14	12:15,25 32:1	165:20 166:12	221:10,11
<b>near</b> 62:22 84:1	223:5 226:11	<b>north</b> 1:1 2:15	225:2 227:13
108:2,12	229:16 289:15	5:6 8:10 68:7	229:14 239:17
212:23	news 245:8	northern	240:11 241:3,6
<b>nearly</b> 41:14	<b>nhl</b> 26:17	229:16	261:23 267:22
necessarily	112:16 113:3	notary 1:18	269:14 270:3
7:14 60:1	113:13,17,23	293:14	274:5 281:20
124:3 169:18	118:3,9,10,15	<b>note</b> 7:13	281:22
necessary	118:15,23	146:22 147:4	numbered
162:16	185:23 187:12	148:24	111:10
<b>need</b> 64:11	187:21 226:19	<b>noted</b> 295:10	numbering
86:18 165:9	226:22,23	295:10	63:4
180:15 189:7	227:5 231:24	<b>notes</b> 148:8,9	numbers 44:11
201:8 214:18	232:6	294:10	44:13 53:25
220:25 221:1,5	<b>nice</b> 208:14	<b>notice</b> 3:7,10	55:4 63:7
244:20 249:16	<b>nicely</b> 162:12	10:16 11:2	74:21,24 75:7
267:1,6 268:17	<b>nine</b> 80:14	229:12	75:10,11,11
283:16 291:23	240:2,11	<b>noting</b> 161:15	76:4,8 81:8
needs 31:4	nomenclature	november 3:21	83:1 85:23
59:23	142:12	38:9 158:9	92:22,24 96:23
negative 7:8	<b>non</b> 5:21 6:16	259:20	111:12 123:21
130:21 170:12	26:6,17 122:24	nuances 218:22	170:19 185:10
<b>nelson</b> 2:25 8:2	171:17 194:1	<b>null</b> 169:18	186:22 188:5
never 17:25	195:10 226:2	215:18	188:15,16,19
19:21 96:13	226:15 228:6	number 30:25	189:5,7 197:22
144:8 148:16	230:23 231:13	54:18 69:24,25	198:19 200:17
218:4 243:9,10	231:25 277:8	72:17,25 73:7	221:1,3,6
243:16,16	286:4	73:8,9 81:10	278:7 281:17
1		,	
244:17 245:11		85:24,24 87:2	283:21

numbnoss	40.10 46.10	160.14.22	oggradiens!
numbness	40:19 46:10	160:14,22	occupational
163:24 164:18	48:4 51:17	161:20 162:4	205:20 207:2
165:1	52:10,16 53:4	165:12 171:18	occur 170:20
numerical 29:3	57:13,13 58:4	172:16 174:1	occurring
30:5	59:25 65:22	177:1 178:21	190:13
<b>nurse</b> 147:3	68:19 69:8	179:9 181:1	october 66:19
249:14	70:23 72:23	188:6 190:21	73:10,11 76:2
0	83:10 86:13	191:19 192:4	158:15 162:3,7
o 1:8,8,8,9	89:7 91:10	193:15 194:15	220:3
oath 3:4 9:13	92:15 93:6	197:24 200:9	<b>odds</b> 168:23
30:22 39:1	94:1 96:12,20	202:5 203:6	170:5 211:21
62:14 167:4	96:25 97:23	210:21 211:4	214:11 216:18
293:1	98:5 99:14	215:8 227:6	216:21 217:14
obese 130:10	100:13,22	235:16 237:18	220:23
131:10 156:19	101:6,12,24	238:1,22 239:4	offense 89:1
	105:2 106:19	245:22 246:8	offered 35:4
obesity 103:21	109:6 112:20	247:25 250:4	<b>offering</b> 179:16
103:22 129:24	113:24 114:9	262:5 265:16	284:2
130:4,6,14,16	114:16,24	266:3 268:21	office 2:14
131:3,17	115:7,17,21	269:6,16,20,23	147:9
132:11,13	116:8,19 117:7	269:24 271:8	official 268:18
134:11 139:3	118:17,25	272:21 274:23	276:4 293:9
139:20 140:9	119:11 120:15	277:6,14 278:9	<b>oh</b> 54:20 62:25
obinutuzumab	121:20 122:1	278:24 282:2,7	73:11 111:14
142:10,13	122:16 124:1	282:17 283:11	111:19 155:10
144:11 147:10	124:18,23	284:4 285:18	160:8 245:15
147:21 148:11	126:15 128:11	286:5,18 287:9	268:8 272:22
150:2,3	128:22 129:18	287:23 288:9	okay 9:25 10:5
object 27:5	131:20 132:16	objections 3:9	10:8,11,21
98:15 155:14	135:20 136:25	11:1 239:24	11:8,19 12:10
objection 16:18	139:9 150:14	<b>objective</b> 109:9	12:19,24 13:3
25:1 29:6,22	159.9 150.14	109:9	13:22 14:1,5
32:23 33:8			<u> </u>
36:25 37:9,16	155:8,9,22	observed	15:1,4,6,17,25
	156:8,25 157:9	226:14	16:13 17:1,4

18:12 19:6	85:6,12 86:4	223:11,15	oklahoma
20:10 21:12,16	86:24 88:17,20	224:5,7,17	263:6,9 265:2
22:13 23:9,14	88:24 90:25	225:17 226:8	265:11
24:6,6 25:14	92:1 94:23	227:9 229:22	<b>old</b> 133:8
26:1 28:1,6,7	102:11 107:20	230:9 231:7	166:23 167:10
28:13 30:9,17	107:25 109:14	233:20 234:3	omit 247:11,12
30:24 31:6,16	110:25 111:21	236:17,24	omits 11:20
31:21,25 33:14	111:23 112:10	240:10,25	omitted 7:6
33:19,22,24	112:25 114:2	243:15,19	247:23
34:10 35:1,4	125:14 132:2	244:6,9,23	once 24:10
35:16,22 38:15	137:4 144:9	245:14 246:13	264:8 274:4
38:21 39:8,25	145:18 146:3	255:12 256:7	oncologist 17:7
40:25 41:10	147:7 153:6,10	262:9 263:3	21:13 35:18
42:24 43:6,14	153:23 154:12	266:22 267:1,5	146:1 162:21
44:1,20 45:15	154:20 158:23	267:18 268:19	249:2
45:23 46:18	164:17 167:17	268:20 270:2,7	oncology
47:2,23 48:2,8	168:13 173:8	270:9 271:6,19	250:11
48:13 49:2,4	173:12,21	272:5,12 273:2	ones 20:25
49:18 50:1,20	175:8 178:1,4	273:10,18,24	60:20 65:10
51:1,8 52:4,21	181:5,11,19	273:25 274:2	140:20 174:22
53:8,23 54:8	183:10 185:8	274:25 275:9	183:4 203:21
56:4,8,15,25	185:11,17	275:23 276:11	223:5,12
57:11 61:7,12	190:4 193:19	276:14,14	234:24 235:1,4
61:15,18 62:10	193:24 194:9	277:2,10 278:3	235:4,10,11
62:12 63:10	195:9 196:14	278:6,12,15,17	237:12 255:9
64:3,13,18,24	196:18 199:10	278:18,19	257:21
65:1,4 66:1,8	201:8,12,13	279:14,21	<b>ongoing</b> 136:3
68:4 70:6,8	203:21 204:17	280:1,5,8,15,20	<b>online</b> 234:1
71:6,14,24	205:6 207:18	281:3,5,7,13,17	254:14
72:9,19 73:3	208:5 209:4,6	281:20,25	<b>open</b> 266:19
76:6,9 78:8,15	210:6,16	282:1,15 284:1	operations
78:23 79:22	211:21 215:11	284:8 285:7,9	117:12,20
80:21 81:13	217:9 220:9	285:22 289:12	119:5
82:6 84:3,17	221:10,18		

[opine - ortiz] Page 47

<b>opine</b> 135:17	13:23 15:8	119:23	71:3 73:2
152:2,8 159:13	25:19 35:4	order 93:25	83:12,18 86:19
162:18 163:24	41:13 56:13	149:10 291:20	, and the second
			86:24 87:7
opined 41:2	65:3 101:5	291:21	89:18 91:13
opining 152:7	109:16 135:7	ordered 68:25	92:18 93:9
178:16 252:17	156:13 180:24	orders 291:18	94:4 96:15,22
<b>opinion</b> 36:15	190:25 199:5	organ 59:19,23	97:4 98:1,10
41:20 45:14	218:21 245:20	59:24 60:6	98:17 99:16
88:18 92:16	246:6 265:20	organic 22:8	100:19,25
93:20,22 94:3	265:23 266:9	226:13 274:16	101:10,15
94:5,8,9,13,18	271:12 272:13	organization	102:2 105:8
94:25 96:21	278:4 280:18	26:16	106:23 107:24
97:1 99:25	281:1,18	organization's	108:1 109:13
100:2,20,24	283:10,13	26:23	111:3,24
101:23 108:2,9	284:20 285:16	organs 60:3	112:22 114:1
108:15 109:18	287:5,6 290:8	originally	114:11,19
109:19,20	290:21 291:2,8	11:21	115:2,11,19
110:2 115:10	opposing	ors 212:24	116:2,16,22
121:7 124:5	180:23	213:2	117:15 118:20
129:4,11	opposite 238:5	ortiz 2:19 3:2,3	119:2,12
132:20 134:25	238:6,12	8:16,16 9:1,6	120:19 121:22
136:19 137:1	<b>options</b> 134:7	10:14,24 11:14	122:3,7,18,19
152:11,16,22	orange 4:6	13:6 14:18	124:4,21 125:1
152:25 153:23	24:17 31:13	16:25 25:13	126:20 128:14
154:3 155:19	32:7 104:5	27:6,18 29:19	129:3,23
156:5 164:18	110:9,14,19	30:3 33:3,9	131:21,23
176:24 177:6	111:9 112:11	37:1,12,21	132:1,9,19
178:8,9,12,25	112:15,16	38:5 40:24	136:20 137:3
179:3,17	113:3,11,21,23	46:17,21 48:7	139:12 144:15
190:18,23	114:3,6,13,21	51:18 52:13,20	144:18 146:10
198:15 199:2	115:14 116:4	53:8,16 57:21	150:21 152:10
246:11 284:2	116:11,24	58:7 60:7	154:9,12,15,19
<b>opinions</b> 12:3,7	117:11,18	61:21 65:25	155:11 156:2
12:16,20 13:18	118:6 119:6,9	68:21 69:12	156:15 157:5

[ortiz - page] Page 48

157:15 160:18	266:15,25	<b>p.a.</b> 4:12	117:22 120:1
160:25 161:23	267:4 268:21	<b>p.m.</b> 1:13 132:6	125:14 127:24
162:9 165:15	269:6,16,21,24	147:5 167:21	130:2 135:12
167:17,25	271:8 272:21	167:22 204:23	135:21,22
171:22 172:19	274:23 277:6	204:24 239:14	145:2,5 146:18
174:3 177:3	278:9,24 282:2	239:15 264:17	147:8,17
179:1,18 181:4	282:7,17	264:18 267:12	149:16,21
183:20,23,24	283:11 284:4	267:13 287:16	150:22 153:7
184:4 188:9	285:18 286:5	287:17 291:16	153:21 157:21
191:1 192:1,6	286:18 287:9	292:3	157:23 159:2,4
192:24 193:18	287:12,23	<b>pa</b> 295:23	159:5 160:3,6
194:18 196:23	288:3,12,25	package 165:3	163:5 175:8
197:25 199:14	289:4,8 291:9	165:8	181:25 182:5,8
200:12 202:13	291:21	packs 120:23	182:20,24
203:10 204:17	outcome	<b>page</b> 3:1 20:10	185:14 187:3,5
205:2 208:8,15	250:21,24	21:3 28:2,3,4	189:25 190:2
208:25 209:3,8	outcomes	33:22 34:1	196:5 198:2,10
210:25 211:7	220:10 221:22	38:16 39:11,25	198:18,25
211:11 214:19	outlined 145:10	41:6,7,20	200:13,16
214:20 215:10	outside 213:23	43:12,22 48:8	201:6,14 205:7
219:2,5,7	overall 30:13	48:11 53:20,21	205:8,10,12
222:19 225:20	30:17 201:16	53:21,24 54:15	206:5,21,23
227:8 229:2,5	202:18,24	54:18,25 62:20	208:22,23
235:20 237:20	overestimating	63:23,24 64:1	211:18 212:21
238:7 239:1,6	215:19	66:8,12 71:22	213:8 215:12
239:18 241:21	owe 263:7	74:2,14,22	215:14 216:4,4
241:23 242:10	own 16:17,24	75:8,19,23	216:14,17
244:19,23,24	39:15 100:12	76:21 78:15	217:13 220:6,9
246:1,12,17	178:8 179:2,7	79:22 84:1	221:19 223:6
248:3 250:6	202:15 284:23	87:20 104:11	224:5,7,13
251:4 253:6	р	105:9,14	226:7,8,10
262:8 264:12	101101	107:20 111:10	227:9 228:4,18
264:15,21	<b>p</b> 1:8 142:1	111:12 112:23	228:24 230:12
265:19 266:4		112:25 113:8	230:17 231:20

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Page 345 of 374

[page - paying] Page 49

232:15,20,21       176:22 177:10       particular 25:8       passing 266:24         240:2,3,25       182:1,17 190:6       25:24 32:8       passive 4:8         241:4 273:22       195:17,22       36:6 53:6       122:14         274:1 275:23       205:14 206:23       59:23 106:21       past 25:6 166:5         275:25 276:14       209:15 210:1,7       107:18 127:14       244:2 245:9         276:14 278:12       226:9 232:22       127:16 131:9       256:18 272:4         279:16 280:1,2       274:4,5 275:25       137:7 152:13       patient 4:12         281:7 290:16       276:15       152:14,15,24       24:7,16 31:9         290:17 296:7       park 76:11,17       173:3 185:4,9       31:21 32:1         pages 109:15       76:19,24 77:4       202:10 207:22       104:21 127:16
241:4 273:22       195:17,22       36:6 53:6       122:14         274:1 275:23       205:14 206:23       59:23 106:21       past 25:6 166:5         275:25 276:14       209:15 210:1,7       107:18 127:14       244:2 245:9         276:14 278:12       226:9 232:22       127:16 131:9       256:18 272:4         279:16 280:1,2       274:4,5 275:25       137:7 152:13       patient 4:12         281:7 290:16       276:15       152:14,15,24       24:7,16 31:9         290:17 296:7       park 76:11,17       173:3 185:4,9       31:21 32:1         pages 109:15       76:19,24 77:4       202:10 207:22       104:21 127:16
274:1 275:23       205:14 206:23       59:23 106:21       past 25:6 166:5         275:25 276:14       209:15 210:1,7       107:18 127:14       244:2 245:9         276:14 278:12       226:9 232:22       127:16 131:9       256:18 272:4         279:16 280:1,2       274:4,5 275:25       137:7 152:13       patient 4:12         281:7 290:16       276:15       152:14,15,24       24:7,16 31:9         290:17 296:7       park 76:11,17       173:3 185:4,9       31:21 32:1         pages 109:15       76:19,24 77:4       202:10 207:22       104:21 127:16
275:25 276:14       209:15 210:1,7       107:18 127:14       244:2 245:9         276:14 278:12       226:9 232:22       127:16 131:9       256:18 272:4         279:16 280:1,2       274:4,5 275:25       137:7 152:13       patient 4:12         281:7 290:16       276:15       152:14,15,24       24:7,16 31:9         290:17 296:7       park 76:11,17       173:3 185:4,9       31:21 32:1         pages 109:15       76:19,24 77:4       202:10 207:22       104:21 127:16
276:14 278:12       226:9 232:22       127:16 131:9       256:18 272:4         279:16 280:1,2       274:4,5 275:25       137:7 152:13       patient 4:12         281:7 290:16       276:15       152:14,15,24       24:7,16 31:9         290:17 296:7       park 76:11,17       173:3 185:4,9       31:21 32:1         pages 109:15       76:19,24 77:4       202:10 207:22       104:21 127:16
279:16 280:1,2       274:4,5 275:25       137:7 152:13       patient 4:12         281:7 290:16       276:15       152:14,15,24       24:7,16 31:9         290:17 296:7       park 76:11,17       173:3 185:4,9       31:21 32:1         pages 109:15       76:19,24 77:4       202:10 207:22       104:21 127:16
281:7 290:16 290:17 296:7 pages 109:15 276:15 park 76:11,17 76:19,24 77:4 152:14,15,24 173:3 185:4,9 202:10 207:22 104:21 127:16
290:17 296:7 <b>park</b> 76:11,17 173:3 185:4,9 31:21 32:1 76:19,24 77:4 202:10 207:22 104:21 127:16
pages         109:15         76:19,24 77:4         202:10 207:22         104:21 127:16
110:2 151:15
154:4 207:25 78:4 79:6,10 236:3 248:24 <b>patient's</b> 34:24
208:1 285:23   278:21   252:19 289:22   104:23
285:24,24   part 3:19 41:10   particularly   patients 30:11
286:11 296:3 53:2 56:24 226:22 30:15 31:6
<b>paid</b> 249:23 63:7 64:19 <b>particulars</b> 69:16 83:2
<b>paper</b> 23:18 70:9 77:12 59:21 91:3 107:3
50:22 189:20 99:21 112:15 <b>parties</b> 294:14 127:14,19
191:21 192:17
193:6 228:14
228:15,17,23 193:5 198:8 62:13 95:19,23 166:18 174:21
230:6,8 282:9 202:10 203:2 96:16,17 174:21 199:9
282:12 218:11,16 179:12 226:14 221:5 223:20
papers         208:10         231:1,20         226:17 228:15         225:1 240:15
233:24,25 232:21 250:9 228:16,17 240:16 249:9
289:18 251:22 252:4 269:10,11 249:15,18
<b>paragraph</b> 252:12,12,13 282:11 283:15 261:23 271:12
77:1 105:16 273:20 279:23 283:17 286:23 <b>patrick</b> 2:13
108:11 125:18   280:11 283:2   party 39:4   pattern 113:14
130:4 135:23 <b>participants</b> 180:22 <b>paused</b> 54:13
135:23 136:21 215:23 <b>pass</b> 266:15 <b>pausing</b> 153:17
149:25 159:1,8   <b>participate</b>   <b>passed</b> 58:19   <b>paying</b> 160:1
160:11,12 24:3 215:21,24 58:23 126:1 263:7
175:12 176:1,5

	T	T	T
payment	<b>peer</b> 17:25	29:20 30:5,13	person's 129:6
250:20,23	18:21 19:12,22	30:17 32:21	personal 45:14
<b>pc</b> 64:13	33:15 39:17	33:6 106:10	174:18 177:5
<b>pce</b> 19:13,15,18	218:5,8 274:14	190:8,13 196:9	215:5
22:3,7 32:17	<b>peers</b> 218:9,20	250:14	personally
42:13,17 52:23	218:21	perchloroeth	42:23 43:3
59:4,5 63:12	pending 9:22	19:16 229:17	44:15 76:3
64:5 71:9	266:19	perfect 102:6	77:23 99:23
72:13 75:4,14	pendleton	174:19	146:2 157:3
75:20 78:19	187:9 190:14	perfectly 18:24	172:9 185:3
79:14 84:19,21	211:23 215:18	178:10	200:1 202:6
84:21 85:17	215:22 216:20	perform 137:4	personnel 4:15
97:14,15,20	217:16 219:24	137:7 233:20	5:13,17 184:7
100:3 109:23	220:3,11	performed	219:11,23
114:14 116:18	221:12,23	49:19 91:15	220:12 222:1
117:4 131:18	224:11,23	106:8 223:22	222:22 224:10
132:13 154:1	people 14:22	233:22	276:4
154:23 175:17	24:18 26:7,20	period 50:10	pertaining 61:2
175:23 176:24	29:25 31:13	55:21 63:20	62:3
178:6,17 179:6	32:13 37:14	64:4 65:6,19	pertinent 10:8
190:19 191:7	39:20 49:23	68:16 69:7	pesticides
194:13 201:19	50:9 98:9	70:22 71:11	110:20
201:24 202:21	103:21 105:3,5	72:3,6,12,20	<b>peter</b> 236:7,10
203:4 206:14	107:5 204:13	73:4 79:13,14	236:17
206:18 210:19	218:13,13	79:20 81:23,24	<b>ph.d.</b> 6:13
217:14 229:9,9	peoples 31:14	82:15,18,20	pharmaceutical
229:17 232:18	percent 29:4	83:5 84:10	179:22
232:25 237:14	34:17,23 35:13	85:19 86:8	pharmacy
237:23 238:18	188:11 190:8	87:16	148:8,21
262:16 274:10	190:13 224:9	<b>perry</b> 225:25	<b>phase</b> 90:6,12
280:20 281:13	224:21 227:15	persistent	90:14,15
281:14 285:25	250:19	135:13	philadelphia
pedantic	percentage	<b>person</b> 155:20	295:23
248:19	28:17 29:3,4		

			T
phonetic	172:23 177:21	<b>plug</b> 189:2	positive 170:7
143:20	180:11 251:22	<b>plus</b> 164:10	172:14
phrase 34:16	254:15 276:9	225:4	possibilities
58:9 59:18	285:5	<b>point</b> 43:20	98:7 116:10
169:22 194:20	<b>plan</b> 169:8	45:16 61:5	possibility
194:23,24	planned 144:4	62:3 63:13	132:18
195:2 203:8	144:10 145:13	66:2,18,24	possible 59:10
phrased 169:21	planning 169:9	67:1,9,14 69:6	96:10 98:12
phrasing	<b>plant</b> 63:13	69:19 70:11,21	105:11 110:5
156:11	69:20 78:1,5	71:10 73:22,24	112:11 115:16
physical 277:21	84:8	76:23 82:4	116:24 119:8
<b>piece</b> 185:9	<b>plants</b> 77:22	87:25 88:11,13	125:9 130:24
<b>pile</b> 268:17	<b>please</b> 8:12 9:2	88:22 89:5	149:14 168:16
<b>pills</b> 148:22,23	20:10 21:3	99:3,6 126:18	260:16
149:6	39:11,25 43:13	135:6 140:2	potential 20:24
place 1:14	47:5 48:9,12	143:7 168:24	36:12 108:3,16
37:19 68:24	53:17 54:14	170:5 184:19	110:14 133:17
279:9,11	55:1 62:20	191:17 196:16	138:21 157:7
295:13	71:22 74:6,11	220:20 239:7	potentially 5:9
places 61:11	78:15 81:12	243:23 278:20	215:19
139:11	82:7 113:9	points 9:12	<b>potter</b> 128:4
plaintiff 16:4,6	117:23 175:9	polluting 22:24	<b>pp</b> 79:15
19:25 20:5	182:20 185:14	23:4	practice 15:2
38:22 57:2	196:19 205:6	population	21:13 30:10
100:1 163:1	208:22 211:18	31:18 103:2	33:5 35:18,21
242:4,18 254:9	215:11 217:9	107:9 161:2	64:23 104:19
254:19 259:4	232:19 238:15	189:3 214:25	240:15,16,19
259:22 264:9	274:1 275:24	215:2 216:9	249:2 254:2
279:18	281:5 290:17	225:11	practiced 31:2
plaintiff's 3:9	291:22 295:9	populations	practitioner
11:1 129:14	295:10,12	103:10 172:1	147:3
plaintiffs 2:3	<b>plg</b> 6:5,8,11	portion 145:4	practitioners
8:15 42:21	251:22	206:7 215:16	249:14
47:21 56:20			
47:21 56:20			

precise 106:10	presented	223:24 235:23	proof 37:8
prediabetes	157:19	267:6	40:10,17
166:15	presently 12:16	problem 12:14	<b>proofs</b> 39:20
predict 258:18	12:25	41:24 82:9	<b>prove</b> 40:6
predispose	presumed	problems 22:23	proven 96:5
102:8 136:4	119:22	104:22 145:10	provide 212:10
<b>prefer</b> 194:22	<b>pretty</b> 255:15	215:21,22,23	240:16 250:7
preparation	prevent 10:2	procedure	265:14
257:5 268:2,15	previous 80:4	180:25	provided 16:3
269:15,17	81:19 112:3	proceed 10:1	16:5,10 90:19
270:8,10,13,16	116:17 135:22	<b>process</b> 104:18	251:14 258:5
270:19,24	223:16,25	106:2 218:9,11	269:8 274:8,9
271:2,4 284:16	previously	218:16	provides
289:13	117:25	prodrug 60:17	202:18
prepare 43:7	priapism 39:18	produced	providing
256:14 257:9	primary	280:11	178:2 213:2
257:17	136:12	production 3:8	psychiatrist
prepared 11:21	principle 36:19	profession	163:13
12:23 13:11	36:22	32:10	psychologist
14:2 83:24	principles	professional	163:12
181:8 191:24	285:15	163:14	ptelan 2:16
247:2 274:20	<b>prior</b> 37:23	professor	<b>public</b> 1:18 5:5
preparing 14:5	71:16,20	250:10,12	208:17 293:14
14:20 61:2	120:10 136:4	prognosis 57:1	publication
62:8 189:23	141:20 142:6	progressive	23:25 33:19
193:14 268:6	privilege	135:13	36:4
268:24 269:3	288:24	projections	publications
270:6 271:20	probable 20:25	274:17	18:4,7 24:4
prescription	probably 24:4	promise 12:13	241:7
148:22	36:5 50:24	83:15	published
presence 136:9	57:10 95:15	<b>prompt</b> 295:15	17:25 18:18
present 2:25	102:15 103:25	pronounce	19:12,21 23:18
12:25 39:5,6,9	140:22 143:21	142:19	33:14 141:10
	155:12 175:1		211:13 218:5

publishing	quantified	155:17 156:12	184:14,20
218:12,17,24	30:19 107:19	178:24 189:13	228:14 230:3
<b>pulled</b> 185:3	250:17	191:3,24	236:3,14
pulling 25:7	quantify 42:6	192:20 194:25	quoted 71:7
punctuation	107:17 134:10	207:22 211:6	133:7 193:6
7:2	134:14 156:21	222:14 237:21	quoting 25:12
<b>pure</b> 238:23	157:2,3	238:14,17	228:16
purposes	quantifying	243:14 244:20	r
195:14	70:4	245:24 250:14	race 134:11
<b>put</b> 11:8 39:2	quantitative	285:6 287:21	156:22 188:12
46:18 76:3,19	108:25	questioning	races 229:18
95:7 102:18	quantitatively	28:21 117:19	raleigh 2:14,15
105:4 131:15	106:17	questionnaires	random 171:16
134:24 138:10	quantity	240:14,20,23	range 170:19
170:8 178:11	230:21 231:11	questions 9:14	rank 188:12,14
185:12 186:7	question 7:3	10:3 12:6	rare 40:3,5
186:19,20	9:17,19,22	31:25 32:11	126:9,12,12,14
188:16 199:10	30:8 32:9,20	39:2 59:3	164:23,24
208:9 214:18	33:11,13 39:15	102:1 168:13	166:10
228:22 254:8	40:2,22 53:6	195:1 266:1,10	rarely 164:22
254:25 266:16	58:6 64:13	267:19,21	rat 206:16
266:21 285:15	68:23 70:13,14	270:4 273:14	rate 227:14
<b>putting</b> 128:24	73:15 76:14	281:21,22	229:15 277:3
137:18 170:21	83:13 86:21	287:11 288:1,4	rather 268:16
186:14	89:2 97:2,14	288:6,21,22,23	ratio 186:2,6
q	101:14,17	291:10	186:12,21
qualifications	107:17 116:17	quick 53:9	187:16 214:12
14:21 272:16	116:21 117:3	154:9,13	216:21 220:19
272:18	124:22 127:16	quicker 254:20	220:23
qualified 89:17	127:16 131:6	<b>quite</b> 221:6,7	ratios 168:24
91:16	131:12 138:8,9	quotation 55:6	168:24 170:5
qualitative	138:11,12	quotations 7:13	185:19 186:12
109:2	140:4,5 145:18	quote 7:14	186:17 187:8
107.2	152:9 153:2,15	34:10,13	100.17 107.0
	1	1	1

[ratios - recall] Page 54

		I	
188:17,24	175:24 176:23	reader 216:12	100:23 156:12
211:22 216:18	177:10 178:14	reading 51:4	162:15,23
217:14 224:9	179:12,13	91:24 177:9	168:19 189:6
227:14 229:15	182:2,17	179:11 231:1,2	276:24
raw 95:18	189:20 190:15	232:8 283:1	reasons 12:20
<b>reach</b> 59:24	190:16 191:21	292:1 295:12	13:23 40:8
171:24 205:22	195:16 196:16	reads 28:9	155:15
283:9,13	198:4,7,22	41:13 77:3	<b>recall</b> 18:7,8
reached 93:24	201:4 202:7,14	113:1,11	19:14,24 24:14
reaching	205:23 206:10	125:17 147:18	24:21 25:7,14
281:18 284:23	206:19,25	149:25 175:12	25:18 33:19
285:4	207:8,14,14	200:25 226:10	36:6,21 37:22
reaction 145:8	209:14,20	<b>ready</b> 267:3	38:13,22 45:2
145:14,17,19	210:6 212:23	<b>real</b> 53:9	47:16,19,22
147:20 150:3	213:6 215:15	<b>really</b> 26:10	49:24 56:19,21
reactions	216:2,10	27:8 28:19	57:2,12,22,24
147:11	226:10 227:1	29:25 30:22	58:1 62:7
<b>read</b> 7:14 21:1	228:5 230:25	33:1 37:17,24	68:22 71:21
21:7 24:21,22	231:1,4,5,16,17	38:13 44:17	77:24 82:2,5
25:5 28:11	232:3,17,23	51:20 58:16	82:22,24 88:20
36:5,17 39:14	234:1 235:25	62:16 89:15	90:9 93:10,14
39:23 40:1,12	238:2 245:3,6	90:15 92:24	119:9 120:22
41:18 43:8	269:3,7,12,14	103:1 104:3	120:25 126:24
63:14 77:9	269:22 270:5,8	128:24 149:13	128:7 142:22
105:17,24	270:10,13,16	153:4 170:8	151:9,11
112:8 113:18	270:19,23	189:7 195:3,16	163:22,23
117:25 118:4	271:1,4 272:10	214:13 220:25	193:4,8 194:10
123:3 125:24	272:15 274:8	238:24 245:6	204:15,16
130:19 136:22	274:12 275:25	269:9 282:18	213:14,17
144:6 145:5,7	276:16,18	reason 9:25	234:16,25
145:22 147:8	282:9,10,10	93:1,18 115:15	235:24 236:2
147:15,25	283:5,5,15	133:2 296:7	243:11 244:11
150:9 161:5	286:21 296:2	reasonable	244:13 248:1
173:9 175:15		37:11 58:25	252:7 257:14
		I	

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Page 351 of 374

277 20 270 4	7 400 /4	444544545	7
257:20 258:6	reclassification	144:6 146:17	reductions
258:16 259:9	26:23	146:25 149:10	207:3
261:3 263:19	reclassified	150:20 156:20	redundant
263:20 264:11	26:16	157:22 167:18	29:24
265:1,3 268:23	recognize	167:19,23	<b>refer</b> 19:9,18
269:14 270:4	10:15,25 11:15	192:14 204:20	21:22 23:14
284:15 285:24	13:7 27:23	204:25 221:10	56:10 78:12
288:5,7,7,11	38:8,15 46:22	239:13,16	90:16 107:21
289:16 290:19	47:4,9 61:22	243:22 264:15	165:16,24
290:24,25	61:23 83:19,21	264:19 266:16	169:18 198:1
receive 17:5	146:21 184:5	266:21 267:10	198:14 221:3
142:5 144:4	196:24 199:15	267:14 275:5	228:8,11 241:3
148:3 151:22	199:16 208:16	281:24 287:13	reference 25:8
152:1	211:12 219:9	287:14,18	95:6 176:4
received 25:23	222:20 225:21	291:16 294:9	182:2,17 223:9
57:19 119:21	241:24 242:12	recorded	228:13,22
141:19,23	251:5 253:7	291:14	241:11 243:3,5
142:23 143:14	recollection	<b>records</b> 16:7,8	243:8
143:16 144:7,8	257:22 258:1	42:20,22 43:5	referenced
148:16,19	262:4	43:9,11 44:10	94:20 95:5
149:11 151:1,3	recommend	44:18,18,19,25	191:14 198:18
151:12 158:14	166:18	126:22 130:9	198:24 289:12
164:7,9,10,11	recommending	142:23 148:17	references 7:3
164:11,12	166:20	148:21 149:4	7:5,7,8 39:17
230:8 245:19	reconstructed	150:18 151:19	54:1 55:6,18
250:3	63:11,15 78:19	158:21 167:14	56:7 126:24
<b>recent</b> 207:1	79:25 81:15	234:10 242:5	150:24 159:6
recently 26:16	<b>record</b> 7:14 8:2	242:18 251:15	181:21 182:10
134:17	8:13 9:3,5	261:6 276:4	182:23 183:1
recess 53:12	11:19 19:6,15	recovered	197:7 223:13
87:3 132:5	53:9,10,14	150:4,12	273:15
167:21 204:23	62:5 87:1,5	recurrence	referencing
239:14 264:17	127:7,12,17,20	136:18	184:25
267:12 287:16	131:24 132:3,7		

	<b>relate</b> 248:10	<b>rely</b> 46:4 88:16	173:2 203:22
60:22 228:5	related 22:20	109:3,4 168:9	235:6 245:2,5
referring 19:10	36:16 61:10	168:12 175:19	248:25 253:23
19:19 21:23	121:8,11	178:14 233:2	255:10 258:24
23:16 54:17	147:11 161:18	272:19 284:19	259:12 260:1
89:19,21 94:19	163:25 164:18	284:22 285:3	260:15 262:15
118:6 133:3	165:25 263:15	286:9 287:5	265:7,8,10,13
147:8 148:14	relating 175:16	relying 50:6	265:18 269:2
152:20 170:1	177:7 193:25	71:1,12 76:4	272:25 281:23
180:4 181:24	232:17,24	89:15 99:23	remembered
195:22 196:1,4	233:10 283:7	178:18 203:3	233:15
196:14 221:4	relationship	290:9,10	remembering
228:14,17	112:18 113:5	remained 30:25	235:14
<b>refers</b> 209:22	117:2 173:13	162:13	reminded
210:4 280:5	173:19 175:22	<b>remains</b> 159:18	155:13
reflect 38:1	178:6,17 179:6	160:19 161:1	remission 57:8
reflected 7:13	190:19 191:6	remember 11:6	remotely 293:7
reflects 172:14	201:1 202:2	14:4,24 15:22	removed
224:21	203:4 210:18	16:20,22 17:6	263:22 264:7
refresh 257:22	217:7 218:2	18:3,5,17,23	<b>remy</b> 90:23
258:1	222:13 237:14	22:17,25 23:7	<b>renal</b> 18:25
<b>regard</b> 214:11	237:22 238:9	23:23 25:3,11	repeat 9:17
regarding	238:18	25:25 31:9,17	58:5 70:15
25:21 206:14	relative 52:11	31:23 32:12	191:2 237:21
276:6	294:12,14	35:7 38:18,20	244:20
regardless	relatives	38:23 39:6	rephrase 9:18
255:18	125:22 128:10	49:20 51:3	169:20 246:2
registry 45:12	relevance	53:5 56:17	replicate
regular 31:17	206:15	57:5,15,25	171:25
245:8 247:17	reliable 26:23	68:2 73:20	<b>report</b> 3:13,16
248:6,14	51:16,20	77:23 111:6	6:13,15 11:16
regularly	relied 45:13	122:10 126:6	11:22 12:8,17
218:14	99:20 285:10	140:23 142:8	13:8,11,25
		161:15 163:2	14:17 20:11

24:22 25:22	142:4,9 143:8	290:12 294:6	241:7,11 242:4
33:23 34:8	143:15,18	reported 40:4,8	242:16 245:21
41:1,7,21 42:4	144:2 149:17	91:25 133:6	246:25 258:3,4
42:7 43:13,22	150:23 151:16	140:18 227:14	271:23 279:24
45:7,19 46:9	152:3 153:8	229:14 275:7	284:9,11 287:1
46:14 47:17,20	154:4 157:21	reporter 1:17	represent 9:8
48:1,3,6 62:6,9	159:2 160:4,6	8:18	83:23 111:7
66:7,9 71:7,12	160:13 161:14	reporter's 3:4	122:11 146:14
74:5,9 75:22	163:4 164:15	7:2 12:11	193:11 254:14
76:1,5,22	164:25 168:9	reporting	request 3:7
77:20,21 78:11	180:13,16	249:15	16:11 137:9
83:24 85:4,5	181:8,16,20,23	<b>reports</b> 14:2,6	240:11 241:3,6
87:19,21 88:16	181:25 182:6	14:20 15:6,8	requested 17:5
88:23 90:25	182:14,21	15:18 16:1,9	240:8 294:8
91:22 94:21	183:2,6,7	16:14 21:10	requests
95:7 98:22,25	184:14,20	25:15,20,23	240:10,13
99:1,2,3,7,21	185:4,12,15	40:4 56:13	241:6
102:4 107:20	189:23 192:9	61:3 62:8	require 157:24
107:22,24	193:6,14,21	83:21 89:14	required 9:14
109:15 110:3	203:2 210:24	90:5,9,11	94:6 150:7
112:24 113:8	223:7 226:7	94:14 96:3	180:24 207:12
115:9 117:23	228:22 230:8	97:19 100:17	207:20
119:18 120:2	230:11 236:10	104:13 105:9	requirement
125:15 127:1	236:18 237:6	112:3 172:10	37:4 277:22
127:10,24	238:3,6,10,13	173:1,2 175:9	research 40:2
129:25 130:3	266:9,12	175:18 176:2	106:14 141:6
133:7 134:24	271:22 272:19	177:17 178:19	216:7
135:4,11,17	273:9,20	180:19,21,23	resections
137:10,11,20	274:20,21	180:24 185:6	135:14
137:24 138:1,5	275:24 277:11	226:6,12 228:2	reserve 266:18
138:15,25	284:12,15,16	228:4,12	residence 66:14
139:1,13,14,24	284:19,22	232:16,25	66:18 67:4,11
140:2 141:14	285:3,10,23	233:8 234:17	67:17 87:25
141:23,24,25	286:10,22	234:19 235:21	88:5,6 230:19

231:10	216:23 217:21	review 15:17	120:20 123:7
residents 248:6	218:1 220:16	15:20 18:9,12	124:12,14
resistance	222:4 224:15	18:15 39:16	126:21 148:2
136:11	234:8	43:2,6,10	150:13 155:24
respect 82:3	retain 234:3,7	44:15 48:1,3,6	173:1 175:13
131:3	retained 24:13	49:25 51:5,11	177:11,15
respects 296:24	38:18,21 56:20	62:9 90:5,11	181:14 183:10
responded	172:23 177:21	90:19,21,25	184:12 185:1
150:5 162:12	180:7,10,22	91:20,21 92:25	189:19,22
response	183:14 242:24	115:8 127:10	192:3,8 193:8
136:13 158:6	243:10,17,24	127:22 141:14	194:9 197:5
173:12,18	244:3,4 249:20	141:25 146:3	199:9,22 202:9
217:7 218:1	252:14 253:19	149:9 156:1	204:4 206:3
222:13 245:16	253:21 254:6	162:25 163:20	207:16 208:3
responses 3:10	254:22 255:18	193:14 199:8	208:20 210:16
11:1 173:17	256:5	203:16 204:2	211:15 218:5
239:24	retaining 39:4	210:23 218:8,9	219:16 223:2
responsible	retention	218:20 230:7	223:12 226:11
253:24	244:13,25	233:6,9,19,21	235:4,11
rest 145:14	245:3,12,20	233:23 234:21	237:10 241:9
234:12	246:5,9	235:21 236:10	245:20 255:25
<b>resting</b> 279:12	<b>retire</b> 161:22	236:17 257:17	256:17,18
result 85:5	<b>retired</b> 159:23	271:21 272:12	258:1,6 268:15
169:4,18 212:5	161:8,11,17	282:25 283:14	271:22,22,24
214:5 225:12	retirement	283:20 286:25	272:15 273:18
resulted 198:20	161:25	289:23 294:7	274:14 276:5
resulting	retrospective	reviewed 15:19	281:22 282:5,9
197:23 210:8	4:16,23 184:9	17:25 18:21	282:11,16
results 36:12	197:2	19:12,22 25:22	283:7 289:13
85:4 113:13	return 147:13	33:15 39:17	289:18
170:6 171:15	147:23 295:13	42:20,23 44:25	reviewing
171:17 206:12	returned 150:7	47:17 61:1	25:14,16,16,18
207:1 212:1	returning	88:23,25 90:8	62:7 88:20
215:3,18	232:15	90:9 112:2	129:22 141:2

[reviewing - saw] Page 59

149:4 156:10	207:15 212:18	139:3,25 140:9	rows 72:18,24
207:25 268:1	222:16 225:4	152:12,17,23	88:4
268:10 283:1,8	239:22 248:23	153:1,3 154:22	<b>rule</b> 112:14
284:15	249:24 255:5	156:4 159:18	113:20 115:15
reynolds 6:12	266:19 276:12	160:20 161:1	117:6 120:6
46:5,7 47:10	279:24,25	168:24 209:17	125:5,6,9
47:17 49:15	280:6	209:18 210:4,7	133:16,25
50:1,20 51:1	<b>ring</b> 78:8,9	210:13 213:4	134:2,4 137:12
51:16 56:16	173:7 192:15	220:24 226:15	137:20 138:2
71:4 123:17	<b>risk</b> 18:10	226:18,22	139:2 157:6
272:16 273:8	93:21 94:16	271:17 272:3	180:25
273:20 274:25	96:3,5 102:3,7	289:15 291:6	<b>ruled</b> 110:5
275:2,10,24	102:9,13,14,15	risks 212:12	125:12,12
277:3 278:7,22	102:17,19,21	rme 276:25	<b>rules</b> 9:11
279:5,6,24	103:1,17,18,19	<b>rmr</b> 1:17	180:25
280:11 286:16	103:20,22	293:13 294:5	<b>run</b> 40:6
rgreenwald 2:6	104:6,7 105:11	294:22 295:21	<b>running</b> 249:14
rice 3:21 38:10	105:18,21	<b>robert</b> 3:12,23	S
<b>right</b> 9:2 18:24	106:11,18,24	4:10,13 5:24	s 1:8
28:6 33:4	107:6,12	11:16 19:25	<b>s1</b> 4:19
34:21 45:10	110:14 112:11	43:16 44:3	safe 93:20
58:22 64:9	112:15 113:15	47:6 144:24	sake 12:11
65:16 75:1	113:21 116:4	149:22	salary 250:9,9
83:14 87:8	120:7 121:1,4	<b>robin</b> 2:4 8:14	250:12
96:9 109:20	124:17 125:21	<b>roles</b> 277:8	<b>samples</b> 52:8
110:7 132:10	128:20 129:6	<b>room</b> 267:2	52:14 53:2
154:5,13 155:5	129:10,16	<b>rough</b> 291:21	95:18
155:19 157:4	130:7,12,14,17	291:24	saturday 258:8
157:17 158:4,5	130:19,25	<b>row</b> 66:17,23	savitz 172:20
158:12 160:11	131:11 133:1	67:1,9,14	saw 92:19
167:17 168:1	135:25 136:15	75:13,18 80:18	127:3 150:20
		1	
172:21 183:25	136:17 137:13	80:19,21 87:23	
	136:17 137:13 137:20 138:2 138:14,21	80:19,21 87:23 88:6	173:9 193:7 249:18 254:14

Page 60 [saying - see]

<b>saying</b> 62:15	232:12,12,14	256:19	75:4,13,16,19
78:10 117:17	276:1	second 48:11	75:22 77:1
118:12,13	schedule 6:7	48:20 53:20	78:23 79:1,3,7
139:11 143:21	11:20 251:6,17	66:17 87:23	79:11 81:7,8
238:5,8	277:13	105:15 127:9	81:14,25 83:2
says 28:2,8	scheduled	130:3 149:25	83:4 84:18,20
45:6,19 47:13	147:13	153:17 175:12	86:5,12,21,23
48:19,21,23,24	schedules	185:23 209:5	87:23 88:2,4,8
49:4 54:18	251:18	209:15 215:16	91:3 102:19
55:10 63:15,22	<b>scholar</b> 176:20	220:1 232:22	103:6,8,13
64:17 65:11	180:1,4	239:12 274:4	105:14,15
66:17 67:4,14	scholars 35:15	276:2,15,16	107:3,4 108:7
75:13,14,20	school 22:14	secondary	108:20 119:14
79:10,21 81:5	23:10,13 67:25	136:5,11	127:14 128:5
84:24 85:3,21	68:5,10,12	152:12,17,19	130:3 142:4
87:25 88:1	science 96:8	152:23	143:9,15,18
105:17 108:9	sciences 236:25	section 20:14	144:2 146:23
108:12,13,15	237:3	28:8 34:4,7,8	150:23 153:14
112:1 113:2	scientific 16:13	36:10 41:12	157:18 159:8
122:20 130:9	20:22 36:3	43:15,17 44:2	159:15,16
135:13,25	105:19 215:4	44:5,8 108:8	165:5 166:24
145:1 146:18	218:12,17	109:14 150:23	176:6 181:22
147:2 148:12	scientifically	see 21:6 28:7	181:25 182:4
149:22 178:4	49:23 51:23	28:22 38:13,24	182:10,16,23
196:7 197:11	201:2 202:3	41:12 42:2	182:25 184:24
198:18 200:5	scientist 17:16	43:15,18,24	185:9,18,24
200:18,18,19	89:11 90:3	44:2,7 48:14	186:1,8 187:6
200:20 202:22	92:3 106:6	48:18 49:6	187:14,16,19
205:14,18	scientists 28:21	56:6,8,9 62:16	187:20,23
206:7 209:11	<b>scope</b> 230:7,10	62:18 64:4	188:2 189:21
216:5 220:5	<b>seal</b> 293:9	65:14,15 66:11	190:5,11 194:3
229:14,20	<b>search</b> 233:17	66:17,21,23	194:4 195:8,10
230:15 231:7	233:21,23	67:22 72:24	195:10 200:16
231:20,23	234:4,5,8,9,11	73:7 74:19	200:22 201:16

Page 61 [see - showing]

201 10 22		64.10.65.5.10	1 110 5
201:19,22	seems 54:5	64:10 65:5,18	several 110:5
203:11 205:14	101:18 156:12	66:2,19 68:16	120:10 192:3
205:15 206:7	216:12	69:7 70:11,21	284:9
206:22 209:9	seen 10:19 11:5	71:11 82:19	<b>severe</b> 147:19
209:11,25	27:19 36:3	157:17,20	150:3 161:2
210:1,5,14,15	51:13 61:24,25	serious 197:21	sex 134:11
211:21,25	62:16 111:4	198:18	156:22 188:12
212:3,4,5,7	122:8 144:19	served 10:17	229:18
214:5 216:25	146:11 193:2,5	15:11,13	<b>shared</b> 15:15
217:13,23	selected 214:25	180:23 240:7	<b>sheet</b> 295:7,10
220:16,19,22	selection	241:14	295:11,13
221:21 222:2,5	214:21 215:6	service 119:23	296:1
222:10,25	215:16	275:4	shields 236:7
224:2,15,17,19	<b>send</b> 163:3	services 295:22	236:11,17
226:3,24	sense 55:22	set 80:4 81:19	<b>short</b> 235:14
227:13,16,19	91:24 98:6	110:2 154:4	<b>show</b> 38:2
227:20,22,24	sentence 41:12	228:18,19	46:14 50:22
228:10 229:6,8	42:2 105:16,17	240:10	54:3,5 75:23
229:9,23,25	108:15 113:1,2	sets 52:4 63:11	83:14 99:12
231:20 233:4	113:11 117:25	79:24 81:14	116:13,14
239:11 240:6	118:1 125:17	84:5 187:8	173:1 177:23
240:11,18	130:22 178:1,4	211:21 217:13	192:21 218:1
241:4 242:2,5	182:1,16	220:10 224:8	218:25 219:8
242:6,15 249:8	209:15 210:6	227:13 242:4	227:17 270:2
249:16 253:17	274:3,7,12	242:18 247:4	272:5 286:2
261:23 278:16	275:25 276:2	setting 78:24	showed 92:22
279:19 280:1,3	276:16,16	185:18	124:15 195:7
283:21 285:24	sentences	settle 254:20	showering
289:18	130:18 212:22	seven 185:14	230:24 231:14
seeing 111:6	separate	185:15 207:24	showing 27:21
122:10 126:24	104:24,25	220:6,9 279:16	94:15 124:11
142:22	105:7 198:15	seventeen	153:4 192:18
seeking 22:1	september	120:3	202:17,22
	63:21 64:3,6		
	<u> </u>		

		1	
<b>shown</b> 272:24	156:12,13	217:10 220:7	<b>slope</b> 209:23
shows 99:21	160:12 169:11	224:6 225:21	210:11
217:6 222:12	174:21 261:25	227:11 228:25	<b>small</b> 26:12
sic 73:11 195:5	similarities	238:8,15	189:4,5 197:22
273:20	160:16	239:25 246:14	198:19 221:6
side 15:3,5	similarly 116:7	251:2 253:4	<b>smaller</b> 188:16
57:19 60:20	simplify 29:1	288:8	188:17
63:2 165:6	simulated	<b>sister</b> 125:19	<b>smoke</b> 121:15
248:18	63:16 84:5	126:1 128:16	122:22 125:3
signature	sincerely	129:9	<b>smoked</b> 120:9
146:24 159:11	295:18	<b>sit</b> 18:6 30:4	120:23
160:12	<b>single</b> 171:25	33:20 36:8,9	<b>smoker</b> 121:24
signed 11:22	sir 27:20 34:2	57:11 148:18	122:23,24
13:11 147:4	36:17 38:3	175:2 194:12	smoking 4:8
significance	39:23 40:12	<b>site</b> 210:9	120:6,10,13
170:2 213:12	41:6 46:22	<b>sitting</b> 194:10	121:1,5 122:14
213:20 214:2	53:21 61:22	283:24	122:25 124:17
significant	66:9 74:6 76:9	<b>situ</b> 136:16	124:25 137:13
107:21 108:6	76:22 79:22	situation	137:20 140:8
108:19,22,23	83:15 87:21	106:22 107:18	<b>sole</b> 200:2
108:24 109:1,7	111:5 120:2	156:13	<b>solely</b> 18:12
109:10 120:13	122:9 131:21	six 200:7,13	178:18,23
120:17 136:15	144:20 146:12	<b>sixth</b> 54:15	solvents 5:14
145:8 147:10	153:21 159:3	<b>sixty</b> 229:3	219:12
171:1,15,17	160:4 175:9	<b>size</b> 189:4	somebody
213:22 214:3	178:15 182:6	skim 286:24	31:19 43:6
221:16	182:14 185:16	skimmed	56:22 57:17
signing 292:1	187:4 190:15	269:10	103:4,11 156:3
295:13	192:25 196:21	skimming	161:22 218:24
<b>signs</b> 104:22	199:12 200:14	283:2	225:9 257:6
sim 3:21 38:10	201:7,14 205:7	<b>skip</b> 283:17	263:3 283:4
similar 34:9	206:5,21 208:2	sleeping 279:12	somebody's
42:2 55:7,10	212:22 215:12	<b>sll</b> 26:9	71:19
80:6 153:16	216:5,10		

gome o omolg	141.1 161.0	anaified 70.7	standin s
someone's	141:1 161:9	specified 72:7	standing
58:14 106:11	172:21 191:21	77:21 190:10	291:20
165:2	193:4 248:5	196:10	stands 48:25
sorry 29:24	288:13,16	<b>specify</b> 49:3,13	49:8 79:5
58:5 62:18	speaker 7:5	186:14	123:5
63:2 64:11	speaking 31:1	speculation	start 29:13
70:16 73:20	54:12 56:19	101:7 238:24	66:15,18 67:19
74:12 76:13	265:1	speculative	74:24 88:1
96:1 113:2	specific 3:13,16	101:19	114:4 135:23
116:21 131:22	5:2 16:4,6 36:7	<b>spend</b> 28:25	166:11 230:15
153:13 160:9	60:13 103:24	138:6 207:24	232:19
181:2 182:8	105:21 140:24	<b>spent</b> 268:1	started 16:22
208:9,23	176:14 188:18	271:25 279:9	23:19 40:22
214:18 220:21	191:23 199:20	<b>spoke</b> 57:12	54:12 68:11,13
227:5 229:3,9	210:9 212:24	<b>spoken</b> 56:15	158:2 162:7,10
234:15 238:16	235:14 248:20	spontaneous	starting 23:1
240:3 256:3	271:11 284:25	287:22	145:5
257:3 258:11	285:16	<b>spouted</b> 244:22	starts 67:6
263:17 267:9	specifically	<b>spring</b> 134:22	274:4,7
272:22 273:12	18:6 32:12,17	141:21 142:7	<b>state</b> 1:18 9:3
274:13 275:1	32:20 33:20	151:23	20:17 23:2
278:13 279:17	34:13 98:23	<b>stable</b> 225:13	34:16 36:10
285:8 286:14	121:12 134:20	225:15	38:14 58:8
291:20	140:16 148:18	<b>stage</b> 134:21	96:2 105:9
<b>sort</b> 58:3	191:16 193:12	259:14	136:22 139:22
250:13	194:7 195:6	standard 20:21	154:20 160:19
<b>sound</b> 7:7,8	228:19 230:3	34:5 35:2,6,10	162:14 177:15
9:23 166:25	247:14 248:15	36:24 252:17	186:9 232:16
<b>sounds</b> 37:11	257:25	252:18,20,22	232:23 255:14
100:23 168:19	specificity	standardized	266:8 293:3,14
<b>source</b> 98:13	59:19	185:19 186:1,5	294:3
122:25 235:9	specifics 23:8	186:16	<b>stated</b> 110:13
<b>speak</b> 56:21	38:20,23 61:13	standards	112:10 117:17
81:11 85:13	77:13	35:17,17	129:15 155:9

			100101011
177:11 210:24	225:15	strong 121:5	122:12 124:11
statement 12:2	statistics 214:4	205:21 291:6	124:15 168:16
13:18 37:6,10	<b>status</b> 49:24	stronger	168:18 169:7,7
37:13 118:18	stay 232:13	132:25	169:8,25 171:8
128:24 129:22	stayed 68:25	studied 22:11	171:25 173:24
142:5 169:11	<b>stays</b> 79:18	23:9 49:17	173:25 174:5,9
171:20 172:2	stenographer	97:11,15	174:13,14,20
238:25 285:20	8:24 183:21	102:16 245:11	184:9 185:4
statements	241:20 291:17	studies 33:15	186:8,20
37:18,20,22	291:23	33:17 40:6	189:22 195:14
126:18 131:15	stenographer's	94:20 95:5	196:25 197:3,5
131:16 171:3	7:13	99:19 102:12	197:12,22
<b>states</b> 1:1 3:13	stenographic	103:9,23	198:4,19
3:16 4:10 8:9	1:17 294:10	107:16,19	199:22 200:3,7
8:17 9:8 11:17	stenographic	113:14 116:13	211:13 213:25
13:9 22:1	294:6	116:14 123:8	215:7 216:6
88:10 89:16	<b>step</b> 105:10	153:4 157:2	219:14,19
90:6,12 91:18	steven 6:15	168:9,10,25	222:24 223:15
122:15 123:2	<b>stick</b> 33:23	169:17 171:5	223:16,22,23
128:3 141:15	203:8	172:3 174:24	223:25 224:1,1
142:1 144:24	<b>sticking</b> 181:19	175:14 177:12	224:6 226:11
202:19 235:22	<b>stop</b> 165:18	181:15 183:11	226:16 227:10
236:11 242:3	<b>stopped</b> 145:21	184:11 185:1	227:16 229:16
242:17	147:12 158:15	186:18 198:9	230:13,17
stationed 41:16	158:16,19	205:21 206:11	231:7 232:9
68:24 219:23	straight 249:13	206:12 207:2	235:15 236:3
220:13 221:22	straightforward	207:22 233:7	289:22
statistical	154:21	234:18,20,22	<b>study's</b> 197:9
170:2 213:11	street 2:21	241:7,9 272:2	studying
213:19 214:1,3	295:22	286:2 289:14	169:12,13
221:15 282:22	strike 51:25	289:20,23,25	170:9,10
statistically	94:24 114:4	<b>study</b> 4:16,21	174:23
171:1,14,17	125:4 165:16	4:23 5:8,15,19	subgroup
213:22 225:13	214:16 262:9	36:3 102:17	220:12 222:1

224:10	205:21 206:1	<b>sure</b> 16:7 24:8	56:5,7 63:10
subjective	207:5 272:18	24:18 25:4,18	63:18,20 64:14
109:5,8	suite 1:15 2:15	30:22 38:24	65:11 69:13,14
subjectivity	295:22	41:24 43:22	69:15 74:25
109:12	summaries	56:3 58:11	76:3 78:19
subjects 170:21	15:20,23 43:7	60:14 62:17	79:24 80:5
submitted	summarize	69:18 104:1	81:14 86:22
90:12	180:24	116:23 127:17	185:18 186:23
subpoena	summary 3:25	167:5 169:16	187:6,8 194:8
10:16 239:24	4:3 44:3 62:2	198:10 235:25	195:7 202:11
240:7	62:11 201:17	246:2 252:1,10	202:17,23
<b>subset</b> 223:19	202:18,24	254:11 255:3	211:21 212:16
substance 87:9	279:18	258:11 260:19	216:17 217:13
168:2 205:4	summed 47:7	261:6,11	220:9 221:21
239:20 264:23	47:13	264:13 281:24	224:8 227:13
288:16 289:2	sunday 258:8	surprised	227:19,20
substances	supersedes	254:12,16	228:20 229:6
45:11	246:24	susceptible	229:14,19,24
substantial	supplemental	230:17 231:8	230:1,4,7
41:2,16 42:3,6	15:11 241:15	suspect 18:19	<b>table's</b> 69:18
42:10	242:22 290:4	203:20,21	<b>tables</b> 47:8,24
subtype 26:16	support 191:9	236:8	81:20 92:25
118:9 227:4,5	191:13,17	<b>swear</b> 8:19	185:6 212:24
subtypes	194:13 210:17	<b>sworn</b> 8:22	282:21
189:12,15,18	211:3 212:10	293:8	take 9:21 37:25
190:7 195:24	213:2	symptoms	47:3 72:16
196:8	supporting	104:22	86:18,24 127:9
<b>sued</b> 262:21	110:2 154:3	t	154:13,16
263:3,6	286:3,3	t 1:8	191:10 194:11
sufficient 94:10	supports	<b>t1</b> 136:17	200:11 204:17
95:1 110:18	190:18 191:5	<b>ta</b> 136:17	218:23 232:12
112:4 133:1	194:12	<b>table</b> 4:19 55:6	236:1 239:6
200:18,25	supposed 14:11	55:14,16 56:2	241:2 264:12
201:2 202:1,3			266:22 268:12

[take - test] Page 66

288:10	<b>target</b> 59:19	280:14 281:10	258:25 260:9
taken 1:11 56:2	targeted	281:11 286:1	261:5,7,11
75:25 214:15	142:17	teach 248:6	267:25 288:19
295:7	task 271:6	techflowmp	289:20,24
takes 254:3	tce 18:1,7 19:6	85:9 280:20	telling 47:15
talk 12:12,12	19:9 22:3,7	technically	55:20 69:18
56:14 57:25	32:17 42:13,17	142:14	93:1 187:1
87:8 168:1	52:23 55:16	technique	248:12 282:10
205:3 239:19	59:1 63:12	20:22	283:4,24
264:22 278:17	65:6 69:5 73:5	<b>telan</b> 2:13	tendency
289:1	75:4,14,20	tell 22:13 28:17	276:25
<b>talked</b> 35:15	79:25 80:8,16	29:20 30:4	<b>tenured</b> 250:10
56:22 57:23	82:2,14,17,21	32:13,21 41:4	teresa 259:1
82:13 104:9	83:8 86:4,6,9	41:10 42:9,24	term 35:23
124:25 288:18	86:14 97:6,12	47:4,14 55:16	36:1,4 52:12
288:21 289:2	97:20 100:3	56:2 69:16	104:15,17
talking 28:25	109:23 114:7	80:11,21 101:4	176:14,17
40:22 66:4	115:12,15	101:8,22	230:19 231:9
75:12 79:15,16	116:7 131:18	102:21 104:1	281:21,25
80:22 82:17	132:13 154:1	114:2,5,12,20	terminologies
84:12 85:20,22	154:23 175:17	126:18 127:23	37:5 105:4
108:8 133:22	175:22 176:24	145:12 156:9	terminology
135:8 142:25	178:6,17 179:6	164:6 167:12	90:14 173:20
143:10 166:24	190:19 191:6	172:13 190:17	176:12 178:9
183:4 192:13	194:13 205:19	191:2,4 194:11	225:16
268:7	206:2 210:19	195:1,21	terms 93:21
tampa 1:16 8:7	216:18 227:16	217:25 221:17	105:6 234:4,8
249:3	229:11,20	225:9 227:17	273:21
<b>tap</b> 230:21	232:18,24	232:19 233:12	terrace 61:8
231:12	237:14,23	234:24 235:3	83:25 84:7
tarawa 61:7	238:9,18	235:15 248:13	87:14,15 88:1
83:25 84:7	262:10,11,11	248:15,20,22	88:5,6 89:6
87:13,15 88:1	262:12,13	254:11 255:15	test 173:10
88:5,6 89:6	274:10 280:9	257:25 258:10	

[testified - think] Page 67

testified 8:22	text 111:25	theories 39:19	204:4,8,11
51:8 58:1	147:7,18 154:8	40:6	233:17,19
168:5 181:11	thank 8:24 34:3	theorize 37:14	236:20 247:13
258:22 259:1,9	38:7 39:13	39:21	247:23 248:9
259:19,22	47:2,3 64:10	<b>theory</b> 39:18	254:3 256:19
260:14,17,25	64:11 73:19	<b>therapy</b> 136:11	264:3 272:3
261:8,18	74:18 76:9	142:18 145:11	275:17 279:13
262:10,12	78:14 82:12	150:4,8,12	283:16 289:3
271:20	86:25 89:21	157:24 158:6,7	289:19
testify 259:4	95:24 120:5	158:23	<b>think</b> 10:10
260:5	122:18 132:2	<b>thing</b> 14:10	11:6 12:9,18
testifying 9:15	134:9 143:23	18:8 28:16	13:19 16:19
213:14,16,17	144:15,17	116:14 170:3	18:10,14 21:11
242:25 252:7	146:8 153:9	174:20 191:10	23:2,6,18,19
290:20	155:18 157:24	264:25	24:14,25 25:4
testimony 6:10	160:10 175:11	<b>things</b> 10:7	26:8,25 27:9
7:6 40:14	183:23 184:3	12:22 14:23	29:1,8,13,23
51:10 118:23	185:11,13	18:16 31:14	30:7 31:10
119:3 120:22	193:1 198:11	32:11 37:25	32:8,9,19,25
163:22,23	200:15 201:13	38:12 39:10	33:11 34:9
169:17 186:16	204:19 205:11	40:7 43:9	35:20 37:3,18
243:11 253:8	208:12 209:1,7	49:22 51:12	37:25 40:20,21
255:5,8,19,21	216:13,16	56:23 57:18,20	42:22 43:4,8
259:17 260:12	217:11 219:5	69:17 70:1,5	43:19 44:16,22
261:13 276:5	220:8 227:12	89:10 91:2	45:6 50:24
289:16 290:19	229:4 231:18	96:6 101:19	51:3,7,22
290:24	231:19 234:15	105:7 106:6	52:17 53:6
testimony's	239:10,10	116:15 128:25	56:1,11,14,17
169:19	241:21 244:9	131:16 138:10	56:21 57:6
tetrachloride	245:18 246:16	139:7,16,18	58:16 59:3,5,8
232:1	272:8 287:25	141:10 150:18	59:11,14 61:9
tetrachloroet	291:12 295:15	171:10 178:23	61:10 63:8
19:16 84:6	<b>theme</b> 23:6	179:13 186:17	68:2 71:5
226:21		194:17 195:2	74:25 75:25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Page 364 of 374

[think - time] Page 68

76:23,25 77:21	181:10,13,17	98:21 99:2,5,6	time 1:13 8:4
78:10 79:8	183:19 184:25	172:7 253:1	9:21 13:24
90:8 93:7,23	185:3 190:22	<b>third</b> 60:6	17:24 35:7
94:12,14 97:24	192:21 194:16	185:23 227:23	41:16 45:5
98:6,8 99:8,15	196:11 197:7	258:25	50:10 54:7
101:7 102:4,11	204:10 207:13	thirds 224:13	55:12,21,23
102:23 104:2	210:22 213:9	<b>thm</b> 231:25	64:4 65:5,15
109:7 110:22	215:9 216:3	thms 231:24	65:19 68:16
116:9 117:9	223:22 229:11	thorough	69:7 70:22
119:7,15 121:3	230:5,6 232:4	282:16,19	71:11 72:3,6
121:4 124:19	235:2,19 236:5	thought 12:4	72:12,16,20
125:3,12	236:13 238:2	12:22 13:24	73:4 77:4,7
127:20 128:12	238:23 242:23	155:4,4,5	79:13,14,20
128:23 131:4,8	243:1,1,23	162:19 269:11	81:23,24 82:3
131:14 132:25	245:5,13	278:13 282:12	82:15,18,19
133:1,21 134:5	246:10 247:6,7	thousand	83:2,5 84:10
134:14 135:5	247:14 251:14	123:11	85:19 86:8
135:21 138:16	252:4 254:8,16	three 20:11	87:16 88:11,14
140:2 141:18	255:15 256:2	21:3 55:25	93:11 117:11
143:12 144:1	256:10 257:1,6	104:11 128:9	124:11 138:6
145:25 146:1,5	258:2,24	135:24 167:24	142:13 149:11
146:13 149:12	259:10,24	185:22 187:12	150:7 154:10
150:16 152:25	260:2,6 261:2	190:23 200:17	155:14,14
155:23 156:11	261:10 262:19	200:19,24	183:17 189:20
157:1,10,12	264:7 265:22	217:2,18	198:7 204:15
158:18 160:23	265:25 266:6	242:17 249:14	204:16 233:16
163:19,21	266:14 267:5	268:11 271:19	234:1 245:5
164:14,21	268:10 269:7,9	275:23,25	248:6 254:19
168:19 172:2	275:14 279:22	276:23 277:13	258:25 259:12
172:12,17,25	283:3,18 285:6	277:20	259:13 260:7
173:11 174:25	285:19 290:2	threshold	266:23 267:2
176:11,18	291:3,9,25	93:23 94:6	268:1,12
179:16,21,21	thinking 29:13	threw 229:12	271:25 273:4
179:23 180:12	38:1 86:18		274:20 275:4

[time - true] Page 69

276:6 279:7	took 24:19	toxicology 45:7	63:13 69:19
<b>times</b> 18:4	69:17 143:19	toxins 140:19	78:1,4 84:7
31:10 68:17	143:19 146:1	<b>trace</b> 92:13	135:1,18 136:2
94:17 96:18	148:23,24	<b>tract</b> 134:21	136:2,23 145:7
107:10,12,15	149:5 150:19	traditional	145:9,14,15,19
165:21 190:24	185:6,9 253:22	171:4,7	147:22 148:13
192:3 236:1	288:6	trail 7:5	150:5 162:8,15
255:17 257:8	top 48:19 54:18	trailer 77:6	162:18,23,23
title 18:23	75:13,18 79:8	trained 61:11	165:21 166:19
63:14 197:11	79:9 85:8	279:10	166:21,22
<b>tobacco</b> 121:15	111:13,15	training 34:18	248:17 260:23
<b>today</b> 10:1 19:9	112:23 113:2	89:4 247:5	treatments
19:19 21:23	146:23 172:7	276:20 277:4,8	24:11 141:23
32:3 81:11	175:4 200:16	277:20,23	158:10 164:6
108:24 141:1	209:11 215:15	278:8	164:12
148:19 151:7	229:14,20	trans 232:2	trees 208:13
172:7 175:2	249:17 254:25	transcript 38:9	<b>trial</b> 158:3,11
213:15 243:12	280:3 289:22	51:5 144:23	253:9 254:18
248:25 249:13	<b>tort</b> 179:20,24	146:3 163:20	255:5,8,22,25
258:13 267:22	252:8	259:16 260:11	258:22 259:1
268:2,24 270:4	torts 2:21	291:18 294:8,9	259:16,19
271:21 281:21	total 46:8,8	295:6,9,12	260:11
today's 8:4	49:5 66:15	296:24	trichlorethyle
together 50:25	225:1 226:12	transcripts	19:7 226:17
<b>told</b> 15:15	274:5	237:10	trichloroethyl
31:21 35:15	totally 17:23	<b>treat</b> 30:10,14	232:1 262:14
99:5 161:12	towards 111:16	31:6 164:1	tricking 262:1
165:14 243:9	toxic 45:11	165:17,18	<b>tried</b> 17:2
243:10 244:14	179:19 252:8	166:6,8,15	172:8 256:19
252:9	271:14	treated 141:9	tripled 101:11
tomorrow	toxicological	150:1	<b>true</b> 38:1 103:1
249:16	241:8	treating 166:4	116:18 117:19
tone 173:22	toxicologist	treatment	123:9 149:13
	17:14	57:19 60:15	209:18 294:9

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Page 366 of 374

	T	T	I
296:24	66:8 71:22	87:6 88:4	ultimately
<b>truly</b> 83:21	74:6 76:21	90:10,15 105:7	147:12 148:3
trust 45:18,24	78:15 79:22	128:9,15	<b>um</b> 7:7,8,8
47:13,15 50:23	81:12 84:1	135:24 149:1,4	79:23 205:9
66:6 78:10	87:20 111:10	167:20 180:19	224:12 282:24
91:18	113:8 117:22	180:20,23	<b>unable</b> 36:13
<b>truth</b> 93:1	127:24 130:2	183:6,8,16	258:15
167:12	145:2 146:18	199:9 200:17	uncertainties
truthfully 9:15	147:17 149:16	200:18 212:22	206:14 209:16
39:2 64:25	153:6 159:1	219:19 224:13	unchanged
<b>try</b> 12:11,12	160:3 175:8	225:6 230:16	220:24
139:22 144:9	182:5,20	242:4,22 243:2	<b>under</b> 9:13
169:16 171:25	185:14 187:3	244:8 245:21	26:21 30:22
177:24 256:3	189:25 200:13	249:13 257:10	35:5 39:1
<b>trying</b> 17:21	201:6 205:6	257:13 271:12	62:14 86:10
27:9 54:3,5	206:5 208:22	280:3,3,5	87:23,25
59:10 89:12	211:18 212:21	287:11	102:19 167:4
101:18 115:22	216:4,14 217:9	<b>type</b> 145:15,16	231:23 280:2
143:24 157:2	220:6 221:18	260:21 261:4	underlying
169:15,15	221:18 223:6	<b>types</b> 126:13	4:20
171:13 178:15	224:5 228:24	207:4,6	undersigned
180:3 181:6	230:12 240:2	<b>typical</b> 121:23	293:6
198:7 227:17	240:25 274:1	122:23	understand
238:5 245:24	278:12	typically 32:1	9:13,17 19:10
283:12,14,20	turning 41:1	254:15	19:19 21:22,25
<b>tubin</b> 2:20 8:17	53:20 86:4	<b>typo</b> 76:7	49:18,20 53:24
9:7 144:14	twenty 183:6,8	229:13,21	59:10,12
tumours 3:19	270:22,25	u	101:13,17
3:19 27:24	<b>twice</b> 107:13	<b>u.s.</b> 2:20 5:6,10	116:20 130:23
turn 20:10 21:3	223:24 258:22	ug 48:24 49:5	131:1,2 169:16
28:1 33:22	two 46:23,25	ug 48.24 49.3 uh 7:7,8 182:7	181:6 240:7,9
39:11,25 41:6	47:2 49:11	196:6 223:8	245:23 269:12
43:12 48:8	57:4,5 81:7,7	170.0 443.0	271:6 273:6,19
54:14,25 62:20	81:19 84:18,23		274:19,25

275:2 276:8	89:16 90:6,12	120:6 171:9	127:15 166:9
277:11 278:6	91:18 123:2	188:8 192:12	166:21 171:7
279:5,6,23	141:15,25	230:21 231:12	173:16 174:12
282:13 283:16	144:24 235:22	280:17,25	174:19 252:11
283:19 285:6	236:11 242:3	281:25 282:4	254:18,20
understanding	242:16,17	291:1 295:7	<b>utilize</b> 240:19
10:3 22:6	<b>units</b> 46:8	<b>used</b> 20:17,22	utilized 240:15
35:25 37:3	university	21:9 22:18	<b>utuc</b> 134:22
45:3 49:14,16	263:6,8 265:2	46:7 117:12	135:2,19
49:22 50:8	265:11	133:21 163:25	136:24 137:6
54:2,4 55:3	unknown 27:4	173:20 225:15	137:14,21
58:9 84:22	27:8 28:9,13	234:4 251:18	138:3,15,22
89:11 106:7	29:17 31:22	273:21 274:14	139:4 140:10
145:18 168:14	102:15 209:18	275:1,3 281:17	141:16 151:23
176:19 197:15	<b>unpaid</b> 263:16	281:22,23	151:24 152:5
197:18,20	unsure 65:1	290:20	261:1
271:9 273:21	unusual 126:7	<b>usepa's</b> 210:10	V
275:9 277:2,19	update 4:6	uses 230:23	v 1:8 3:12,15
278:10,21,25	111:9	231:14	4:10 144:24
286:6	<b>updated</b> 26:19	<b>using</b> 69:3 70:8	<b>valid</b> 124:12
understood	<b>upper</b> 134:21	70:18 71:8	value 83:4
9:19 30:24	urinary 141:7	76:19 92:12	280:8
254:4	urologist 141:4	93:3 176:11	values 78:25
unethical	141:5,15	188:19 228:16	81:14 274:15
102:20	urothelial	usmc 4:16,23	vao 112:3
unfair 48:5	134:21	5:18 184:8	variable 47:13
unit 54:6 87:2,6	usdoj.gov 2:23	197:2 222:23	variables 47:7
167:20,24	2:23	usual 10:7	186:13,14
210:4,12,12	use 21:13 28:19	usually 15:23	188:16 212:20
239:17	29:9 35:17,20	24:8 31:14	213:25 214:1,1
<b>united</b> 1:1 3:12	36:4 37:5,20	32:13 48:6	214:13 221:2
3:15 4:10 8:8	60:10,15 64:23	49:12 56:24	varies 230:20
8:17 9:8 11:17	90:14 104:18	64:22 102:7	231:10
13:9 22:1	105:6 107:4	104:18 126:10	

[various - way] Page 72

various 43:17	videotaped	85:23 86:17	61:2 62:2
44:7,13 130:15	3:10 11:2	91:4 92:23,24	63:12,13,17,19
203:11 285:25	vietnam 24:19	93:15 98:3	64:18 69:19,19
vast 256:2	31:14 113:12	127:7,9,18,22	69:25 70:5
vc 56:8,9	117:11 119:5	131:23 149:13	77:18,19,22
venetoclax	119:10,16,24	153:6 154:11	78:1,3,4,13,20
142:16,17	<b>vinyl</b> 56:11,13	167:3,13	80:1 81:16
151:3,6 162:10	63:12 75:5,14	168:13 169:9	83:25 84:7,7
164:11,22	75:20 274:10	172:25 174:20	88:21 89:5
<b>verbal</b> 245:16	vitae 6:4	189:20 194:24	90:7 91:7 92:5
veritext 1:14	<b>voc</b> 24:7	198:6 200:24	92:6,9 98:14
8:3 295:13	<b>vocs</b> 22:9,11	207:24 208:9	108:5,18
<b>versed</b> 44:18,19	24:13 41:15	209:4 214:4	123:19,24,24
versus 11:17	231:25 274:16	215:1,2 231:4	156:6 184:8
103:5,14	volatile 4:8	231:5 232:12	197:1 199:19
107:13 115:23	22:8 122:13	233:18 239:6	205:20 212:11
121:13 220:11	226:13 274:16	239:22 254:10	213:4 215:25
224:11	volatilized	256:24 264:25	219:13 222:23
veterans 4:6	230:23 231:13	266:2,8,16,21	226:1 230:21
111:8 119:21	<b>vs</b> 3:22 13:9	267:7,24	230:22 231:11
video 8:6	38:10	268:14 272:5	231:12 246:4
291:14,16	$\mathbf{w}$	278:12,17	275:10,20,21
videographer	waived 292:2	281:24	276:6 277:22
2:25 8:1,3,18	wallace 122:12	<b>wanted</b> 132:11	277:24 279:3,4
53:10,14 87:1	want 17:3	war 113:12	295:3 296:4
87:5 132:3,7	28:19 30:21,22	washing 230:24	<b>way</b> 27:21
167:19,23	41:11 43:1	231:15	65:16 89:3
204:20,25	46:14 50:25	washington	91:25 101:8
239:12,16	59:16 62:17	2:22	106:15 122:17
264:19 267:8	63:24 66:6	<b>water</b> 1:5 4:15	124:5 126:17
267:10,14	73:25 74:10	4:22 5:2,6,9,14	131:16 137:18
287:14,18	75:23 80:18	5:18,20 8:8 9:9	139:11 149:12
291:13	81:4 82:21	22:24 34:24	152:7 156:11
		52:8,15 60:23	160:23 169:21

Page 73 [way - worked]

			1
169:21 179:11	wish 296:5	124:24 126:16	266:15 269:7
179:13,14,15	withdraw	128:12,23	269:17 271:7,9
194:20,22,24	83:13 244:18	129:19 131:22	272:8,22
195:17,21	269:24	131:25 132:2	278:10,25
196:19 203:8	witness 2:3 3:2	132:17 135:21	282:8,18
218:23 230:10	8:19,21,23	137:1 139:10	283:12 284:5
235:3,15 248:8	14:15 16:19	144:17 150:16	285:19 286:6
248:10 261:21	25:3 29:8,23	152:7 154:10	286:19 287:24
ways 162:22	32:25 37:10,17	154:14,17	288:10 291:12
we've 133:5	40:20 46:12	155:4,10,23	293:9
192:2 233:7	48:5 52:11,17	156:9 157:1,10	<b>woman</b> 103:6
258:12	53:5 57:15	160:15,23	women 226:15
week 234:11	58:5 60:1	161:21 162:5	226:19,23
249:8,19	65:23 68:20	165:14 171:19	won 263:7
257:16 258:7	69:9 70:24	172:17 174:2	<b>word</b> 17:22
268:3,25 270:6	71:16 72:24	177:2 178:22	28:20 133:21
276:23,24	83:11 86:14,25	179:10 181:2	282:4
277:13,23	89:8 91:11	184:3 188:7	wording
weeks 149:1,4	92:16 93:7	190:22 191:20	138:11
200:7 249:12	94:2 96:13,21	193:16 194:16	<b>words</b> 51:23
249:13	97:1,24 98:6	200:10 202:6	178:22 230:16
<b>weigh</b> 106:17	98:16 99:15	203:7 204:19	279:2
106:24	100:16,23	208:14 209:2	<b>work</b> 31:5
<b>weight</b> 173:24	101:7,13,25	210:22 211:5	32:14 66:14,18
<b>weitz</b> 2:4,9	105:3 106:20	214:18 215:9	68:11 87:24,24
weitzlux.com	109:7 111:19	227:7 229:4	159:14,20
2:6,11	111:21,23	235:17 237:19	160:21 161:4
went 32:25	112:21 113:25	238:2,23 239:5	161:19,22
93:15 100:5	114:10,17,25	239:8,10	166:12 249:12
256:17 262:24	115:8,18,22	245:23 246:9	249:23,25
west 2:10	116:9,20 117:9	246:16 248:1	250:3,16 251:6
wide 197:23	118:19 119:1	250:5,16	282:6 283:21
198:20 221:7	120:16 121:21	251:21 262:7	<b>worked</b> 41:14
	122:2 124:2,19	264:14 265:18	73:22 251:21

[worked - zoom] Page 74

278:20 279:4 264:3 26	56:11   128:16 131:22   <b>zero</b> 209:19
workers 5:13 wrote 18	
5:17 140:18 25:21 10	
206:13 219:12 102:4 13	
222:22 115:9 12	
working 69:6 124:13	
70:11,21 71:10	
88:11 161:24 137:1 13	
278:8 139:14	
world 26:15,22 143:5,12	
worsening 151:13,12	
136:15 159:16,1	
worthwhile 160:24	
290:3	
<b>write</b> 18:15 179:10,	
25:4,9 44:5	
49:12 99:7,11 203:8 23	
135:4 137:8,9 238:6 20	, and the second
137:24 138:13 266:12	78:24 90:10
139:5 143:2,2   wv 79:16	
143:13 171:7 <b>y</b>	140:19 141:6
233.18 235.1	183:17 243:2
26:18 23:18 23:19 <b>yeah</b> 21: 26:18 28	$1  244 \cdot 220 \cdot 11$
writing 25:15 31:14 32	voctordov
25:19 98:22 43:4 45:	757.15
99:1 228:21 47:2 55:	vork 2.5.5
<b>written</b> 140:6,6 56:6 59:	$\mathbf{voinger} = 120.9$
141:22 225:25 63:2,6,8	_
wrong 43:20 72:17 79	
74:1 75:24 81:8,25	
91:4,5 92:23 111:16,	
93:16 176:19	
178:3 179:24   125:16	
260:23 262:2	

## Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

## VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.